Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Food and Chemical Toxicology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchemtox

# Effects of sulfur dioxide derivatives on expression of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in human bronchial epithelial cells

#### Guohua Qin, Ziqiang Meng\*

Institute of Environmental Medicine and Toxicology, Research Center of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanxi University, Wucheng Road 92, Taiyuan 030006, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 September 2008 Accepted 4 January 2009

Keywords: Bisulfite Sulfite Oncogene Tumor suppressor gene BEP2D

#### ABSTRACT

Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is a major air pollutant suspected to act as a promoter or co-carcinogen. The present study was designed to investigate whether SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives (bisulfite and sulfite) had effects on the expression of several proto-oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes in cultured human bronchial epithe-lial (BEP2D) cells. The mRNA and protein levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR and western blotting, respectively, following exposure to differing SO<sub>2</sub>-derivative concentrations and exposure times. SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives caused mRNA and protein over-expression of c-fos, c-jun, and c-myc at all tested doses (0.001–2 mM). Over-expression of H-ras and p53 were observed in cells receiving the highest concentration (0.1–2 mM), as well as the under-expression of p16 and Rb. The over-expression of c-fos and c-jun was observed after 24 h recovery. The expression of c-myc and H-ras decreased to base line levels while the p53 expression decreased compared with control after 24 h recovery. The mRNA and protein expression of p16 and Rb remained at initial levels after 24 h recovery. The data support the hypothesis that SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives could cause the activation of proto-oncogenes and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes and SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives may play a role in the pathogenesis of SO<sub>2</sub>-associated lung cancer.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Increased combustion of fossil fuels in the last century is responsible for the progressive change in the atmospheric composition. The anthropogenic sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) results from the combustion of sulfur-containing fossil fuels and the smelting of sulfur-containing ores, volcanoes and oceans are its major natural sources. Air pollution has both acute and chronic effects on human health, affecting a number of different systems and organs. It ranges from minor upper respiratory irritation to chronic respiratory and heart disease, or even lung cancer (Kampa and Castanas, 2008). SO<sub>2</sub> is a major air pollutant suspected to increase mortality from respiratory diseases in the general population (Lee et al., 2000; Shinkura et al., 1999) and to act as a promoter or co-carcinogen (Nisbet et al., 1984). Epidemiological studies in SO<sub>2</sub>-exposed workers showed increased mortality from lung cancer (Lee et al., 2002).

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 351 7011895.

The respiratory tract is a unique barrier to exposure of high levels of environmental pollutants. Bronchial epithelial cells are the progenitor cells for bronchogenic lung cancers (Mace et al., 1994). They are often exposed to airborne environmental pollutants such as SO<sub>2</sub>. SO<sub>2</sub> is readily absorbed through the respiratory tract (99%) and subsequently dissociates to form its derivatives (bisulfite and sulfite, 1:3 M/M, in neutral fluid) (Shapiro, 1977). In addition to these, (bi)sulfite itself is added to a variety of foods, beverages, and drugs as a preservative.

Exposure to environmental pollutants may induce some or all of the multiple genetic changes involved in the development of lung cancer, such as deregulated expression of oncogenes and/or tumor suppressor genes through gene amplification, mutations, and epigenetic damage (Anderson and Spandidos, 1993). In the lung, changes of c-fos, c-jun, c-myc, H-ras, p53, p16, and Rb genes have been observed in preneoplastic as well as cancerous tissue (Milde-Langosch, 2005; Little et al., 1983; Takahashi et al., 1989). The proto-oncogenes, c-fos and c-jun, which code for a transcriptional activator in a form of dimer, can be upregulated as an early response to stimuli. Over-expression of c-jun in rat embryo fibroblasts co-transfected with c-Ha-ras give rise to immortalized cell lines that grow in soft agar and produce tumors in nude mice (Schutte et al., 1989). It has been observed that transcription and translation of c-fos and c-jun were increased after SO<sub>2</sub> inhalation (Qin and Meng, 2006).





Abbreviations: SO<sub>2</sub>, sulfur dioxide; BEP2D, HPV-18 immortalized human bronchial epithelial cell line; MIT, 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; AMV RT, avian myeloblostosis virus reverse transcriptase; dNTPs, deoxynucleoitide triphosphates; B(a)P, benzo(a)pyrene; CA, chromosomal aberrations; MN, micronuclei; SCE, sister chromatid exchanges.

