

HOSTED BY



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/TJEM>

## Original article

# Risk factors of delayed pre-hospital treatment seeking in patients with acute coronary syndrome: A prospective study



Marzieh Fathi\*, Aysan Rahiminiya, Mohammad Amin Zare, Nader Tavakoli

Department of Emergency Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 19 December 2014

Received in revised form

26 May 2015

Accepted 1 June 2015

Available online 4 March 2016

### Keywords:

Pre-hospital delay

Acute coronary syndrome

Risk factors

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Despite enormous efforts in public education, treatment seeking time still remains more than optimal in patients with acute coronary syndrome. This prospective study tries to determine the risk factors of pre-hospital delay in patients with acute coronary syndrome.

**Methods:** Descriptive data of 190 patients with diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome attending in 2 tertiary level teaching hospital emergency departments were analyzed to determine risk factors of delayed pre-hospital treatment seeking. Demographic, social and clinical characteristics of patients were obtained and they were asked to fully describe their symptoms and the actions they had done after their symptoms onset.

**Results:** Thirty nine (20.52%) of patients were arrived in emergency department in <1 h of their symptoms onset, 73 (38.43%) were arrived between 1 and 6 h and 78 (41.05%) were arrived in >6 h. Sex, route of transport, scene-to-hospital distance, attributing the symptoms to non-cardiac causes and outpatient physician consultation and cigarette smoking were the risk factors of delayed treatment seeking in our studied patients with acute coronary syndrome. Patients with previous history of ischemic heart disease and Coronary Care Unit admission and patients with underlying diseases like diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidemia showed a trend to have more delayed treatment seeking behavior but not with a statistically significant difference. Patients with positive family history of acute coronary syndrome arrived in emergency department earlier than other patients but again with not a statistically significant difference.

**Conclusion:** Most patients with acute coronary syndrome arrived in emergency department in >6 h of their symptoms onset. Sex, route of transport, scene-to-hospital distance, attributing the symptoms to non-cardiac origins, outpatient physician consultation and cigarette smoking were risk factors of delayed treatment seeking in studied patients.

Copyright © 2016 The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of the Owner. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Despite enormous efforts in public education, pre-hospital treatment seeking time still remains more than optimal in most patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).<sup>1–3</sup> Two third of treatment seeking delays in patients with ACS is due to delayed arrival in emergency department (ED). Some studies show that

about 25% of patients with ACS wait more than 6 h before seeking medical care.<sup>4</sup> This is while treatment of ACS should begin within 1 h of symptom onset and every 30 min of delay in seeking medical care can increase the relative risk of 1-year mortality as 7.5% in patients with acute myocardial infarction.<sup>5</sup>

Delayed pre-hospital treatment seeking is a multifaceted problem. Demographic characteristics (like sex, age, race, level of education), behavioral factors (like underestimating the significance of symptoms and the insurance status) and clinical factors (like previous history of ACS, concurrent comorbid disease, experiencing atypical symptoms) have significant role in delayed ED arrival in patients with ACS. Better recognition of these factors can help health system to make more effective interventions and increase the likelihood of on-time treatment seeking in patients with ACS.

\* Corresponding author. Emergency Department, Rasoul Akram Hospital, Niyayesh St, Sattarkhan Ave, Zip Code: 14456, Tehran, Iran. Tel./fax: +98 21 66525327.

E-mail address: [Marziehfathi@yahoo.com](mailto:Marziehfathi@yahoo.com) (M. Fathi).

Peer review under responsibility of The Emergency Medicine Association of Turkey.

This prospective study evaluates the major risk factors of delayed pre-hospital treatment seeking in patients with ACS in an urban area.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study design and setting

This prospective cross-sectional multi-center study was conducted and September 2013. Study was approved by institutional ethics committee (faculty of medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences) and was carried out in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki (1989). Informed written consent was obtained from all patients.

### 2.2. Selection of participants

We included  $\geq 18$  year old patients admitted in hospital with diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome (including ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and unstable angina). Acute myocardial infarction was defined as typical rise and gradual fall or more rapid rise and fall of biochemical markers of myocardial necrosis with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit and with at least one of the following clinical parameters: Ischemic symptoms, electrocardiograph (ECG) changes indicative of ischemia (T wave changes or ST segment elevation or depression), development of pathologic Q waves on the ECG, coronary artery intervention. Unstable angina was defined as the occurrence of one or more angina episodes, at rest, within the preceding 48-hours, corresponding to class III of the Braunwald classification.<sup>6–8</sup> Although sudden cardiac deaths can also be a subtype of ACS they are not included in study population because of difficulties in data gathering. Patients were pain-free, stable and comfort during the interview. They were recruited in study conveniently.

