



Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología

Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology

www.revcolanest.com.co



Essay

Radial artery catheterism for invasive monitoring: Preventing complications, a challenge in anesthesia[☆]

Katheryne Chaparro Mendoza^{*}

Anesthesiologist, Fundación Clínica Valle del Lili, Hospital Universitario del Valle, Cali, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 January 2012

Accepted 19 May 2012

Available online 27 July 2012

Keywords:

Arteries

Radial artery

Catheters indwelling

Blood pressure monitors

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Radial artery line placement is a common intervention in anesthesia. There is a sensation of false safety generated by the relatively low complication incidence. Identification of the primary association factors and controversies on the matter are key for prevention of complications.

Methods: We present the case of a female patient who suffered permanent ischemia in her hand after inserting a catheter in the radial artery. A reflexive review of the literature on risk factors and controversies on interventions that have been implemented is included.

Results: Placing a line in the radial artery may cause permanent ischemic injuries in as much as 0.09% of cases. 38% of cases develop thrombosis with the procedure, the risk of thrombosis increases over time and remains even after removing the cannula. The Allen test has shown to be a poor predictor of ischemic lesions. Age, use of tobacco, diabetes, renal failure and arterial hypertension are all risk factors for radial artery atheromatosis. Other elements such as the size and the material the catheter is made of, have also been related to the risk of complications. Infusions through the catheter remain a controversy. Ultrasound guides for catheterism eases the procedure.

Conclusions: This procedure is definitely not without risks. Even though many factors have been carefully documented they cannot be fully controlled and interventions aimed at prevention have not been proven to be effective.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier España, S.L. on behalf of Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación.

Cateterismo de la arteria radial para monitorización invasiva: evitar las complicaciones, un reto en anestesia

RESUMEN

Introducción: La canalización de la arteria radial es una intervención común en anestesia. La falsa sensación de seguridad en su uso proviene de la relativa escasa frecuencia de complicaciones. Identificar los principales factores asociados y las controversias aún existentes son elementos esenciales en el desafío de evitar complicaciones.

Método: Se presenta el caso de una mujer llevada a cirugía que presentó lesión isquémica permanente la mano después de la inserción de un catéter en la arteria radial. Se realiza

Palabras clave:

Arterias

Arteria radial

Catéteres de permanencia

Monitores de presión sanguínea

[☆] Please cite this article as: Chaparro Mendoza K. Cateterismo de la arteria radial para monitorización invasiva: evitar las complicaciones, un reto en anestesia. Rev Colomb Anestesiología. 2012;40:262-5.

^{*} Correspondence address: Calle 45 # 99-39 Apto 401 E unidad residencial Entreparkes, Cali, Colombia.

E-mail address: jacok20@hotmail.com

una revisión reflexiva de la literatura sobre los factores de riesgo y las controversias sobre las intervenciones que han sido implementadas para prevenir las complicaciones.

Resultados: La canalización de la arteria radial puede generar de lesiones isquémicas permanentes hasta en un 0,09%. Un 38% de los casos presenta trombosis con la canalización, el riesgo aumenta con el tiempo de canalización y permanece aun después de la decanulación. El test de Allen ha demostrado no ser adecuado para predecir lesiones isquémicas. Factores como la edad, tabaquismo, diabetes mellitus, insuficiencia renal e hipertensión arterial son reconocidos como riesgo para ateromatosis de la arterial radial. Otros elementos como el tamaño y material del catéter han sido asociados con el riesgo de complicaciones. Las infusiones a través del catéter permanecen en controversia y la canalización guiada con ultrasonido solo facilita el procedimiento.

Conclusiones: Definitivamente este procedimiento no está exento de riesgos y aunque muchos factores han sido claramente documentados no es posible controlarlos todos y las intervenciones dirigidas a prevenirlas no han demostrado ser eficaces.

© 2012 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. en nombre de Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación.

