



## Molecular and Cellular Pharmacology

# Characterization of human cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor coupled to chimeric G $\alpha_{qi5}$ and G $\alpha_{qo5}$ proteins

John Malysz<sup>\*</sup>, Anthony V. Daza, Karen Kage, George K. Grayson, Betty B. Yao, Michael D. Meyer, Murali Gopalakrishnan

Neuroscience Research, Global Pharmaceutical Research and Development, Abbott Laboratories, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL, 60064, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 26 November 2007  
Received in revised form 6 October 2008  
Accepted 18 November 2008  
Available online 3 December 2008

## Keywords:

Cannabinoid  
hCB<sub>2</sub>  
CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist  
Chimeric  
G protein  
Coupling  
Radioligand binding  
Calcium  
G-protein coupled receptor

## ABSTRACT

Cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors may couple to a variety of G proteins and intracellular effector systems to regulate physiological and pathophysiological processes involved in inflammatory and neuropathic pain. In this study, the coupling of cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptors to G $\alpha_{qo5}$  and G $\alpha_{qi5}$  proteins was studied and compared by investigating the pharmacological properties of HEK-293 cells co-expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> with chimeric G $\alpha_{qo5}$  (HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G $\alpha_{qo5}$ ) or G $\alpha_{qi5}$  (HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G $\alpha_{qi5}$ ). Both cell lines were found to be amenable for measuring cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist evoked Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization in a high-throughput manner. Comparison of binding affinities of ligands in homogenates prepared from both cell lines revealed similar affinities for [<sup>3</sup>H]CP55,940 displacement with the following rank order: CP55,940 ~ WIN55,212-2 > SR144528 > JWH015 ~ AM1241 ~ AM630 > SR141617A ~ AM251. In comparison at cannabinoid hCB<sub>1</sub> receptors: the rank order was: SR141617A ~ CP55,940 > AM251 > WIN55,212-2 > AM1241 ~ SR144528 > JWH015 ~ AM630. No significant differences in cannabinoid receptor agonist (CP55,940 ~ WIN55,212-2 > JWH015) or antagonist (SR144528 ~ AM1241 ~ AM630 > AM251 ~ SR141617A) profiles were observed in HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G $\alpha_{qo5}$  and HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G $\alpha_{qi5}$  cells as determined using intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> measurements. Experiments with HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G $\alpha_{qi5}$  cells carried out by investigating interactions among CP55,940, carbachol, thapsigargin, and U73122 revealed that the mechanism of cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptor coupling via chimeric G proteins to Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization involves phospholipase C-inositol trisphosphate (PLC-IP<sub>3</sub>) and that it is less efficient in comparison to the endogenous muscarinic mediated PLC-IP<sub>3</sub>-Ca<sup>2+</sup> pathway. This study demonstrates that expressed cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors couple equally well to G $\alpha_{qo5}$  and G $\alpha_{qi5}$  proteins and that receptor agonist or antagonist pharmacology is not influenced by the nature of these coupled G proteins when heterologously expressed.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The effects of cannabinoids are mediated by two types of cannabinoid receptors: CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>. Both receptors have been targeted as potential therapeutic targets. Cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonists are being considered for the management of obesity, and cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor agonists are thought to provide benefit in the control of pain and for the relief of symptoms associated with multiple sclerosis or spinal cord injury, such as muscle spasm or spasticity, and for the prevention of nausea and emesis. Selective cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonists have potential utilities as analgesic agents, immunomodulators, and in the management of liver diseases (Pertwee, 1999a; Lotersztajn et al., 2008; Cheng and Hitchcock, 2007). They offer benefit in comparison to non-selective cannabinoid ligands by limiting the undesirable side effects associated with cannabinoid

CB<sub>1</sub> receptor activation such as: sedation, hypothermia, catalepsy, and inhibition of activity or impaired ambulation. At present, proof of concept validation of the cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist approach is limited to pre-clinical studies. Potent cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> selective receptor agonists with acceptable pharmacokinetic profile, *in vivo* efficacies, and wide separation from adverse effects are needed to further validate cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor as a therapeutic target.

The cloning of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor has greatly accelerated the understanding of physiology and pharmacology of this receptor. Human cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor was first cloned from promyelocytic leukemia line HL-60 in 1993 (Munro et al., 1993), followed by the cloning of mouse and rat cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors (Shire et al., 1996). There is 80–90% nucleic acid identity among the different species of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors. In contrast, the cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor was first cloned from rat brain (Matsuda et al., 1990) subsequently from human brain (Gerard et al., 1991) and mouse brain (Chakrabarti et al., 1995). There is ~44% amino acid identity between cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors.

Cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors have been recently found to be expressed in the brain although their function remains to be established (Van et al.,

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Dept. R47W, AP-9A/3, Neuroscience Research, Global Pharmaceutical Research and Development, Abbott Laboratories, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL, 60064-6125, USA. Tel.: +1 847 935 2462; fax: +1 847 937 9195.  
E-mail address: [john.malysz@abbott.com](mailto:john.malysz@abbott.com) (J. Malysz).

2005; Onaivi et al., 2006). The presence of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors in immune cells (macrophages, B cells, T cells, etc.) is well established and thought to regulate immune functions. For example, activation of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors on human tonsillar B cells with low concentrations of delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol and two synthetic cannabinoids, CP55,940 and WIN55,212-2, has been reported to stimulate B-cell proliferation that was sensitive to a selective cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist (Derocq et al., 1995). Immunosuppressive effects on lymphocyte and macrophage function with cannabinoid effects have been also reported, albeit at relatively high (micromolar) concentrations (Kaminski et al., 1992; Friedman et al., 1995). A role of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors in analgesia has been proposed recently. AM1241, a selective cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist, produced antinociception to thermal stimuli in rats that was sensitive to a selective cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist, AM630, but not to a selective cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist, AM251 (Malan et al., 2001). AM1241 was also effective in reversing tactile and thermal hypersensitivity produced by ligation of the L5 and L6 spinal nerves in rats (Ibrahim et al., 2003). Another selective cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor agonist GW405833 [1-(2,3-Dichlorobenzoyl)-5-methoxy-2-methyl-(2-(morpholin-4-yl)ethyl)-1H-indole] inhibited carrageenan-induced inflammatory hypersensitivity (Clayton et al., 2002). The cellular mechanisms by which cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation results in antinociception remain to be elucidated.

Studies on recombinant cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors expressed heterologously in mammalian (e.g. CHO, HEK-293) and non-mammalian cell lines (e.g. Sf9) as well as on cell types or tissues endogenously expressing cannabinoid receptors have revealed that both types of cannabinoid receptors are negatively coupled to adenylyl cyclase via type i/o guanine nucleotide binding (G<sub>i/o</sub>) proteins (reviewed in Howlett et al., 2002; Pertwee, 1997). Another signal transduction mechanism that has been reported for cannabinoid receptors occurs via mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) (Pertwee, 1999b; Bouaboula et al., 1995). In addition, cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> but not CB<sub>2</sub> receptors can also activate adenylyl cyclase via G<sub>s</sub> protein, and inhibit Ca<sup>2+</sup> and activate K<sup>+</sup> channels (reviewed in Howlett et al., 2002; Howlett, 2002).

Pharmacological characterization of novel cannabinoid receptor compounds usually involves radioligand binding experiments with high affinity non-selective cannabinoid ligands, [<sup>3</sup>H]CP55,940 and [<sup>3</sup>H]HU243, moderately cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> selective, [<sup>3</sup>H]WIN55,212-2, and cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor selective [<sup>3</sup>H]SR141716A (reviewed in Pertwee, 1997, 1999b). Although radioligand binding studies provide useful information about ligand affinities including relative selectivity, such studies provide no functional information. At present, available tools to investigate the functional properties at cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> or CB<sub>1</sub> receptors primarily involve adenylyl cyclase, GTPγS, and MAPK assays (reviewed in Pertwee, 1997, 1999b). However, all of these methodologies have inherent limitations. For example, adenylyl cyclase and MAPK assays most commonly rely on the detection of cAMP levels or phosphorylated MAPK with specific antibodies that are prone to variability of the detection reagents and can have low throughput. GTP hydrolysis and adenylyl cyclase assays involve the use of radioactive GTPγ[<sup>35</sup>S] and [<sup>125</sup>I]-labeled cAMP recognizing antibodies that require proper and expensive disposal procedures as well as present potential radioactivity exposure risks, especially when utilized in high throughput formats.

