




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food and Chemical Toxicology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchemtox

Short review

RIFM fragrance ingredient safety assessment, (Z)-2-penten-1-ol, CAS Registry Number 1576-95-0



A.M. Api^{a,*}, D. Belsito^b, S. Bhatia^a, M. Bruze^c, P. Calow^d, M.L. Dagli^e, W. Dekant^f,
A.D. Fryer^g, L. Kromidas^a, S. La Cava^a, J.F. Lalko^a, A. Lapczynski^a, D.C. Liebler^h,
Y. Miyachiⁱ, V.T. Politano^a, G. Ritacco^a, D. Salvito^a, J. Shen^a, T.W. Schultz^j, I.G. Sipes^k,
B. Wall^a, D.K. Wilcox^a

^a Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc., 50 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, NJ 07677, USA

^b Member RIFM Expert Panel, Columbia University Medical Center, Department of Dermatology, 161 Fort Washington Ave., New York, NY 10032, USA

^c Member RIFM Expert Panel, Malmö University Hospital, Department of Occupational & Environmental Dermatology, Sodra Forstadsgatan 101, Entrance 47, Malmö SE-20502, Sweden

^d Member RIFM Expert Panel, University of Nebraska Lincoln, 230 Whittier Research Center, Lincoln, NE 68583-0857, USA

^e Member RIFM Expert Panel, University of Sao Paulo, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Department of Pathology, Av. Prof. dr. Orlando Marques de Paiva, 87, Sao Paulo CEP 05508-900, Brazil

^f Member RIFM Expert Panel, University of Würzburg, Department of Toxicology, Versbacher Str. 9, 97078 Würzburg, Germany

^g Member RIFM Expert Panel, Oregon Health Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd., Portland, OR 97239, USA

^h Member RIFM Expert Panel, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Center in Molecular Toxicology, 638 Robinson Research Building, 2200 Pierce Avenue, Nashville, TN 37232-0146, USA

ⁱ Member RIFM Expert Panel, Department of Dermatology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, 54 Kawahara-cho, Shogoin, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

^j Member RIFM Expert Panel, The University of Tennessee, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Comparative Medicine, 2407 River Dr., Knoxville, TN 37996-4500, USA

^k Member RIFM Expert Panel, Department of Pharmacology, University of Arizona, College of Medicine, 1501 North Campbell Avenue, P.O. Box 245050, Tucson, AZ 85724-5050, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 May 2015

Accepted 18 June 2015

Available online 2 July 2015

Keywords:

Genotoxicity

Repeated dose toxicity/developmental and reproductive toxicity

Skin sensitization

Phototoxicity/photoallergenicity

Local respiratory toxicity

Environmental toxicity


* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: AApi@rifm.org (A.M. Api).

Version: 050515. This version replaces any previous versions.

Name: (Z)-2-Penten-1-ol

CAS Registry Number: 1576-95-0



Abbreviation list:

2-Box Model – a RIFM, Inc. proprietary *in silico* tool used to calculate fragrance air exposure concentration

97.5th percentile – The concentration of the fragrance ingredient is obtained from examination of several thousand commercial fine fragrance formulations. The upper 97.5th percentile concentration is calculated from these data and is then used to estimate the dermal systemic exposure in ten types of the most frequently used personal care and cosmetic products. The dermal route is the major route in assessing the safety of fragrance ingredients. Further explanation of how the data were obtained and of how exposures were determined has been previously reported by [Cadby et al. \(2002\)](#) and [Ford et al. \(2000\)](#).

AF – Assessment Factor

BCF – Bioconcentration Factor

DEREK – Derek nexus is an *in silico* tool used to identify structural alerts

DST – Dermal Sensitization Threshold

ECHA–European Chemicals Agency

EU – Europe/European Union

GLP – Good Laboratory Practice

IFRA – The International Fragrance Association

LOEL – Lowest Observable Effect Level

MOE – Margin of Exposure

MPPD – Multiple-Path Particle Dosimetry. An *in silico* model for inhaled vapors used to simulate fragrance lung deposition

NA – North America

NESIL – No Expected Sensitization Induction Level

NOAEC – No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration

NOAEL – No Observed Adverse Effect Level

NOEC – No Observed Effect Concentration

OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OECD TG – Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Testing Guidelines

PBT – Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic

PEC/PNEC – Predicted Environmental Concentration/Predicted No Effect Concentration

QRA – Quantitative Risk Assessment

REACH – Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals

RIFM – Research Institute for Fragrance Materials

RQ – Risk Quotient

TTC – Threshold of Toxicological Concern

UV/Vis Spectra – Ultra Violet/Visible spectra

VCF – Volatile Compounds in Food

VoU – Volume of Use

vPvB – (very) Persistent, (very) Bioaccumulative

WOE – Weight of Evidence

RIFM's Expert Panel* concludes that this material is safe under the limits described in this safety assessment.

This safety assessment is based on RIFM's Criteria Document ([Api et al., 2014](#)) and should be referred to for clarifications.

Each endpoint discussed in this safety assessment reviews the relevant data that were available at the time of writing (version number in the top box is indicative of the date of approval based on a two digit month/day/year), both in the RIFM database (consisting of publicly available and proprietary data) and through publicly available information sources (i.e., SciFinder and PubMed). Studies selected for this safety assessment were based on appropriate test criteria such as, acceptable guidelines, sample size, study duration, route of exposure, relevant animal species, most relevant testing endpoints, etc. A key study for each endpoint was selected based on the most conservative end-point value (e.g., PNEC, NOAEL, LOEL, and NESIL).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2584895>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2584895>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)