Admission Chest Radiograph Lacks Sensitivity in the Diagnosis of Community-Acquired Pneumonia

Jared T. Hagaman, MD, Gregory W. Rouan, MD, Ralph T. Shipley, MD and Ralph J. Panos, MD

Abstract: *Introduction:* The clinical and epidemiological significance of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) with a chest radiograph demonstrating no parenchymal infiltrate has not been studied. We determined the percentage of patients with a clinical diagnosis of CAP who did not have radiographic opacifications and compared this group with patients with CAP and radiographic infiltrates. *Methods:* Patients admitted with a diagnosis of CAP were identified. Clinical history, physical examination, laboratory studies, and microbiological cultures were reviewed in a random sample of 105 patients. Admission and subsequent chest radiographs were interpreted without knowledge of the clinical data. *Results:* Twenty-one percent (22/105) of patients with a clinical diagnosis of CAP had negative chest radiographs at presentation. Demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were the same in both groups. Fifty-five percent of patients with initially negative chest radiographs who had follow-up studies developed an infiltrate within 48 hours. *Conclusions:* In patients admitted with a clinical diagnosis of CAP, the initial chest radiograph lacks sensitivity and may not demonstrate parenchymal opacifications in 21% of patients. Moreover, greater than half of patients admitted with a negative chest radiograph will develop radiographic infiltrates within 48 hours. Further studies are needed to develop evidence-based criteria for the diagnosis of CAP.

Key Indexing Terms: Pneumonia; Community acquired pneumonia; Bacterial diagnosis; Chest radiograph. **[Am J Med Sci 2009; 337(4):236–240.]**

The diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is based on a constellation of signs, symptoms, laboratory, and radiographic data.[1–3] Although numerous national and international organizations have developed guidelines for the management of CAP, no group has endorsed specific criteria for its diagnosis.[4–7] The cornerstone of most diagnostic criteria used for clinical and research purposes is the presence of a new infiltrate or opacification on chest radiograph in the correct clinical setting.[8–12] Requiring an infiltrate on chest radiograph likely excludes a diagnosis of CAP in many patients.[13] The diagnostic utility of the chest radiograph has not been compared with definitive microbiological diagnostic procedures such as lung parenchymal biopsy, bronchoscopic protected brushings, or pleural fluid sampling because these studies are invasive and carry increased risk.[14,15] Most studies that have indirectly evaluated the diagnostic utility of the chest radiograph in CAP suggest that it lacks sensitivity.[16–18] Despite this lack of evidence, some authors and organizations have suggested that chest radiograph findings are a required quality marker for the management of CAP.[5,19] Indeed, the diagnosis of CAP with no significant chest radiograph findings is poorly understood and frequently omitted from CAP guidelines. The sensitivity and specificity of radiographic infiltrates in patients hospitalized with a clinical diagnosis of CAP are not known. Therefore, we determined the prevalence of CAP with a negative admission chest radiograph and compared the clinical presentation of these patients with those individuals with CAP and radiographic abnormalities.

From the Departments of Internal Medicine (JTH, GWR, RJP) and Radiology (RTS), University of Cincinnati; Division of Pulmonary Critical Care and Sleep Medicine (JTH, RJP), University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Submitted February 23, 2008; accepted in revised form August 1, 2008.

Correspondence: Jared T. Hagaman, MD, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cincinnati, 231 Albert Sabin Way, ML 0567, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267-0557 (E-mail: hagamajt@ucmail.uc.edu).

METHODS

Patient Selection

Patients with CAP admitted to the University Hospital at the University of Cincinnati Medical Center (an urban 450 bed academic medical center) were identified retrospectively by the ICD-9 codes (480.0–487.0) available through hospital information systems. Charts were reviewed to ensure that the final clinical diagnosis of CAP was made by the physician at discharge. The only inclusion criterion was the final clinical diagnosis as determined by the attending physician. Of 520 patients admitted between March 2003 and December 2004 with the diagnosis of CAP, every fifth chart was randomly selected and reviewed by one of the authors (JTH). A total of 105 charts were reviewed.

Data Extraction

The patient's presenting signs and symptoms and medical history were obtained from the admitting physician's history and physical examination. Laboratory data at presentation and microbiologic data throughout the hospitalization were reviewed. Disease severity was calculated by the Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) as defined previously by Fine et al.[10] The patient's clinical course was determined by physician progress notes, physician orders, and nursing flow sheets. Death or hospital readmission within 30 days of discharge were recorded as outcome measures.

Chest Radiograph Interpretation

A senior thoracic radiologist (RTS) who was blinded to all clinical data reviewed the admission chest radiographs and classified them as positive (consistent with pneumonia) or negative (no abnormalities to suggest pneumonia). Subsequent imaging studies were interpreted in a similar manner. The classification of a CXR as positive or negative was determined by the presence of at least 1 of these findings: (1) an asymmetric increase in lung opacification; (2) the silhouette sign, loss of a normal diaphragmatic, cardiac, or mediastinal silhouette; (3) an area of increased opacity bounded by a well-defined interface against adjacent aerated lung (such as along a fissure); (4) if only an anterior-posterior view was obtained (such as a portable examination), increased attenuation of the cardiac shadow; and (5) for radiographs with widespread airspace disease, more asymmetric or multifocal distribution of opacification. The same methodology was used for both anterior–posterior, and posterior–anterior and lateral chest radiographs.

