



# Mercury vapour ( $\text{Hg}^0$ ): Continuing toxicological uncertainties, and establishing a Canadian reference exposure level

G. Mark Richardson<sup>a</sup>, Ronald W. Brecher<sup>b,\*</sup>, Hugh Scobie<sup>c</sup>, Jane Hamblen<sup>d</sup>, John Samuelian<sup>d</sup>, Cindy Smith<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Contaminated Sites Division, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ont., Canada K1A 0K9

<sup>b</sup> Globaltox International, 367 Woodlawn Rd. W., Unit 6, Guelph, Ont., Canada N1H 7K9

<sup>c</sup> AMEC Earth & Environmental, 2 – 1398 Wellington Road South, London, Ont., Canada N6E 3N6

<sup>d</sup> AMEC Earth & Environmental, #3 – 15 Franklin St., Portland, ME, USA 04101

<sup>e</sup> Stantec Environmental, 49 Frederick St., Kitchener, Ont., Canada N2H 6M7

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 January 2008

Available online 1 November 2008

### Keywords:

Inorganic mercury

$\text{Hg}^0$

Reference exposure level

REL

## ABSTRACT

There are four published reference exposure levels (RELs) for  $\text{Hg}^0$ , ranging from 0.09  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  to 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . All RELs were derived from the same toxicological database, predominantly of male chloralkali workers. Some key factors are apparent which make the use of that database questionable for REL derivation. Occupational studies of chloralkali workers are not an appropriate basis for a REL for  $\text{Hg}^0$ . Concomitant exposure to chlorine gas ( $\text{Cl}_2$ ) diminishes uptake and effects of  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure. There are gender differences in  $\text{Hg}^0$  uptake, distribution and excretion, with females at potentially greater risk from  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure than males. Studies of chloralkali workers focused almost exclusively on adult males. Recent investigations of dental professionals (dentists, technicians, assistants) have failed to define a threshold in the dose–response relationship linking  $\text{Hg}^0$  with neurobehavioural outcomes, an observation generally ignored in  $\text{Hg}^0$  REL development. Finally, there is a growing database on genetic predisposition to health effects associated with  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure. Based on these considerations, we propose a different key study for REL derivation, one that involved male and female dental professionals without concomitant  $\text{Cl}_2$  exposure. Adjusting the LOEL to continuous exposure and applying appropriate UF values, we propose a Canadian REL for  $\text{Hg}^0$  of 0.06  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Mercury ( $\text{Hg}^0$ ; quicksilver) is a dense silver-white metal that is liquid at room temperature and is characterized by high vapour pressure which is a key determinant of its environmental behaviour and potential for exposure.  $\text{Hg}^0$  is known to be present at a wide variety of sites in Canada (as elsewhere), including navigation lightstations (used as liquid bearing for the lens; Wilson et al., 2003; van Netten and Teschke, 1988), surface water level monitoring sites and stations (use of Hg manometers; OAEI, 2000), along oil and gas pipelines (use of Hg manometers to monitor pipeline pressure; Wren and Farrell, 1995), and at historic gold mining sites (used as an amalgamating agent to sequester gold from crushed ore; Parsons et al., 2004). These situations often give rise to non-occupational exposures to  $\text{Hg}^0$ , as do situations of home contamination due to in-home spills (Hryhorczuk et al., 2006), redevelopment of contaminated industrial buildings for residential use (Orloff et al., 1997), and off-gassing of Hg-containing consumer products (such as paint; Agocs et al., 1990; Beusterien et al.,

1991). Such non-occupational exposures must be assessed and managed employing a non-occupational regulatory exposure level (REL) appropriate to the general public and, in particular, children.

Four reference exposure levels (RELs) have been published for  $\text{Hg}^0$ : 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (reference air concentration (RfC); US EPA, 2007); 0.2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (minimal risk level (MRL); ATSDR, 1999); 0.09  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (REL; CalEPA, 2005) and 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (air quality guideline as annual average concentration; WHO, 2000). All of these agencies have defined their REL from the same basic toxicology and key study: a lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL) defined variably as 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  or 26  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , based on Fawer et al. (1983). A variety of other studies (e.g., Piikivi and Tolonen, 1989; Piikivi and Hanninen, 1989; Piikivi, 1989; Ngim et al., 1992 and Liang et al., 1993) are generally interpreted as supporting this LOAEL. The numeric differences in the REL values among these agencies appears primarily to be due to differences in the uncertainty factors (UF) and modifying factors (MF) applied in deriving the RELs; i.e., apparently on policy rather than science.

