

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Food Science and Human Wellness

Food Science and Human Wellness 5 (2016) 163–168

www.elsevier.com/locate/fshw

Investigation of potential Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) associated with a local foodborne outbreak using multidisciplinary approaches

Kristen A. Lozinak^a, Niketa Jani^a, Jayanthi Gangiredla^b, Isha Patel^b, Christopher A. Elkins^b, Zonglin Hu^c, Prince A. Kassim^a, Robert A. Myers^a, Pongpan Laksanalamai^{a,*}

^a Laboratories Administration, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 1770 Ashland Ave., Baltimore, MD 21205, United States ^b Office of Applied Research and Safety Assessment, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, US Food and Drug Administration, 8301 Muirkirk Rd., Laurel, MD 20708, United States

^c Winchester Engineering and Analytical Center, Office of Regulatory Affairs, US Food and Drug Administration, 109 Holton St., Winchester, MA 01890, United States

Received 14 December 2015; received in revised form 22 June 2016; accepted 12 July 2016 Available online 25 July 2016

Abstract

Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) outbreak is a public health concern as it can potentially cause a variety of clinical manifestations including diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). However *E. coli* are generally innocuous commensal organisms, and there is a need to discriminate pathogenic from non-pathogenic isolates rapidly and accurately. In this study, we have used standard culture based methods and advanced molecular approaches to characterize *E. coli* in food in a local outbreak investigation. We show that the application of DNA based detection methods including real-time PCR and DNA microarray along with a traditional culture method can identify the organism implicated in an outbreak at the strain level for pathogenic potential.

© 2016 Beijing Academy of Food Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: E. coli non-O157; Shiga toxin producing E. coli (STEC); RT-PCR; DNA microarray

1. Introduction

Many serotypes of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) have emerged as a major cause of food-borne infections in the past 30 years [1–3]. *E. coli* serotype O157:H7 STEC is considered one of the most important pathogens for public

E-mail addresses: Pongpan.laksanalamai@maryland.gov, pongpan3@gmail.com (P. Laksanalamai).

Peer review under responsibility of Beijing Academy of Food Sciences.



health concerns, classified as an adulterant by the US Food and Drug Administration and the USDA Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) [4,5]. Recently, it has also been recognized that a large number of E. coli non O157:H7 serotypes can be responsible for many E. coli outbreaks [6]. A study at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) showed that from 1983 to 2002 approximately 70% of non-O157 STEC infections were caused by strains from one of the six major serogroups known as "Big Six", including O26, O111, O45, O121, O103 and O145 [7]. STEC can potentially cause a variety of clinical manifestations including diarrhea, hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) [8]. Pathotypically, STEC can usually be classified as enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) [9]. As such, the FDA Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM) protocol now requires analysts who perform food testing to screen samples for both E. coli O157:H7 and non O157:H7 STEC [8,10].

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2016.07.002

2213-4530/© 2016 Beijing Academy of Food Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Laboratories Administration, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 1770 Ashland Ave., Baltimore, MD 21205, United States.

For public health concerns, there is a need for rapid methods to identify, characterize and serotype pathogens associated with contaminated food during the surveillance and outbreak investigation. In addition to the traditional culture-based methods, several molecular assays including WGS, real-time (RT) PCR, DNA optical mapping. ELISA or whole genome microarray have emerged over the past several years [11,12]. Our study focuses on using RT-PCR analyses and DNA microarray methods to assist an outbreak investigation of a local *E. coli* non-O157:H7 outbreak causing diarrhea in a few people from a food borne outbreak.

DNA microarray is one of the highly discriminatory sequence-based molecular approaches that can quickly and accurately identify the relatedness of organisms by presence/absence of genes in the pathogens. Low density microarray, targeting several major virulence genes has previously been proposed as a molecular tool to assess STEC [13]. Since then, microarray technology has been improved with the development of bioinformatics as well as whole genome sequencing (WGS) technology [11]. DNA microarray has been shown to provide advantage tools in the characterization of several major foodborne pathogens including Cronobacter sp. [14], E. coli [11,15–17], Listeria monocytogenes [18–20] or Clostridium *botulinum* [21]. We have shown here that after the isolates were obtained, we were able to obtain the genomic information of the isolates within 48 h. The fast turnaround time is critically important not only for outbreak investigation, but is important for the regulatory perspective as well.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample enrichment and biochemical tests

Our study was carried out in response to a possible local *E. coli* non-O157:H7 STEC outbreak investigation. We used two different approaches to characterize the *E. coli* strains implicated in this outbreak, including culture and molecular based methods. FDA-BAM was strictly followed as a standard method for culturing *E. coli* O157:H7 and *E. coli* non-O157:H7 STEC (BAM chapter 4a) [10]. Several food samples implicated in this outbreak are shown in Table 1. In brief, after the samples were received at our laboratory, microbiological

Table 1Samples that were collected for outbreak investigation.