Patient Stratification and Statistical Analysis

On the basis of the initial chest radiograph, patients were divided into 2 groups: positive (chest radiograph findings

TABLE 1. Patient characteristics

	Initial Chest x-Ray		
	Positive	**Negative**	***P***
Number of patients	83	22	
Patient age[a]	54.46 ± 3.59	55.36 ± 7.18	0.825
Male[b]	39 (47%, 36%–58%)	14 (64%, 40%–82%)	
Disease severity[b,c]			
Mean PSI	75.1	81.9	0.28
PSI class I	20 (24%, 15%–35%)	4 (18.1%, 5%–40%)	0.78
PSI class II	16 (19%, 11%–29%)	8 (36.4%, 17%–59%)	0.15
PSI class III	26 (31%, 21%–42%)	4 (18%, 5%–40%)	0.29
PSI class IV	8 (10%, 4%–18%)	5 (22.7%, 8%–45%)	0.14
PSI class V	0 (0.00%, 0%–4%)	0 (0.0%, 0%–12%)	1.00
Port score not applicable	13	1	
Length of stay (days)	4.0 ± 3.8	3.1 ± 2.1	0.24
Outcomes[b]			
Deaths	2 (2%, 0%–8%)	0 (0%, 0%–12%)	0.62
Patient made do not resuscitate during admission	4 (5%, 1%–12%)	1 (5%, 0%–22%)	0.7
Readmission within 30 d	15 (18%, 10%–28%)	3 (14%, 3%–35%)	0.75

[a] Mean with SEM.
[b] Values are number, percent of, and 95% confidence intervals.
[c] As calculated by PORT PSI.[10]

consistent with CAP) or negative (no chest radiograph findings indicating CAP). Continuous data were compared using a two-tailed Student *t* test. Categorical or dichotomous data were evaluated using χ^2 analysis or the Fisher Exact Test as appropriate. Statistical significance was set at an $\alpha \leq 0.05$. All statistical calculations were performed with SAS version 9.0 (Cary, NC).

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Cincinnati College of Medicine in June 2005. The requirement for informed consent was waived.

RESULTS

Twenty-one percent (22/105) of patients with a diagnosis of CAP had negative initial chest radiographs. Patients with negative chest radiographs were not different in age or gender when compared with those with positive radiographs (Table 1). Disease severity, as calculated by the PSI, was 75.1 on average for those with positive chest radiographs and 81.9 for those with negative initial studies ($P = 0.28$). The PSI was not able to be calculated due to HIV infection for 12 patients with positive chest radiographs and 1 patient with a negative initial study. Length of stay was 1 day longer for patients with positive chest radiographs (4.0 versus 3.1 d, $P = 0.24$). There were no significant differences in mortality or 30-day readmission rates between the 2 groups (Table 1).

There was no correlation between physical examination and radiographic findings. The incidence of localized chest findings such as rales and diminished breath sounds was the same for both groups. Only 5% of patients with negative chest radiographs had rhonchi versus 16% of patients with radiographic infiltrates ($P = 0.16$). Similarly, the incidence of wheezing and hypoxia was the same in both groups ($P = 0.16$ and 0.24, respectively). Also, there were no differences between the groups in reported symptoms in comorbidities (Table 2).

Only 4 patients (18%) with a negative chest radiograph had leukocytosis [white blood cell (WBC) count $>12{,}000/\mu L$] versus 40 (48%) with positive studies ($P = 0.048$) (Table 3). Patients with a negative chest radiograph also had lower WBC counts on an average (9.7 versus 13.1 $\times$ $10^3/\mu L$, $P = 0.04$). The blood urea nitrogen to creatinine ratio, a laboratory marker of volume depletion, was not different between the 2 groups (15.60 versus 14.86, $P = 0.540$). Eight patients with positive chest radiographs had bacteremia versus none with negative studies ($P = 0.059$). *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus milleri*, *Staphlococcus aureus*, and *Eschericia coli* were pathogens isolated from blood cultures. Sputum microbiologic studies were similar between the 2 groups. Pathogens isolated from the sputum of patients with positive chest radiographs included *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphlococcus aureus*, and *Hemophilus influenza*. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was isolated from the sputum of a patient with a negative chest radiograph.

Nine patients (41%) with an initial negative chest radiograph had a follow-up radiographic study within 48 hours. In 55% (5/9), the subsequent study showed an infiltrate that was not present on the initial chest radiograph [4 chest radiographs, 1 chest computed tomography (CT)]. Forty percent (33/83) of patients with a positive initial chest radiograph had a follow-up study within 48 hours.

Seven patients had chest CT scans—5 with initially positive chest radiographs and 2 with negative chest radiographs. All 5 patients with positive chest radiographs had infiltrates on their chest CT scans. One patient with a negative chest radiograph had an infiltrate on chest CT scan, and the other patient had a clear chest CT scan. Neither of these patients had follow-up chest radiographs during the admission.

DISCUSSION

In this study, 21% of patients admitted with a clinical diagnosis of CAP had a negative initial chest radiograph. Similarly, Basi et al[16] found 1/3 of patients admitted to Canadian hospitals and managed using a CAP pathway did not have chest radiograph findings indicative of pneumonia. Unlike most previous investigations of CAP, our study and the investigation by Basi et al[16] used the actual clinical diagnosis as inclusion