We excluded patients who did not recall the exact time of their symptoms onset or whose exact ED arrival time was not documented; patients who were unable to understand or communicate because of language barrier or mood, memory and cognition disorders (like patients with senile dementia or Alzheimer's disease) or any other reason. Patients who preferred to discontinue their participation (during interview or at any phase of study) were also excluded.

### 2.3. Intervention

Patients were interviewed by a single research assistant in 48 h of their hospital admission before discharging home. Patients were asked to fully describe their symptoms and the actions they had done after their symptoms onset. The interviewer completed a questionnaire with 33 items about patients' demographic and socio-economic condition, previous history of ischemic heart disease, Coronary Care Unit (CCU) admission, myocardial infarction; setting and onset of symptoms, treatment seeking behavior, hospital transportation route to, etc.

The questionnaire was designed and tested for reliability and validity after reviewing other studies, consultation with pre-hospital emergency care, cardiology and emergency medicine specialists and the results of an interim analysis of patients-reported causes of pre-hospital delay in Iranian patients with ACS. Final version of questionnaire had a content validity score of 98% and internal consistency of 0.72. Pilot interviews with 15 cases who were not included in final analysis showed that the questionnaire is enough easy-to-use.

Patients were categorized to 3 groups: patients who were admitted in ED in  $< 1$  h of their symptoms onset, patients who were admitted in ED between 1 and 6 h of their symptoms onset and patients who were admitted in ED  $> 6$  h of their symptoms onset. Transportation route was categorized as self-transportation or use of the EMS (initially directed transport by ambulance from home to hospital).

### 2.4. Analysis

Sample size was calculated as 109 according to " $n = t^2 pq / (p^* \delta)^2$ " formula. Descriptive continuous numerical data like age and delay time are presented as minimum, maximum and mean (with standard deviation). Descriptive categorical variables like sex are described as absolute and relative (percentage) frequencies. We used t-test and chi-square test to compare means. P less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant. The internal consistency of the questionnaire was calculated by Cronbach's alpha test. All data analyses were performed with SPSS version 16 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## 3. Results

We enrolled 218 patients in our study. Six patients did not recall the exact time of their symptoms onset. In 8 cases the exact ED arrival time was not documented in patient's file. Twelve patients refused to participate in study. Six patients discontinued their participation in different phases of interview. At last 190 patients were included and analyzed.

Thirty nine (20.52%) patients were arrived in ED in  $< 1$  h of their symptoms onset, 73 (38.43%) were arrived in ED between 1 and 6 h of their symptoms onset and 78 (41.05%) patients were admitted in ED  $> 6$  h of their symptoms onset.

Mean age of patients was 56.50 ( $\pm 10.33$ ) with minimum of 35 and maximum of 78 years old. One hundred and four (55%) of patients were male and 86 (45%) were female. Ninety (47.36%) patients had a positive family history of ischemic heart disease. Nineteen (10.00%) of patients were chronic alcohol drinker and 79 (41.57%) were cigarette smokers. Assessment of past medical history of patients showed that 61 (32.10%) patients had hypertension, 50 (26.31%) had diabetes mellitus, 19 (10.00%) had hyperlipidemia, 65 (34.21%) had ischemic heart disease, 61 (32.10%) had previous CCU admission, 22 (11.57%) had previous myocardial infarction. One hundred and twenty five (66%) patients arrived in ED in day hours and 65 (34) of them arrived at night. One hundred and twenty nine (67.89%) patients were transferred to hospital by EMS and 61 (32.10%) were transferred by other routes. Therapeutic interventions were provided in less than 10 min of ED arrival for 58 (30.5%) patients, between 10 and 20 min of ED arrival for 72 (37.89%) patients and after 30 min of ED arrival for 15 (7.89%) patients.

Ninety (47.37%) patients were located in  $< 30$  km of hospital and 100 (52.63%) cases were located in  $> 30$  km of hospital. One hundred and six (55.78%) patients attributed their symptoms to non-cardiac causes and 79 (41.57%) of them looked for outpatients medical care before admitting in emergency department. Eighty five (44.73%) patients had used sublingual nitroglycerine before their ED arrival. Self-treatment with sublingual nitroglycerine was more common in patients arrived in ED in  $< 1$  h of their symptoms onset, these group of patients responded to nitroglycerine less than other two groups but not with a statistically significant difference (Pvalue = 0.78). Comparison of risk factors is summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2604099>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2604099>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)