E-mail addresses: qinguohua@126.com (G. Qin), zqmeng@sxu.edu.cn (Z. Meng).

Most of studies focus on the mutation of those genes in the progress of lung cancer. However, our previous study provides evidence for SO<sub>2</sub> and its derivatives as weak gene mutagen because the chemical at high doses and at high cytotoxicity increases the mutant frequency (Meng and Zhang, 1999). The comparatively weak effect of SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives indicates that direct mutagenesis is not the predominant effect of SO<sub>2</sub> and its derivatives. So we are suspicious of that if the expression of some cancer-related genes were affected by SO<sub>2</sub> and its derivatives. So far few data are available on the exact relationship between SO<sub>2</sub> or its derivatives and the expression of cancer-related genes. Therefore, the effects of SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives on several oncogenes (c-fos, c-jun, c-myc, and H-ras) and tumor suppressor genes (Rb, p53, and p16) were investigated by using the HPV-18 immortalized human bronchial epithelial cell line (BEP2D), which is derived from normal human bronchial epithelial cells.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Cell culture

The BEP2D cell line was kindly provided by Prof. Maoxiang Zhu (Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, China). Cells were cultured in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> cell culture flasks containing serum free LHC-8 medium (Biofluids Inc., USA) in an incubator (Model 3111, Thermo Forma, USA) at 37 °C with a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were detached and passaged every 7 days using trypsin 0.20% and 0.02% EDTA-Na<sub>2</sub> in Dulbecco's Hanks Balanced Salt Solution (D-Hanks) containing 0.8% (w/v) NaCl, 0.012% Na<sub>2</sub>H-PO<sub>4</sub> · 12H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.04% KCl, 0.006% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 5000 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin, without Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>. The fresh medium was changed every 2–3 days, and the cells were harvested and passaged for exposure to the test agents while in logarithmic growth phase.

#### 2.2. Treatment protocol

Cells were divided randomly into six equal groups of three flasks: one control group incubated only in LHC-8 medium and five groups treated with 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 2 mM SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives (bisulfite and sulfite, 1:3 M/M). The solution of SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives (10 mM) was freshly prepared before use by dissolving a 7.5 mM:2.5 mM mixture of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and NaHSO<sub>3</sub> into LHC-8 medium. Serial dilutions of needed SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives were applied to the BEP2D cell. After 4 h incubation, the cells were harvested for real-time RT-PCR and western blot.

In the recovery protocol, cells were exposed to  $0.1 \text{ mM SO}_2$  derivatives for 4 h, and then the culture medium was removed and replaced with the fresh LHC-8 medium. The cells were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, 4 and 24 h after SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives exposure and used for real-time RT-PCR and western blot.

#### 2.3. MTT assay

Cell viability was measured by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT). Briefly, experimental cells were seedd into 96-well plates at 8000 cells/well and grown to 80% confluence at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The cells were treated with SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives at different concentrations and post-exposure times. Subsequently, 20 µl of MTT solution (5 mg/ml) per well was incubated with cells for 4 h. Then the wells were drained, cells were washed with PBS and 150 µl of DMSO was added to dissolve the intracellular crystalline formazan product for 10 min at room temperature. The cells in the control were performed as described above except for treatment with LHC-8 medium instead of SO<sub>2</sub> derivatives. Samples were read at 490 nm in a microplate reader (B–R Model 550). The results were expressed as a percentage of the absorbance of control cells.

#### 2.4. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from small amounts (up to  $10^6$  cells per  $25 \text{ cm}^2$ ) of cultured cells by using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was insured by 1% gel electrophoresis (285/185 RNA) and spectrophotometric analysis (OD260/280). The OD260/OD280 ratio was in the range of 1.8–2.0. Total RNA was quantified by determination of optical density at 260 nm. First-strand cDNA was produced following a protocol of avian myeloblostosis virus reverse transcriptase (AMV RT, Promega, Madison, WI) with slight modification. In reverse transcription reaction system, 2 µg RNA, 1.05 µg oligo dT (18) primer, were contained in a 25 µl reaction volume. The mixture was incubated at 70 °C for 5 min, and 5 µl RT buffer, 5 µl of 10 mM of each deoxynucleoitide triphosphates (dNTPs), 40 U RNasin, 30 U AMV Reverse Transcriptase was stored at -80 °C until use.