Sample ID	Sample type	Microbiological result for E. coli
001	Cooked chicken	Negative
002	Raw chicken	Positive
003	Sliced almond and raisins	Negative
004	Grilled salmon	Negative
005	Better than bouillon 1	Negative
006	Better than bouillon 2	Negative
007	Curry powder	Negative
008	Light mayonnaise	Negative
009	Peeled garlic	Negative
010	Ginger wasabi sauce	Negative
011	Soy sauce	Negative

examination was performed within 48 h of the collection, by enriching them in modified buffered peptone water with pyruvate (mBPWp) for 5 h at 37 °C, then supplemented with acriflavin, cefsulodin, and vancomycin (ACV) to the final concentration in mBPWp of 10 mg/L, 10 mg/L and 8 mg/L, respectively. The sample enrichments were then incubated at 42 °C for a total of 18–24 h [10]. The overnight sample enrichments were serially diluted in Butterfield's phosphate buffer, with 0.5 mL of the 10^{-2} through 10^{-4} dilutions spread-plated in duplicate on Levineeosine methylene blue (EMB) agar and R&F[®] non-0157 STEC Chromogenic Plating Medium, a chromogenic agar that utilizes the chromogen, X-β-D-glucuronic acid to detect the enzyme, β -glucuronidase which is produced by 95%–98% of *E. coli*, as well as some Salmonella and Shigella strains. Addition of phenol red indicator, cellobiose and myo-inositol, differentiates these pathogens from E. coli, and after 24 h incubation at 41-42 °C, E. coli non-O157 will appear as dark blue colonies with or without a clear ring. Further biochemical testing (MUG, indole) and confirmatory testing such as API20E (BioMérieux, Lyon, France) and ProlexTM E. coli non-O157 latex kit (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Round Rox, TX) were utilized to confirm that both isolates were E. coli non-O157 serotype and of the O45 serogroup.

2.2. RT-PCR methods

All sample enrichments were screened for *E. coli* non-O157:H7 STEC using both commercially available BAX-PCR (Dupont, Wilmington, USA) and an in-house real-time PCR [5,22,23]. BAX PCR was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Real-time PCR for non-O157 STEC was performed using ABI7500 FAST Dx with the primers and probes specific to the Big Six group of the *E. coli* non-O157:H7 STEC shown in Tables 2A and 2B.

Primers and probes for RT-PCR (Tables 2A and 2B) obtained from Integrated DNA technologies (Coralville, IA), Biosearch Technologies (Novato, CA) and Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) were used to analyze the samples. Big six PCR panel real-time PCR was performed in a final volume of 25 μ L in a 96-well plate

Primer name	Primer sequence
16S RNA F	5'-CCT CTT GCC ATC GGA TGT G-3'
16S RNA R	5'-GGC TGG TCA TCC TCT CAG ACC-3'
wzx O26 F	5'-GTA TCG CTG AAA TTA GAA GCG C-3'
wzx O26 R	5'-AGT TGA AAC ACC CGT AAT GGC-3'
wzx O111 F	5'-TGT TCC AGG TGG TAG GAT TCG-3'
wzx O111 R	5'-TCA CGA TGT TGA TCA TCT GGG- 3'
wzx O45 F	5'-CGT TGT GCA TGG TGG CAT-3'
wzx O45 R	5'-TGG CCA AAC CAA CTA TGA ACT G- 3'
wzx O121 F	5'-AGG CGC TGT TTG GTC TCT TAG A-3'
wzx O121 R	5'-GAA CCG AAA TGA TGG GTG CT-3'
wzx O103 F	5'-TTG GAG CGT TAA CTG GAC CT-3'
wzx O103 R	5'-ATA TTC GCT ATA TCT TCT TGC GGC-3'
wzx O145 F	5'-AAA CTG GGA TTG GAC GTG G-3'
wzx O145 R	5'-CCC AAA ACT TCT AGG CCC G-3'

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2688264

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2688264

Daneshyari.com