

Macular thickness measurements using Copernicus Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography



Laxmi Gella^{a,b}, Rajiv Raman^{c,*}, Tarun Sharma^c

Abstract

Purpose: To provide normal macular thickness measurements using Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (SDOCT, Copernicus, Optopol Technologies, Zawierci, Poland).

Methods: Fifty-eight eyes of 58 healthy subjects were included in this prospective study. All subjects had comprehensive ophthalmic examination including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA). All the subjects underwent Copernicus SDOCT. Central foveal thickness (CFT) and photoreceptor layer (PRL) thickness were measured and expressed as mean and standard deviation. Mean retinal thickness for each of the 9 regions defined in the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study was reported. The data were compared with published literature in Indians using Stratus and Spectralis OCTs to assess variation in instrument measurements.

Results: The mean CFT in the study sample was 173.8 ± 18.16 microns (131–215 microns) and the mean PRL thickness was 65.48 ± 4.23 microns (56–74 microns). No significant difference ($p = 0.148$) was found between CFT measured automated (179.28 ± 22 microns) and manually (173.83 ± 18.1 microns). CFT was significantly lower in women (167.62 ± 16.36 microns) compared to men (180.03 ± 18 microns) ($p = 0.008$). Mean retinal thickness reported in this study was significantly different from published literature using Stratus OCT and Spectralis OCT.

Conclusion: We report the normal mean retinal thickness in central 1 mm area to be between 138 and 242 microns in Indian population using Copernicus SDOCT. We suggest that different OCT instruments cannot be used interchangeably for the measurement of macular thickness as they vary in segmentation algorithms.

Keywords: Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography, Retina, Foveal thickness, Photoreceptor layer thickness, Retinal thickness

© 2014 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Saudi Ophthalmological Society, King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2014.10.003>

Introduction

The major development in ophthalmic imaging was the introduction of optical coherence tomography (OCT) in 1991 by Huang et al.¹ Ophthalmoscopy, fundus photography and fluorescein angiography are the common tools to diagnose diabetic retinopathy (DR) and diabetic macular edema (DME). Due to the non-invasive nature of the OCT technique

it might replace or add as a complementary to fluorescein angiography. Spectral Domain OCT (SDOCT) is an advanced modification of traditional time domain OCT. The main advantages of the SDOCT are speed and sensitivity,^{2,3} which have helped in conducting advanced clinical and research oriented studies. There is an increasing demand for high-resolution imaging of the ocular tissue to improve the diagnosis and management of various retinal diseases.

Received 8 March 2014; received in revised form 17 September 2014; accepted 12 October 2014; available online 18 October 2014.

^a Elite School of Optometry, No. 8, G.S.T. Road, St. Thomas Mount, Chennai 600 016, Tamil Nadu, India

^b Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, India

^c Shri Bhagwan Mahavir Vitreoretinal Services, 18, College Road, Sankara Nethralaya, Chennai 600 006, Tamil Nadu, India

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 44 28271616.
e-mail address: rajivpgraman@gmail.com (R. Raman).

Retinal thickness is defined as the distance between the vitreoretinal interface and the inner border of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). Various SDOCTs are commercially available but the segmentation software of these instruments identifies different hyperreflective structures in each cross-sectional image. All instruments in common identify the vitreoretinal interface as inner retinal border. The segmentation of outer retinal border identified by different instruments varies significantly. Stratus OCT considers Photoreceptor-RPE complex as the outer retinal border. Copernicus SDOCT, Spectral OCT/SLO and RTVue-100 identify the inner border of RPE as the outer retinal border. Cirrus HD-OCT measures retinal thickness up to the outer band of the RPE, whereas the Spectralis OCT includes Bruch's membrane in the retinal thickness measurement.

Studies have reported normative data of macular thickness using various commercially available SDOCTs.⁴⁻¹² The macular thickness measurement for diagnostic function may differ with the population which is used as a database as well as the instrument which is being used. So it is required that the retinal thickness measurements of normal subjects be as close as possible to the population for which the instrument is to be used. To the best of our knowledge there is no normative database available for Indians using Copernicus SDOCT. The present study provides retinal thickness measurements using Copernicus SDOCT in subjects without any known retinal diseases to establish a normative data for clinical use.

