

Design improvement of the target elements of Wendelstein 7-X divertor

J. Boscary^{a,*}, A. Peacock^c, T. Friedrich^b, H. Greuner^a, B. Böswirth^a, H. Tittes^a, W. Schulmeyer^b, F. Hurd^c

^a Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, 85748 Garching, Germany

^b Plansee SE, Innovation Services, 6600 Reutte, Austria

^c European Commission C/O Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, 85748 Garching, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 9 August 2012

Keywords:

Stellarator
Plasma facing components
Divertor

ABSTRACT

The actively cooled high-heat flux divertor of the Wendelstein 7-X stellarator consists of individual target elements made of a water-cooled CuCrZr copper alloy heat sink armored with CFC tiles. The so-called “bi-layer” technology developed in collaboration with the company Plansee for the bonding of the tiles onto the heat sink has reliably demonstrated the removal of the specified heat load of 10 MW/m² in the central area of the divertor. However, due to geometrical constraints, the loading performance at the ends of the elements is reduced compared to the central part. Design modifications compatible with industrial processes have been made to improve the cooling capabilities at this location. These changes have been validated during test campaigns of full-scale prototypes carried out in the neutral beam test facility GLADIS. The tested solution can remove reliably the stationary heat load of 5 MW/m² and 2 MW/m² on the top and on the side of the element, respectively. The results of the testing allowed the release of the design and fabrication processes for the next manufacturing phase of the target elements.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The actively cooled high-heat flux (HHF) divertor of stellarator Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) is a key component for the successful high power steady state operation of this machine. W7-X is designed for steady-state operation with 10 MW input power over a pulse length of up to 30 min and peak power of up to 20 MW for 10 s [1].

The design requirements of the divertor components are based on defined standard magnetic configurations where the strike points load the central part of the target elements with a specified stationary heat load of 10 MW/m² [2]. However, due to the water cooling geometry of the elements, the loading performance at the end of the target surface is reduced compared to the central part. Design modifications have been investigated to improve the cooling capabilities at this location. Two aspects of the design of the target elements have been considered: the design of the cooling structure and the arrangement of the tiles armouring at the end of the element. As with the central part of the target elements, the assessment of the performance is based on HHF testing of full-scale prototypes in the GLADIS facility [3].

2. Target elements

2.1. Divertor design

The divertor consists of ten similar discrete units, two units per period. An open configuration was selected to achieve effective power and particle exhaust over a wide range of magnetic configurations and plasma parameters. As shown in Fig. 1, the target surface of the divertor installed in the plasma vessel is split into the vertical part mounted at the inner side of the vessel and the horizontal part mounted at the outer side of the vessel. The horizontal (part 1) and vertical target plates define the main pumping gap. Each divertor unit consists of 10 HHF target modules, 100 modules for the whole divertor. Each module is a set of 8–12 target elements mounted onto a support frame and fed in parallel from water manifolds.

The positioning of the main pumping gap requires the installation of the piping at the opposite extremity of the elements to avoid thermal loading of the water connectors.

2.2. Target element design and technology

In total there are 890 target elements of twelve different types in total ranging from 250 to 600 mm length and 50 to 61.5 mm width. The shape of the elements is slightly trapezoidal along and across the element. The multiplicity of types is mainly due to diagnostics integration. The design is based on the flat tiles made from CFC

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 8932991962; fax: +49 8932991212.
E-mail address: jean.boscary@ipp.mpg.de (J. Boscary).




Fig. 1. Overview of one divertor unit (without piping).

NB31 joined to a CuCrZr heat sink. The 19 m² HHF divertor surface consists of 18,000 tiles.

The manufacturer Plansee (Reutte, Austria) produces the elements in two phases. The first phase comprises the shorter and simpler elements used in the horizontal part 2 of the divertor (see Fig. 1). The serial fabrication started in 2009 after an extensive collaborative validation phase [4]. One of the main achievements is the selection of the so-called “bi-layer” technology for the bonding of the CFC tiles onto the heat sink [5,6]. The delivery of these elements is completed.

The second phase is the fabrication of the elements facing the main pumping gap. These elements are longer and armored at the end. The technology for these additional features was validated by the fabrication of full-scale prototype elements which were inspected and HHF tested in the GLADIS facility. The manufactured elements, delivered by Plansee in 2011, are shown in Fig. 2. The length of the elements placed in the center is 600 mm and on the side 361 mm. The elements are equipped with special water connections for testing.

2.3. Design improvements of the cooling structure

Fig. 3 illustrates the limited cooling at the end of the element due to the design for the element in the case of the horizontal divertor. It shows the end of the element from the back side and the design of the cooling channel inside the cooling structure.

In the central part behind the CFC gap tiles (see Fig. 5), the cooling capability is intrinsically restricted due to the geometry of the element.

This makes it particularly important to ensure adequate water flow to this area. The cooling structure is made of a body closed with a back plate both made of CuCrZr joined together by electron beam welding. Semi circular channels, 9 mm in diameter, are machined



Fig. 2. Target element prototypes (source: Plansee).




Fig. 3. Detail of the cooling channel design at the end of the element.

into each of the two half plates. A swirl tape, 1 mm thick stainless steel, of ratio 2 is placed in the straight parts of the cooling channel, mechanically attached to the heat sink by a key-slot system. The thickness of the target element shown in Fig. 4 is 30 mm.

During the pre-series phase, the impact of water leakage between the adjacent channels of the cooling structure on the performance and life time of the elements was investigated [7]. The consequent reduction of the local water velocity at the end of the element reduced the local heat transfer and caused an increase in temperature. This effect is particularly significant for the longest (600 mm) elements. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 3, a sealing labyrinth has been machined between two adjacent channels on each side of the element.

2.4. Design improvement: end of horizontal divertor

The elements of the horizontal divertor (part 1 in Fig. 1) have an angle of 17° resulting from the divertor geometry. Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the design.

In the first design an L-shaped tile protects the side facing the pumping gap and the top end. A triangular tile is shaped to make the transition to the top tiles of the central area. This geometry had the advantage of offering a continuous welded transition between the side and the top parts, at a location where the cooling is less efficient due to the distance to the channel. The disadvantage of this design was the high consumption of CFC blocks used for the L-shaped tiles. HHF testing performed in GLADIS in 2005 always showed failure of the triangular-shaped tile due to its geometry but the L-shaped tile withstood the specification [8]. The new arrangement of the tiles is a combination of flat tiles only and allows a better standardization of the fabrication of the tiles. Two tiles, almost square, are positioned on the side (gap tiles) and two trapezoidal top tiles are attached with a 90° rotation with respect to the other top tiles are attached on the top. The disadvantage of this design is the un-cooled overlap located at the end of the top tile.




Fig. 4. Cross section of the target element.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/272107>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/272107>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)