ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Constructional Steel Research



Square concrete filled steel tubular (CFST) members under loading and chloride corrosion: Experiments

Lin-Hai Han ^{a,*}, Chao Hou ^a, Qing-Li Wang ^b

^a Department of Civil Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, PR China

^b School of Civil Engineering, Shenyang Jianzhu University, Shenyang, 110168, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 August 2011 Accepted 21 November 2011 Available online 27 December 2011

Keywords: Concrete filled steel tube (CFST) Stub column Beam Long-term loading Chloride corrosion Ultimate strength

ABSTRACT

This paper is an attempt to study the performance of concrete filled steel tubular (CFST) members with square sections under both loading and chloride corrosion. A total of 28 specimens, including 17 stub columns and 11 beams, were tested. The main parameters were loading ratio (from 0 to 0.75) during corrosion, as well as corrosion condition (no corrosion, and fully or half immersed into corrosive solution, respectively). According to the test, the effects of both loading and corrosion on the behaviour of CFST and reference hollow steel tubular members were analyzed. Comparisons between the predicted ultimate strength by using the existing codes of DBJ/T13-51-2010 and EC4-2004 and the testing results were proposed.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Concrete filled steel tubular (CFST) structures have several well known structural and constructional benefits (Han, 2010 [1], Shanmugam and Lakshmi, 2001 [2]), they thus have an increasing application in a large amount of the recent offshore structures, bridge and tower structures.

CFST structures at service loads will suffer the effects of both shrinkage and creep of the core concrete. In some offshore and bridge structures, CFST may also subjected to chloride corrosion. Fig. 1 shows a transmission tower with 370 m in height in China, where four CFST ribs are used as main components. This tower locates close to the East China Sea, durability design of CFST ribs is one of the key issues for this structure. Thus, it is important to study the behaviour of CFST members under both loading and chloride corrosion.

In the past, there were some research studies carried out on CFST members under long-term sustained loading, such as Furlong (1967) [3], Terrey et al. (1994) [4], Morino et al. (1996) [5], Uy (2001) [6], Ichinose et al. (2001) [7], Han et al. (2004) [8], Kwon et al. (2007) [9], and etc. It was found that, the influencing of long-term sustained loading on the ultimate strength of CFST columns are not negligible. Han et al. (2004) [8] proposed a strength index k_{cr} to quantify the effects of the long-term sustained loading, k_{cr} was expressed as:

$$k_{\rm cr} = \frac{N_{\rm ul}}{N_{\rm ue-shortterm}} \tag{1}$$

where, $N_{\rm ul}$ is the ultimate strength of CFST columns subjected to longterm sustained loading, $N_{\rm ue-shortterm}$ is the strength of the referred member without long-term sustained loading.

Studies on constructional steel and steel tubular structures subjected to corrosive environment were also carried out in the past (Melchers, 2006 [10], 2010 [11]; Ma et al., 2009 [12]). It is known that seawater marine environment is in particular highly corrosive for common steel, whilst chloride ion is one of the most significant natural contaminant which plays an important role in the corrosion process of structural steel. Table 1 shows some of the existing codes of steel structures from several countries or regions as well as their suggested guidance on corrosion protection methods. These codes listed in Table 1 have explicitly referred to the significant role of marine corrosion and suggested measures to ensure that the structures are able to perform their function during the service life (50 years' design working life, as specified in all the listed codes) ([13–19]). The guidance suggested can be generally summarized as three types:

- Use of protective systems, such as paints, sacrificial coatings, and et al.;
- Use of suitable non-rusting materials, such as weathering steel, alloy, and et al.;
- Use of electrochemical protection, such as impressed current cathodic protection, and et al.

Besides these protective methods mentioned above, in some cases, the use of a sacrificial corrosion allowance is also recommended by structural engineers and researchers. This method suggests that

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: + 86 10 62797067; fax: + 86 10 62781488. *E-mail address*: lhhan@tsinghua.edu.cn (L.-H. Han).

⁰¹⁴³⁻⁹⁷⁴X/\$ – see front matter 0 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.jcsr.2011.11.012

Nomenclature	
Ac	Cross-sectional area of concrete
As	Cross-sectional area of steel tube
Ase	Cross-sectional area of steel tube after corrosion
B	Width of tube section
Be	Width of tube section after corrosion
DĪ	Ductility index
$E_{\rm c}$	Elastic modulus of concrete
E _s	Elastic modulus of steel
fcu	Cube strength of concrete
fu	Ultimate strength of steel
fv	Yield strength of steel
Ĺ	Length of specimen
L ₀	Calculating span of beam
Μ	Bending moment
т	Loading ratio of beam $(=M_{\rm l}/M_{\rm u})$
$M_{\rm uc}$	Predicted flexural strength of beam
M_{ue}	Measured flexural strength of beam
Ν	Axial load
п	Loading ratio of column $(=N_{\rm I}/N_{\rm u})$
N_1	Long-term sustained load applied on column during the corrosion test
Nuc	Predicted ultimate strength of column
Nue	Measured ultimate strength of column
P_1	Long-term sustained load applied on beam during the corrosion test
t	Sustaining days of long-term sustained loading and corrosion
ts	Wall thickness of steel tube
t _{sc}	Wall thickness of steel tube after corrosion
u _m	Mid-span deflection of beam
$u_{\rm mlc}$	Mid-span deflection increment of beam during long-term sustained loading and corrosion test
$\varepsilon_{\rm y}$	Yield strain of steel
ρ	Concentration of NaCl solution
Δ	Axial deformation of stub column
Δ_{lc}	Axial deformation increment of stub column during long-term sustained loading and corrosion test
$\Delta t_{\rm s}$	Wall thickness loss of steel tube due to corrosion
ξ	Confinement factor $(=A_s f_y/A_c f_{ck})$
ξe	Effective confinement factor after corrosion ($=A_{se} f_y/A_c f_{ck}$)
$\mu_{\rm s}$	Poisson's ratio of steel



Fig. 1. Photo of an offshore CFST structure.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/285184

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/285184

Daneshyari.com