Materials and methods

Fifty-eight eyes of 58 healthy volunteers were included in this prospective study. One eye of each subject was selected randomly by generating random numbers in excel. The study was approved by the organization's Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained from the subjects in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Demographic data and detailed medical and ocular history were obtained from the subjects. All subjects underwent comprehensive ophthalmic examination which included refraction, assessment of intraocular pressure, anterior and posterior segment evaluation including cup disk ratio measurement. Subjects with any ocular pathology or with glaucomatous changes or glaucoma suspects and those with history of any ocular surgeries were excluded. Normal eyes were defined as those that had best correct visual acuity of 20/20 or better. Refractive errors within ± 3.0 D sphere and less than or equal to ± 1.0 D cylinder were included. And subjects with significant media opacities which could lead to poor quality scans on SDOCT were excluded. All included subjects underwent Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography (Copernicus, SDOCT, Optopol Technologies, Zawierci, Poland) by a single operator.

SDOCT scans were performed through a dilated pupil while monitoring the reconstructed video image of the central retina. The programs used for the present study were asterisk scan and 3D scan protocols. We can vary the scan length from 4 to 10 mm and also the number of A-scans and B-scans. For the purpose of this study we used a scan length of 7 mm with 6 B-scans and 3000 A-scans per B-scans through the center of the fovea for the asterisk scan protocol. The scan acquisition time was 0.8 s. 3D scan protocol was used with 7 mm scan length with 50 B-scans and 1000

A-scans per B-scan with the time acquisition of 2.4 s. All the measurements were calculated in microns.

The following parameters were noted on SDOCT:

1. *Central foveal thickness (CFT)* was defined as the distance between the vitreoretinal interface and the anterior surface of the RPE and this was measured manually and also automated using measurement software in SDOCT and this was measured in the B-scan where a hyperreflective echo was noted which represents the center of the fovea. This was acquired from asterisk scan protocol.
2. *Mean retinal thickness (MRT)* was noted at the central 1 mm, middle 3 mm and the outer 6 mm ring, in the superior, inferior, nasal and temporal quadrants. These measurements are given by the automated software. This measurement was acquired from 3D scan protocol.
3. *Photoreceptor layer (PRL)* thickness was measured at the central fovea (defined above) from asterisk scan, which was defined as the distance between the external limiting membrane which appears as a thin hyperreflective line on SDOCT and the anterior surface of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE).

Intra-observer and inter-observer repeatability was determined for the manual measurement of OCT thickness parameters from a pilot study. Intra-observer repeatability was found to be good in measuring the SDOCT outcomes with intraclass correlation of 0.99 for CFT, 0.63 for PRL thickness. For the inter-observer repeatability the mean difference in the CFT measurements was $6.7 \mu\text{m}$ with limits of agreement ranging from -19.4 to $32.8 \mu\text{m}$. The mean difference in the PRL thickness was $8 \mu\text{m}$ with 95% limits of agreement between -7.4 and $23.4 \mu\text{m}$.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 15.0, Chicago, IL, USA). The results were expressed as mean \pm SD. Independent *t*-test was used for comparing the parameters between the groups. The level of statistical significance for *P*-value was <0.05 .

Results

Mean age of the subjects was 36 ± 12 years (range: 21–76 years). There was no significant difference in age between men and women ($p = 0.28$). The mean central foveal thickness in the study sample was 173.8 ± 18.16 microns (131–215 microns) and the mean photoreceptor layer thickness was 65.48 ± 4.23 microns (56–74 microns). No significant difference ($p = 0.148$) was found between CFT measured automated (179.28 ± 22 microns) and manually (173.83 ± 18.1 microns). Fig. 1 shows the mean retinal thickness in 9 ETDRS subfields. Temporal quadrant of 6 mm radius was the thinnest in relation to nasal, superior and inferior quadrants.

Table 1 shows the comparison of thickness parameters between men and women. CFT was significantly lower in women (167.62 ± 16.36 microns) compared to men (180.03 ± 18 microns) ($p = 0.008$). Women showed significantly decreased retinal thickness in all ETDRS subfields except for the nasal quadrant in 3 mm radius, superior and temporal quadrants of 6 mm radius which did not show any significant difference.

Table 2 shows comparison of mean retinal thickness assessed using OCT in healthy individuals given by various

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2703851>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2703851>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)