Recent developments in chimeric G protein technology have provided another alternative for the pharmacological study of G-protein coupled receptors, especially for those that are linked to adenylyl cyclase. Conklin and Bourne (1993) and Conklin et al. (1993) have shown that chimeric G proteins in which the last five carboxyl-terminal amino acids of the G<sub>αi</sub> protein were replaced with corresponding sequences of either G<sub>αi</sub> or G<sub>αo</sub> proteins link the activation of the chimeric G proteins to the phospholipase C-inositol trisphosphate-Ca<sup>2+</sup> (PLC-IP<sub>3</sub>-Ca<sup>2+</sup>) pathway. More importantly, this

leads to mobilization of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels that can be measured with high-throughput Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging methods. So far, this technology has been successfully documented for at least 18 G protein coupled receptors with the inclusion of coupling via promiscuous G proteins (i.e. G<sub>α15</sub> and G<sub>α16</sub>) (Coward et al., 1999). Cannabinoid receptors have been also successfully linked to mobilization of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> via chimeric G proteins by two separate approaches. One involved generation of a polypeptide linking the cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor to promiscuous G<sub>α16</sub> (Martin et al., 2002) and the other co-expression of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> and chimeric G<sub>αq05</sub> protein (Mukherjee et al., 2004). Another group, although did not study the effects on intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup>, showed increases in inositol phosphate formation when the cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation was coupled to G<sub>α16/7</sub> protein (New and Wong, 2003). These studies, hence, show that the coupling of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors via chimeric or promiscuous G proteins to mobilization of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> is possible.

A single G-protein coupled receptor may exist in multiple active conformations and couple differentially to specific G proteins and intracellular second messenger effector systems (Kenakin, 2002a,b). For example, CHO cells expressing 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptors showed agonist specific responses in phospholipase C-dependent inositol phosphate accumulation and phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> specific arachidonic acid release (Berg et al., 1998). The coupling of β<sub>3a</sub>- and β<sub>3b</sub>-adrenergic receptors to G<sub>αqi</sub> was less efficient than to G<sub>αqs</sub> suggesting preference for a given G protein mediated pathway (Lenard et al., 2006). Cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors likely couple to multiple G proteins as well. In HL-60 cells expressing cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, CP55,940 application decreased the expression of at least 3 different G<sub>αi</sub> proteins (Bouaboula et al., 1999). Cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors when expressed in insect Sf9 cells interacted more efficiently with G<sub>αi</sub> than G<sub>αo</sub> proteins as measured by GTPγ[<sup>35</sup>S] binding (Glass and Northup, 1999) suggesting cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptor–G protein specific coupling. Furthermore, agonist-specific rank order of potencies and fractional occupancies for intracellular effectors (MAPK, adenylyl cyclase, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization) were noted for cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors studied in CHO cells (Shoemaker et al., 2005).

Accordingly, this study aimed to compare the efficiencies of coupling of cannabinoid CB<sub>2</sub> receptors via G<sub>αi</sub> and G<sub>αo</sub> proteins by co-expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptors with chimeric G<sub>αq05</sub> or G<sub>αq15</sub> proteins and studying the affinities to cannabinoid ligands using radioligand binding and functional responses to receptor agonists and antagonists utilizing Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging. Furthermore, evidence is provided that mobilization of Ca<sup>2+</sup> in chimeric G<sub>αq15</sub> cells co-expressing expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptor is mediated by phospholipase C pathway and that it involves a subset of IP<sub>3</sub> sensitive Ca<sup>2+</sup> stores.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell lines and cell culture

Previous reports from our group provide details of the generation and maintenance of the HEK-293 stable cell lines expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptor only (HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>) and co-expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> receptor and G<sub>αq05</sub> protein (HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G<sub>αq05</sub> cells) (Mukherjee et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2006). In this study, the stable cell line co-expressing cannabinoid hCB<sub>2</sub> and G<sub>αq15</sub> (HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub>-G<sub>αq15</sub> cells) was obtained by transfecting HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub> cells with HA-tagged G<sub>αq15</sub> DNA clone (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HEK-hCB<sub>2</sub> cells were grown to confluency and exposed to DNA-lipofectamine 2000 reagent complexes. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were split and grown as single colonies under antibiotic selection (25 μg/ml zeocin and 200 μg/ml hygromycin B, Invitrogen). Individual clones were functionally screened using a Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization assay in a FLIPR<sup>®</sup> instrument (Molecular Devices, see methods below). The clonal cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco-BRL,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2534469>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2534469>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)