A 31-year-old female patient with a diagnosis of autoimmune polyglandular syndrome, hypothyroidism, insulin-dependant diabetes, stage 5 chronic renal failure and hypertension was hospitalized for renal and pancreatic transplant. Intravenous anesthesia was induced and maintained with balanced anesthesia. Invasive monitoring was carried out through a left central venous catheter, the left radial artery was channeled with a No. 20 angiocat. After several attempts, heparin infusion began at 2 U/ml. The procedure did not require any vasoactive treatment. After a 6 h long surgery, the patient was extubated and transferred to the Intensive Care Unit, where the arterial catheter was removed 24 h later. At the third day of postoperative care, the patient claimed to have pain in her left middle finger and on the fourth day, cyanosis and coldness were found in her second and third fingers, as well as delayed capillary refill with symmetrical radial pulses. Initial management was carried out with hydromorphone and pain control was suboptimal, so left stellate ganglion blocking was carried out on the eighth day. The patient reported partial pain reduction with no other clinical changes of the ischemic event. A left upper limb arterial Doppler ultrasound revealed radial artery diameter reduction with laminar flow. The transesophageal echocardiogram discarded the possibility of a cardioembolic origin. The vascular surgery service indicated observational management, non-fractionated heparin anticoagulation and calcium antagonists. Opioid and gabapentin were prescribed for pain management at the Pain Management Clinic. On the 14th, stellate ganglion blocking was carried out once again and showed no improvement. The injury evolved into a necrosis which extended up to the proximal phalanx of the left middle finger. A month later, the patient finally required amputation.

Placing intra-arterial catheters for invasive monitoring of the blood pressure is a common intervention in anesthesia with clear indications, such as the need for real-time, continuous blood pressure monitoring, pharmacologic or mechanical cardiovascular management, multiple blood sample taking, inability to indirectly determine the blood pressure, wave and volume response information derived from systolic pressure or pulse pressure variability.¹ The radial artery is the place of choice because of its accessibility, simplicity and management. Although fairly uncommon, most

complications of arterial line placement are asymptomatic solved spontaneously.² Permanent ischemic hand injuries have been reported to occur in 0.09% of cases, and others such as sepsis (0.13%), local infection (0.72%), pseudoaneurysms (0.09%), hematomas (14%) and bleeding (0.5%).³

Bedford and Wollman⁴ reported thrombosis in 38.5% after radial artery placement, with Doppler ultrasound demonstrated preserved distal blood flow in 92.5% of cases and palpable radial pulse in 72.5%, secondary to ulnar artery collateral circulation. They also found a relation between thrombosis and arterial line placement time over 20 h. Thrombosis and vasospasm events were identified even after removing the cannula, where only 10% of cases showed clinical signs of vascular compromise that remitted seven days later. The arterial permeability recovery period lasted as much as 75 days. On the other hand, Slogoff et al.⁵ found that more than 25% of patients had partial or total occlusion of the radial artery, but none showed clinical signs of ischemia, which have been reported to appear lately, as in this case. These signs may coexist with radial pulse, so diagnosis may require diagnostic aids such as Doppler US and angiography.

The high incidence of blood flow compromise detected with Doppler ultrasound after radial artery line placement is acknowledged; so a search for predicting factors for complications is in progress. The Allen test has been employed for assessing the collateral hand circulation quality during temporary occlusion for predicting ischemic injury should circulation be interrupted permanently. In a trial by Slogoff, only 3.9% of cases had an abnormal Allen test and none of them showed any complications after line placement. Such results suggest the Allen test is not a reliable predicting test in absence of vascular disease. Barone and Madlinger⁶ carried out a review of the literature and concluded that there is no consensus on the parameters of an abnormal Allen test or its significance; therefore it is not an adequate method for prediction of line placement complications.

Trials in patients who have undergone myocardial revascularization have shown that age above 50, use of tobacco, arterial hypertension, diabetes and kidney failure are the factors with highest association with hyperplasia, calcification and atheromatosis of the radial artery.⁷ Even though this is the case of a young patient, her comorbidities as a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2756031>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2756031>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)