



# Some remarks on the interaction of long-term effects in deflections of RC members



Carlos Zanuy\*

Department of Continuum Mechanics and Structures, E.T.S. Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 2 March 2016

Revised 16 June 2016

Accepted 20 June 2016

### Keywords:

Reinforced concrete

Deflections

Serviceability

Tension stiffening

Long term

Cyclic loads

## ABSTRACT

Serviceability requirements of concrete structures establish the limitation of deflections. Even though the exact prediction of deflections is a difficult task that is neither necessary nor possible, the assumptions of codes of practice to consider time- and cycle-dependent effects of reinforced concrete are conceptually questionable. A common rule is that both sustained and repeated loading result in a reduction of the tension stiffening contribution. Nevertheless, the cyclic behaviour of reinforced concrete is nonlinear and non-symmetrical during unloading and reloading, leading to deformations larger than those corresponding to the fully cracked member during unloading stages. In this paper, an approach is presented to distinguish between time- and cycle-dependent effects. A curvature component is added to the estimation of curvatures so that the cyclic effect can be understood as a different contribution to deflections with respect to creep and shrinkage. The comparison with experimental results indicates that the cyclic component of deformations cannot be neglected.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Serviceability criteria for reinforced concrete structures are based on limitations for deflections, crack widths, stresses or strains. Prediction of deflections in real structures is a complex task due to the uncertainties of relevant parameters (shrinkage, creep, temperature), but also to the real load history of the structure. Besides dead loads, civil engineering structures are commonly subjected to cyclic loads due to traffic, wind or waves. Even though the influence of time-dependent effects (creep and shrinkage) or thermal variations has been usually considered at the design stage, the effect of cyclic loads has often been neglected or considered in an oversimplified way. A typical assumption consists of taking linear unloading-reloading behaviour, but reinforced concrete actually behaves nonlinearly and non-symmetrically in unloading-reloading cycles, leading to significant residual deformations. After a load-unload cycle, reinforced concrete hardly returns to the previous situation. Therefore, the permanent state of real structures differs from that obtained in a sustained load test and is a result of previous occurrence of cycles with higher peak loads that can play a significant role in deflections. Even though an exact calculation of deflections is neither necessary nor even possible, it is convenient to put into evidence some incorrect assumptions that may

lead to significant mistakes and confusing concepts among practice engineers. Gilbert [1], in a paper with an insightful title, already suggested that oversimplified approaches may lead to non-negligible deviations from actual deflections.

Many works have dealt with the time-dependent behaviour of reinforced concrete [2–8] and some others have focused on cyclic loads [9–11], but the interaction between both effects has not usually been studied, in spite of the fact that it is a relatively common situation of real structures. Moreover, codes of practice do not help practice engineers in understanding such interactions. The Eurocode 2 [12], as many other codes, assumes that the in-service response of reinforced concrete lies somewhere between that given by the uncracked member (state I) and the fully cracked member (state II). Due to the concrete capacity of carrying tensile stresses between cracks (tension stiffening), deformations are considered to be smaller than those given by the state II. Such a behaviour is considered by the Eurocode 2 by means of the following interpolation between states I and II (refer to Fig. 1):

$$\alpha = (1 - \xi)\alpha_I + \xi\alpha_{II} \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a deformation parameter (deflection, curvature, strain, etc.) and  $\xi$  is the following distribution coefficient:

$$\xi = 1 - \beta \left( \frac{M_{cr}}{M} \right)^2 \quad (2)$$

\* Address: c/ Profesor Aranguren 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

E-mail address: [czs@caminos.upm.es](mailto:czs@caminos.upm.es)



Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/265624>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/265624>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)