As a result of these inconsistencies, Health Canada's confidence in the current RELs for  $\text{Hg}^0$  was low. This paper critically examines certain pharmacokinetic, toxicologic and related issues not previously considered in establishing RELs for  $\text{Hg}^0$ . Exposure, toxicity,

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 519 766 1100.

E-mail address: [rbrecher@globaltox.ca](mailto:rbrecher@globaltox.ca) (R.W. Brecher).

pharmacokinetics, etc. are reviewed in detail elsewhere (ATSDR, 1999; WHO, 2000, 2003; etc.) and are not repeated herein. Instead, we focus on the issues and factors that have not been adequately addressed in previous determinations of RELs.

## 2. Continuing uncertainties

### 2.1. Interaction of chlorine gas ( $\text{Cl}_2$ ) and $\text{Hg}^0$

Most of the occupational studies underlying our knowledge of  $\text{Hg}^0$  toxicity and, therefore, underlying all current RELs for  $\text{Hg}^0$ , were conducted on chloralkali workers. Although air- $\text{Hg}^0$  concentrations are generally elevated among such workers, concomitant exposure to chlorine gas ( $\text{Cl}_2$ ) occurs. Data on airborne  $\text{Cl}_2$  levels in chloralkali plants were recently summarized by the European Union (EU, 2007).  $\text{Cl}_2$  levels in the air of chloralkali plants averages about 1 ppm ( $0.3 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) and ranges between 0 ppm and 6.5 ppm ( $0\text{--}19.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) depending on the specific work environment where sampling was conducted. Earlier reported data also fall within this range, although average levels appeared to be lower. Patil et al. (1970) reported an overall average airborne chlorine concentration in 25 chloralkali plants of 0.15 ppm (range 0.006–1.42 ppm), and Capodaglio et al. (1969; as cited by ACGIH, 2001) reported an average airborne chlorine concentration of  $0.30 \pm 0.18 \text{ ppm}$ .

The concomitant exposure to  $\text{Cl}_2$  and  $\text{Hg}^0$  effectively reduces worker exposure by decreasing the amount of airborne  $\text{Hg}^0$  available for inhalation and absorption.  $\text{Hg}^0$  converts to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}\text{Cl}_2^{-1}$  in the presence of  $\text{Cl}_2$  at room temperature (Menke and Wallis, 1980; Viola and Cassano, 1968). Also, the inhalation absorption of  $\text{HgCl}_2$  is only half or less of that of  $\text{Hg}^0$  (ATSDR, 1999; Viola and Cassano, 1968).  $\text{Hg}$  deposition to the brain is also altered.  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  (associated with  $\text{HgCl}_2$ ) does not effectively cross the blood–brain barrier as does  $\text{Hg}^0$  (Lorscheider et al., 1995; Viola and Cassano, 1968). Following  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure, the red blood cell (RBC) to plasma  $\text{Hg}$  concentration ratio typically ranges between 1:1 and 2:1 (WHO, 1991). However, much less  $\text{Hg}$  is associated with RBCs in the blood of chloralkali workers (with  $\text{Cl}_2$  present). Suzuki et al. (1976), investigating  $\text{Hg}^0$ -exposed chloralkali workers versus workers from two other industrial sectors (who were all exposed to  $\text{Hg}^0$  at similar airborne concentrations ( $0.01\text{--}0.03 \text{ mg/m}^3$ )), observed that the RBC to plasma  $\text{Hg}$  concentration ratio in the chloralkali workers was only 0.02:1 whereas workers of the two other industries (with no concomitant exposure to  $\text{Cl}_2$ ), had RBC to plasma  $\text{Hg}$  concentration ratios between 1.5:1 and 2:1. A study by Viola and Cassano (1968) of rodents (rats, mice) exposed to  $\text{Hg}^0$  alone or in the presence of  $\text{Cl}_2$ , demonstrated reduced  $\text{Hg}$  absorption in the presence of  $\text{Cl}_2$  and the deposition of  $\text{Hg}$  to the brain of rodents exposed concomitantly to  $\text{Hg}^0$  and  $\text{Cl}_2$  was only 1/5th of that when exposure was to  $\text{Hg}^0$  alone.

There is other evidence of the interaction of  $\text{Cl}_2$  with  $\text{Hg}^0$ .  $\text{Cl}_2$  injection is employed as a direct  $\text{Hg}$  emissions control technology to reduce  $\text{Hg}^0$  levels in industrial stack emissions (Pavlish et al., 2003). Increasing chlorine quantity/concentration in the process improves the efficiency of  $\text{Hg}$  emission control (Richards, 2005). In the presence of chlorine,  $\text{Hg}^0$  is converted to  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ , which precipitates with stack particulate matter that is subsequently removed ('scrubbed') from stack emissions.

