



Contents available at ScienceDirect

Diabetes Research
and Clinical Practicejournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/diabresInternational
Diabetes
Federation

CrossMark

Validation of the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) questionnaire for undiagnosed type 2 diabetes screening in the Slovenian working population

Gregor Štiglic^{a,b,*}, Nino Fijačko^a, Andraž Stožer^c, Aziz Sheikh^{d,e}, Majda Pajnikihar^{a,c}

^a Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Maribor, Žitna ulica 15, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia

^b Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Maribor, Smetanova ulica 17, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia

^c Faculty of Medicine, University of Maribor, Taborska ulica 8, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia

^d Centre for Medical Informatics, Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, The University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK

^e Division of General Internal Medicine and Primary Care, Brigham and Women's Hospital/Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis St., Boston, MA 02115, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 March 2016

Received in revised form

22 May 2016

Accepted 19 August 2016

Available online 26 August 2016

Keywords:

Undiagnosed type 2 diabetes

Screening

Fasting plasma glucose

Prevention

ABSTRACT

We performed a cross-sectional population-based study on 632 participants, aged 20–65, who were screened using the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) questionnaire. Optimal results for men were achieved at FINDRISC ≥ 7 (100.0% sensitivity and 0.78 AUC) and for women at FINDRISC ≥ 13 (60.0% sensitivity and 0.78 AUC).

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 2015, there were around 415 million people (8.8%) with diabetes in the world and this number is expected to rise to 640 million (10.4%) by the year 2040 [1,2]. A considerable proportion of this burden is made up of people with undiagnosed diabetes [1,3]. In Slovenia, the number of people with diabetes has increased from 120,000 (8.0%) in 2000 [4] to 170,000 (10.7%)

in 2015, and more than 60,000 people are expected to have undiagnosed diabetes [1].

National diabetes screening guidelines in Slovenia [5] follow guidelines similar to those used in many other European countries [6] by setting the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) cut-off to select an individual for further examinations at ≥ 15 . The predictive performance of FINDRISC was previously demonstrated in detecting type 2 DM, impaired

* Corresponding author at: Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Maribor, Žitna ulica 15, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia.

E-mail address: gregor.stiglic@um.si (G. Štiglic).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.08.010>

0168-8227/© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

glucose tolerance, and the metabolic syndrome in Finland and Greece [7–9]. This study focused on validation of the FINDRISC questionnaire in the Slovenian working-age population and the identification of optimum cut-off values.

2. Methods

A cross-sectional population-based study was performed on a sample of 632 individuals in two healthcare institutions between April and December 2015. The FINDRISC question-

naire was fully completed by 551 participants, aged 20–65 years, who were screened from the healthy working population living in the south-eastern region of Slovenia. Individuals who scored ≥ 15 were informed that they were, based on existing guidance [5,9], at increased risk of developing type 2 DM. Based on their fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels, participants were categorised in the diabetes ($\text{FPG} \geq 7.0 \text{ mmol/L}$) group. The diabetes group ($n = 12$) was compared to a group of participants with normal FPG levels ($n = 470$) together with participants where impaired fasting glucose was present

Table 1 – Summary of characteristics for patients with diabetes, impaired fasting glucose (IFG), and normal fasting plasma glucose levels.

	Diabetes	IFG	Normal
n (%)	12 (2.2)	57 (10.3)	482 (87.5)
Age (years)	50.3 ± 10.6	49.4 ± 10.0	43.6 ± 11.0
BMI (kg/m^2)	33.6 ± 6.1	29.9 ± 6.0	26.7 ± 5.3
Waist circumference (cm)	104.5 ± 17.7	96.6 ± 14.2	90.72 ± 12.9
Systolic BP (mmHg)	146.4 ± 10.5	137.1 ± 17.1	127.4 ± 14.3
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	96.6 ± 11.3	85.9 ± 9.6	80.0 ± 9.8
FPG (mmol/L)	8.4 ± 3.2	6.3 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 0.4

Data are presented as means \pm standard deviation unless otherwise specified; BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure, FPG: fasting plasma glucose.

Table 2 – Characteristics of study participants based on FINDRISC variables ($n = 551$).

FINDRISC question	Male		Female		Difference (p-value)
	Count ($n = 260$)	%	Count ($n = 291$)	%	
Age group (years)					
<45	135	51.9%	137	47.1%	0.188
45–55	82	31.5%	88	30.2%	
56–65	43	16.5%	66	22.7%	
BMI (kg/m^2)					
<25	71	27.3%	141	48.5%	<0.001
25–30	119	45.8%	94	32.3%	
>30	70	26.9%	56	19.2%	
Waist circumference (cm)					
Male < 94, female < 80	116	44.6%	80	27.5%	<0.001
Male 94–102, female 80–88	76	29.2%	85	29.2%	
Male > 102, female > 88	68	26.2%	126	43.3%	
Physical activity					
Yes	224	86.2%	254	87.3%	0.696
No	36	13.8%	37	12.7%	
Fruit and vegetables daily					
Yes	196	75.4%	249	85.6%	0.002
No	64	24.6%	42	14.4%	
Hypertension medication					
Yes	77	29.6%	82	28.2%	0.710
No	183	70.4%	209	71.8%	
High blood glucose history					
Yes	32	12.3%	40	13.7%	0.617
No	228	87.7%	251	86.3%	
Family history of Type 2 diabetes					
No relatives with diabetes	193	74.2%	204	70.1%	0.547
Second-degree relatives	42	16.2%	56	19.2%	
First-degree relatives	25	9.6%	31	10.7%	

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2796147>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2796147>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)