



Ultimate shear behaviour of hybrid reinforced concrete beam-to-steel column assemblages



D.V. Bompa, A.Y. Elghazouli*

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 April 2015

Revised 20 July 2015

Accepted 21 July 2015

Available online 1 August 2015

Keywords:

Hybrid systems

Reinforced-concrete/steel assemblages

Shear transfer mechanisms

Shear behaviour

Shear design

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the shear transfer mechanisms and ultimate behaviour of hybrid systems consisting of reinforced concrete beams connected to structural steel columns. A series of five large scale tests on structural assemblages, in which steel shear-arms are welded directly to the steel columns and embedded in the reinforced concrete beams, is presented. After describing the experimental arrangement and specimen details, the main results and observations obtained from the tests are provided and discussed. The test results offer a direct evaluation of the ultimate shear behaviour of such hybrid systems. The experimental findings also enable a comparison with the strength predictions obtained from analytical models which are commonly used in the design of conventional reinforced concrete members. The discussions and comparative assessments presented in this paper provide an insight into the influence of various shear transfer mechanisms including transverse reinforcement, compressive zones, residual tensile stresses, aggregate interlock, and dowel action, in addition to the interfacial bond between the steel profile and concrete. The activation and contribution of the key shear transfer mechanisms are assessed in light of the experimentally-monitored crack growth, path and pattern, as well as in comparison with widely-adopted analytical approaches. The results show that the contribution of each transfer mechanism is a function of the crack kinematics and corresponding level of applied load. Finally, modifications to existing analytical approaches for conventional reinforced concrete elements are proposed in order to provide a reliable evaluation of the ultimate shear capacity of such hybrid systems. The suggested expressions account for the influence of the shear-arms' characteristics on the ultimate shear strength, and offer a more realistic prediction of the behaviour in comparison with conventional reinforced concrete design provisions.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Situations in which reinforced concrete floor elements need to be combined with vertical steel members often arise in multi-storey buildings, either due to loading and performance constraints or as a result of practical and constructional considerations. However, the design of such 'hybrid reinforced concrete/steel members' often poses various uncertainties related to the direct applicability of codified rules which are typically developed and validated for conventional reinforced concrete or structural steel configurations.

Many previous studies have examined the performance of various forms of hybrid steel/concrete elements. For example, various investigations have been carried out on the performance of composite steel coupling beams connected to reinforced concrete wall elements [1–4], and on the behaviour of connections between steel

beams and reinforced concrete columns [5–7]. Several recent studies have also examined the performance of flat slab-to-tubular steel or composite column connections [8–11] by means of embedded shear-arms. Nevertheless, there is a dearth of fundamental assessments on the shear transfer mechanisms and ultimate behaviour of hybrid reinforced concrete beam-to-steel column systems.

The presence of an embedded steel element within a reinforced concrete member creates a discontinuity within two distinct regions (i.e. composite and non-composite), and results in more complex behavioural characteristics than those occurring in conventional reinforced concrete members. A number of failure modes can occur within the two regions of the hybrid member, either in flexure or shear, with the latter involving more intricate inter-dependent behavioural mechanisms. In a recent numerical study by the authors [12], typical shear failure mechanisms involving diagonal tension or shear crushing that can occur in hybrid beams, were explored. As expected, early stages of behaviour are described by flexural cracking. When flexural failure is not

* Corresponding author.

Nomenclature*Greek letters*

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| θ, θ_{cr} | crack inclination |
| Δ | deflection |
| Δ_{dow} | dowel displacement |
| Δ_s | crack slip |
| ε_i | strain |
| η | stiffness ratio ($E_c I_c / E_v I_v$) |
| λ | shape of the compression block factor, load proportionality factor |
| λ_K | proportionality constant |
| λ_v | embedded length factor |
| μ | friction coefficient |
| ρ_k | ratio between volume of aggregates to concrete |
| ρ_l | flexural reinforcement ratio |
| ρ_v | composite reinforcement ratio |
| ρ_w | shear reinforcement ratio |
| σ_i | normal stress |
| σ_{pu} | compressive strength of cement matrix |
| $\tau_{b,i}$ | bond stress |
| τ_i | tangential stress |
| ψ | rotation |
| v_i | shear stress |