#### 735

#### 2.5. Real-time PCR amplification

Each 20  $\mu$ I PCR reaction contained 1  $\mu$ I cDNA, 2  $\mu$ I PCR buffer, 3.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 500 nM each primer, 200 nM TaqMan probe and 1 U/20  $\mu$ I *Taq* DNA polymerase. The primers and probes were designed by using DnaStar and Beacon Design software (Table 1). Each treatment had three samples and each PCR reaction carried out in duplicate. Reactions were run on a Rotor-Gene 3000 Real-Time Cycler (Corbett Research, Sydney, Australia). Cycling conditions were as follows: 3 min at 95 °C, 40 cycles of 20 s at 94 °C, 20 s at 55–62 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C. Fluorescence data were acquired at the 72 °C step.

#### 2.6. mRNA relative quantification

In each PCR run, serial dilutions of known amounts of corresponding cDNA standard were included. To minimize inter-assay variability, the same dilution series of each gene-specific standard were run with samples in each experiment. The threshold cycle ( $C_t$ ) was calculated by the Rotor-gene 6.0 software to indicate significant

#### Table 1

Primers and probes sequences used in real-time RT-PCR.

Gene	Accession No.	Sequences
GAPDH	NM_002046	
	Forward primer	5'-GGAAGGACTCATGACCACAGT-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-GCCATCACGCCACAGTTTC-3'
	TaqMan Probe	5'- FAM-TGCCATCACTGCCACCCAGAAGAC-TAMRA-3'
c-fos	NM_005252	
	Forward primer	5'-GCCGTCTCCAGTGCCAAC-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-AGATAACTGTTCCACCTTGCCC-3'
	TaqMan Probe	5'- FAM-CATTCCCACGGTCACTGCCATCTCG-TAMRA-3'
c-jun	NM_002228	
	Forward primer	5'-AGCCTGAAGCCGCACCTC-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-AGAACTCGGACCTCCTCACCTCGCC-3'
c-mvc	TaqMan Probe	5'- FAM-CCGTTGCTGGACTGGATTATCA-TAMRA-3'
c-myc	Forward primer	5'-TCCATCACCACACACCCCC-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-CCTGTCACCACGTTTCCTGT-3'
	TagMan Probe	5'- FAM-ACCACCAGCAGCGACTCTGAGGAGG-
	ruqiviun 1100c	TAMRA-3'
H-ras	NM_004985	
	Forward primer	5'-GGGAACAAGTGTGACCTGGC-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-ACCAACGTGTAGAAGGCATCC-3'
	TaqMan Probe	5'- FAM-CGCACTGTGGAATCTCGGCAGGCTC-
		TAMRA-3'
p16	NM_000077	
	Forward primer	5'-CAGTAACCATGCCCGCATAGA-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-AAGTTTCCCGAGGTTTCTCAGA-3'
	TaqMan Probe	5'- FAM-CCTCAGACATCCCCGATTGAAAGAACC-
	NN 000540	IAMKA-3'
p53	NM_000546	EL ATOTACA ACCACTCACACCACAT D
	Forward primer	5'-AICIACAAGCAGICACAGCACAI-3'
	Reverse primer	5'-GIGGIACAGICAGAGCCAACC-3'
DI.	Taqivian Probe	5'- FAM-AGGCGGCTCATAGGGCACCACCA-TAMRA-3'
KD	NIVI_000321	
	Poliwaru primer	
	TagMan Droha	5-IICAGAAGGIUIGUUAUAUAUA-3'
	raqivian Probe	5 - FAWI-CGUIGITACATACCATCIGATTIAI-TAMIKA-3

#### Table 2

Cell viability of different concentration of  $SO_2$  derivatives exposure.

Concentration of SO <sub>2</sub> derivatives (mM)		Cell viability (%)
	0	100.3 ± 3.1
	0.001	96.7 ± 2.5
	0.01	96.2 ± 3.0
	0.1	94.7 ± 3.3
	1	$89.2 \pm 4.6^{*}$
	2	79.7 ± 3.1**

Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  S.D. of three individual experiments. Statistical significances were analyzed using one-way ANOVA compared with the control. \* P < 0.05.

\*\* P < 0.01.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2586148

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2586148

Daneshyari.com