





Original article

The Script Concordance Test in anesthesiology: Validation of a new tool for assessing clinical reasoning



Guillaume Ducos ^a, Corinne Lejus ^b, François Sztark ^c, Nathalie Nathan ^d, Olivier Fourcade ^a, Ivan Tack ^e, Karim Asehnoune ^b, Matthias Kurrek ^f, Bernard Charlin ^g, Vincent Minville ^{a,*}

- ^a Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, EA 4564, Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France
- ^b Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Nantes University Hospital, Nantes, France
- ^c Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France
- ^d Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Limoges University Hospital, Limoges, France
- ^e Physiology Laboratory, Inserm U 858, Toulouse University Hospital Rangueil, Toulouse, France
- Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, 150, College Street, Fitzgerald Building, Room 121, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3E2, Canada
- ^g Faculty of Medicine, University of Montreal, CP 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 5 March 2015

Keywords: Script Concordance Test Anesthesiology Resident

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate whether the Script Concordance Test (SCT) can discriminate between levels of experience among anesthesiology residents and attending physicians.

Study type: Multicenter (Toulouse, Nantes, Bordeaux and Limoges), prospective, observational study. Patients and methods: A SCT made of 60 items was used to evaluate "junior residents" (n = 60), "senior residents" (n = 47) and expert anesthesiologists (n = 10).

Results: There were no missing data in our study. Mean scores $(\pm SD)$ were $69.9 (\pm 6.1)$, $73.1 (\pm 6.5)$ and $82.0 (\pm 3.5)$ out of a potential score of 100 for "junior residents", "senior residents" and expert anesthesiologists, respectively. Results were statistically different between the 3 groups (P = 0.001) using the Kruskall-Wallis test. The Cronbach's α score was 0.63.

Conclusions: The SCT is a valid and useful tool for discriminating between anesthesia providers with varying levels of experience in anesthesiology. It may be a useful tool for documenting the progression of reasoning during anesthesia residency.

© 2015 Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar). Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Initial medical training aims at giving students the professional competence that will enable them to practice their specialty. Although a sound knowledge base is essential, it represents only the foundation upon which successful clinical reasoning is built. It is well documented that clinical expertise is acquired by learning techniques such as examination skills or the completion of technical procedures, which trigger diagnostic or treatment responses [1–3]. Successful clinical reasoning thus depends on the ability to organize various pieces of information from multiple data sets [1–3].

The Script Concordance Test (SCT) was developed over the last 20 years in order to evaluate clinical reasoning [1-5]. Script theory asserts that experienced practitioners have developed networks of

E-mail address: minville.v@chu-toulouse.fr (V. Minville).

knowledge, specifically in the performance of routine care, called illness scripts [4,5]. Networks of these scripts are made of links between knowledge of the disease, clinical symptoms, possible complications and relevant treatment [6].

The Script Concordance Test has been validated as a tool for separating groups of participants with varying degrees of clinical expertise in gynecology [7], urology [8], internal medicine [9], radiotherapy [10], neurology [5], as well as in nursing education [11].

While Multiple Choice Questions (MCQ) and/or Open Short Answer Questions (OSAQ), commonly used in validation exams for anesthesiology courses, can evaluate knowledge that was acquired during residency training, these methods mainly estimate factual knowledge and do not assess networks of knowledge and clinical reasoning. To date, SCTs have never been used to assess anesthesiologists.

The purpose of our study was to evaluate the validity of the SCT as a tool for assessing clinical reasoning in anesthesiology and to discriminate between groups of anesthesia residents and practitioners with varying levels of clinical experience.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Rangueil University Hospital, avenue Jean-Poulhès, 31059 Toulouse cedex 9, France. Tel.: +33 05 61 32 27 12; fax: +33 05 61 32 22 32.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Test design

The anesthesiology Script Concordance Test included 20 cases, presented as small vignettes from common tasks performed in several

different areas of anesthesiology (for example: elective surgery, emergencies, pediatrics, obstetrics, regional anesthesia, preoperative consultations, postoperative analgesia, or management of perioperative coronary ischemia). There was no question about Intensive Care in the SCT. Each vignette (several lines long) described a specific clinical case or task, though sometimes incompletely (Fig. 1).

Vignette 8

You support a 28 years-old man in the emergency room. He presents a closed fracture of the right leg.

If you think about	And you discover	Hypothesis become
Perform a regional anesthesia (femoral and sciatic nerves blocks)	Persistent paresthesia in the peroneal nerve territory	-2 Contraindicated -1 Less useful 0 Neither more or less usefull +1 More useful +2 Essential
Perform a regional anesthesia (spinal anesthesia)	A congenital lung dyspalsia with chronic respiratory failure	-2 Contraindicated -1 Less useful 0 Neither more or less usefull +1 More useful +2 Essential
Perform a general anesthesia	A Cormack score at 4	-2 Contraindicated -1 Less useful 0 Neither more or less usefull +1 More useful +2 Essential

	Vignette 8			
You are caring for a 28 year old man in the emergency room. He presents a closed fracture of the right leg.				
If you think about	And you discover	The hypothesis becomes		
Performing regional anesthesia	Persistent paresthesia in the	-2 Contraindicated		
(femoral and sciatic nerve blocks)	peroneal nerve territory	-1 Less useful		
		0 Neither more or less useful		
		+1 More useful		
		+2 Essential		
Performing regional anesthesia	A congenital lung dyspalsia	-2 Contraindicated		
(spinal anesthesia)	with chronic respiratory failure	-1 Less useful		
		0 Neither more or less useful		
		+1 More useful		
		+2 Essential		
Performing general anesthesia	A Cormack score of 4	-2 Contraindicated		
		-1 Less useful		
		0 Neither more or less useful		
		+1 More useful		
		+2 Essential		

Fig. 1. Example of a clinical vignette with Script Concordance Test (SCT) items.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2741957

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2741957

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>