It is evident that all studies of uptake and toxicity of  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure in chloralkali workers will be potentially confounded by concomitant  $\text{Cl}_2$  exposure and, as a result, studies of chloralkali workers should not form the primary basis for a REL for  $\text{Hg}^0$ ; the application and extrapolation of those results to other occupational groups and the general public, whose  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure occurs in the absence of  $\text{Cl}_2$ , is questionable.

### 2.2. Gender differences in pharmacokinetics

Most key and supporting studies used to define RELs for  $\text{Hg}^0$  relate predominantly or exclusively to adult males. However, there is evidence that males and females respond differently to  $\text{Hg}$  exposure, in terms of uptake, distribution and excretion. Studies examining both genders have generally exhibited differing accumulation patterns in males and females, with a greater proportion of dose going to the CNS in females. Also, faster elimination rates are observed in males. These differences may result in variable, gender-related toxic response to  $\text{Hg}$  exposure which must be adequately addressed in the establishment of a REL.

Studies demonstrating gender differences are discussed below. Studies relating to  $\text{Hg}^0$  and  $\text{HgCl}_2$  are discussed owing to similarities in target organ (CNS) and/or excretion.

(1) Hongo et al. (1994) examined urinary  $\text{Hg}$  excretion by university staff and students who were occasionally exposed to  $\text{Hg}^0$  over a period of six years. Gender (along with age and the presence of amalgam fillings) was reported to be an important factor for predicting  $\text{Hg}$  excretion. They did not, however, specifically or separately quantify the gender-related differences.

(2) Jokstad (1990), in a study of 849 members of the Norwegian Dental Association, observed a slightly but statistically significant lower mean urinary  $\text{Hg}$  (UHG) level in women compared to men ( $40 \text{ nmol/L}$  versus  $44 \text{ nmol/L}$ ). Confounding factors such as the length of work experience and years in the current office facility did not explain the observed gender difference.

(3) Pamphlett et al. (1997) compared the uptake of inorganic  $\text{Hg}$  by motor neurons in male and female mice and measured  $\text{Hg}$  concentrations in their kidneys. Significantly more neurons contained  $\text{Hg}$  granules in females than in males, and kidneys of males had significantly higher amounts of  $\text{Hg}$  than those of females. They concluded that the decreased deposition of  $\text{Hg}$  in the kidneys of the female mice resulted in an increase in circulating  $\text{Hg}$ , which was available for neuronal uptake.

(4) Pamphlett and Coote (1998) observed  $\text{Hg}$  in the spinal motor neurons of female mice at half the exposure time (6 h) necessary for it to be observed in the spinal motor neurons of male mice (12 h), following a  $50 \text{ } \mu\text{g/m}^3$  exposure.

(5) Nielsen and Anderson (1989, 1990), investigating whole body retention and relative organ distribution of  $\text{Hg}$  chloride in mice, reported a significantly larger fraction of  $\text{Hg}$  body burden deposited in the kidneys of males, indicating more rapid renal elimination in males than in females.

(6) Thomas et al. (1986), examining exposures of female and male rats to inorganic  $\text{Hg}$ , reported the integrated exposure of the brain of female rats to inorganic  $\text{Hg}$  was 2.19 times greater than that of the males.

(7) Miettinen (1973 as cited in Thomas et al., 1986) reported that the whole body half-time for  $\text{Hg}$  elimination following ingestion of protein-bound  $\text{HgCl}_2$  was faster in women than in men.

## 3. Revisiting the toxicology of $\text{Hg}^0$

### 3.1. Central nervous system (CNS) toxicity

The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA, 2007) based its  $\text{Hg}^0$  RFC on Fawer et al. (1983), with supporting evidence being provided by Piikivi and Tolonen (1989), Piikivi and Hanninen (1989), Piikivi (1989), Ngim et al. (1992) and Liang et al. (1993), concerning the CNS effects of  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure. The approach of other agencies with RELs for  $\text{Hg}^0$  were similar. However, of these studies, only those of Ngim et al. (1992) and Liang et al. (1993) did not involve chloralkali workers, for whom  $\text{Hg}^0$  exposure and uptake would have been potentially confounded by concomitant  $\text{Cl}_2$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2592704>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2592704>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)