Lowercase latin letters

| | |
|------------|--|
| a | shear span |
| a_v | composite shear span |
| a_i | lever arm |
| a_w, a_s | contact areas (for aggregate interlock action) |
| b | concrete section width |
| c | depth of the compression zone |
| c_{nom} | concrete cover |
| d | effective depth |
| d_b | bar diameter |
| $d_{g,i}$ | aggregate dimension |
| e' | eccentricity |
| f_c | concrete cylinder strength |
| f_{ct} | concrete tensile strength |
| $f_{y,i}$ | yield strength of steel |
| $f_{t,i}$ | ultimate strength steel |
| h | concrete section depth |
| $h_{c,v}$ | column depth |

| | |
|------------|--|
| h_v | depth of the shear-key |
| l_{dow} | dowel span |
| l_v | embedded length |
| $l_{x,cr}$ | horizontal projection of the shear crack |
| r_s | clear half span (from column face) |
| s_w | spacing of transverse reinforcement |
| z_i | lever arm |
| w_i | crack width |
| w_{max} | maximum crack width |
| x,y,z | coordinates |

Uppercase latin letters

| | |
|------------|--|
| $A_{s,i}$ | reinforcement sectional area |
| A_w, A_s | contact areas (aggregate interlock action) |
| A_v | shearkey cross sectional area |
| E_i | modulus of elasticity |
| I_i | moment of inertia |
| L_s | moment span |
| L | length |
| N_i | axial force |
| M_i | bending moment |
| P_i | applied load |
| V_i | shear force |

Subscripts

| | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>agg</i> | aggregate interlock |
| <i>ch</i> | concrete compressive zone |
| <i>b</i> | bond |
| <i>c</i> | concrete |
| <i>cr</i> | crack |
| <i>s</i> | longitudinal steel |
| <i>dow</i> | dowel action |
| <i>max</i> | maximum |
| <i>res</i> | concrete residual stresses |
| <i>sw,i; sw; w</i> | transverse reinforcement |
| <i>STM</i> | values from detailed assessment |
| <i>test</i> | test values |
| <i>u</i> | ultimate |
| <i>v</i> | composite slip, shearkey |

governing and high shear forces are mobilised in the section, diagonal cracking occurs. Shear failure takes place when stresses cannot be transferred through the crack interfaces and the member divides into two rigid bodies rotating along a fixed point located at the crack tip in the compression zone. Shear transfer can include contributions from several mechanisms including the concrete compressive zone, aggregate interlock, dowel action and transverse reinforcement [13–26], as well as the interfacial bond between the steel member and surrounding concrete [27–29]. The activation of each mechanism depends on the material strength, reinforcement details and member size.

Taylor [14,15] carried out investigations focusing on the distribution of shear stresses in the compression zone of reinforced concrete beams by monitoring the strains using a detailed arrangement of electrical strain gauges. The results showed that, before cracking, the shear stress distribution is nearly parabolic and the force carried by the compression zone increases slowly up to 20–40% of the total shear force until the beam approaches failure. It was reported that the tension zone of the beam can carry

up to 75% of the total shear force, with the transfer through aggregate interlock contributing up to 33–50% of the total shear and the dowel action in the range of 15–25%; the latter two mechanisms decrease significantly when stirrups are present. The results presented by Swamy and Andriopoulos [22] are also in agreement with the above, and showed that shear transferred through aggregate interlock decreases with the increase in load.

Several models have been proposed to estimate the contribution of aggregate interlock to the ultimate shear strength [e.g. 16,17,19,22,30]. The model proposed by Walraven and Reinhard [16] and Walraven [17] accounts for the physical behaviour of the interlocking crack faces and is based on a cumulative distribution function of the aggregates in the crack plane. Modified approaches incorporating other width-to-slip relationships have also been proposed by Ulaga [31] and Guidotti [32]. On the other hand, Dei Poli et al. [19] adopted an idealised crack model where the aggregate interlock contribution was assessed by assuming that the reinforced concrete beam behaves as a plane truss with shear and confinement stresses along the diagonal cracks. In

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/266046>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/266046>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)