



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Sound and Vibration

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsvi

Stability of rigid rotors supported by air foil bearings: Comparison of two fundamental approaches



Jon S. Larsen*, Ilmar F. Santos, Sebastian von Osmanski

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs., Lyngby, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 January 2016

Received in revised form

3 May 2016

Accepted 16 June 2016

Available online 7 July 2016

Handling Editor: M.P. Cartmell

Keywords:

Air foil bearing

Rigid rotor

Transient simulation

Nonlinear analysis

Stability

ABSTRACT

High speed direct drive motors enable the use of Air Foil Bearings (AFB) in a wide range of applications due to the elimination of gear forces. Unfortunately, AFB supported rotors are lightly damped, and an accurate prediction of their Onset Speed of Instability (OSI) is therefore important. This paper compares two fundamental methods for predicting the OSI. One is based on a nonlinear time domain simulation and another is based on a linearised frequency domain method and a perturbation of the Reynolds equation. Both methods are based on equivalent models and should predict similar results. Significant discrepancies are observed leading to the question, is the classical frequency domain method sufficiently accurate? The discrepancies and possible explanations are discussed in detail.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For almost 150 years, bearing-influenced rotor vibrations have attracted the attention of engineers and researchers. In one of the first documents found in the literature, dated 1869, Rankine [1] reported the amplification of shaft lateral vibrations at different (critical) speeds. In 1894, Dunkerley [2] presented an approximate method for finding the natural frequencies or critical “whirling” speeds of simplified rotor systems. In 1919, Jeffcott [3] published one of the most significant contributions to rotor dynamics, in which the lateral vibration of loaded shafts in the neighbourhood of a whirling speed was addressed. With the significant developments of rotating machines in the 1920s, especially driven by steam turbines [4], the influence of fluid film bearings on the lateral shaft vibrations became a very important research topic. Motivated by the necessity of enlarging the operational range of steam turbines, the influence of higher angular velocities on the shaft lateral vibrations was investigated by Newkirk and Taylor [5] in 1925. They documented not only the increase of shaft lateral vibrations while crossing over the first critical speed but also, for the first time, the severe unexpected vibrations about twice the first critical speed. In 1927, with an iterative approach based on assumed shaft mode shapes and the new concept of “nonlinear oil springs”, Stodola [6] linked rotor lateral vibrations, bearing stiffness and their dependence on journal eccentricity. Using a rigid rotor, Newkirk [7] experimentally proved in 1931 that half-speed whirl could occur over a wide speed range, well below twice the first critical speed. Using a simplified infinite-width bearing model and disregarding cavitation, Swift [8] analytically investigated the non-steady conditions in journal bearings. Taking into account bearing as well as rotor flexibility, Smith [9] reported the possibility of four critical speeds for non-symmetrical rotors, a remarkable achievement in the beginning of the 1930s, an era characterised by the absence of digital computer power.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: josla@mek.dtu.dk (J.S. Larsen), ifs@mek.dtu.dk (I.F. Santos), sebastian@osmanski.dk (S. von Osmanski).

Nomenclature

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| a | Nodal vector | r | residual vector |
| <i>a</i> | Scaler field quantity | <i>R</i> | journal radius |
| <i>A, B</i> | bearings | s | advection vector, $\mathbf{s} = \{S, 0\}^T$ |
| A | state space matrix | <i>S</i> | compressibility number, $S = 6\mu\omega/p_a(R/C)^2$ |
| <i>b, \tilde{b}</i> | structural damping per unit area, $\tilde{b} = C/(p_a\omega)b$ | <i>S_b</i> | bump foil pitch |
| B | shape function derivatives matrix | <i>t</i> | time |
| <i>C</i> | radial clearance | <i>t_b</i> | thickness of bump foil |
| <i>D, \tilde{D}</i> | bearing damping, $\tilde{D} = \omega C/(p_a R^2)D$ | <i>t_t</i> | thickness of top foil |
| D, \tilde{D} | damping matrix, $\tilde{D} = \omega C/(p_a R^2)D$ | <i>x, y, z, \tilde{z}</i> | Cartesian coordinates, $\tilde{z} = z/R$ |
| \tilde{D}_s | first-order coefficients, $\mathbf{D}_s = \tilde{D} - \tilde{G}$ | y | state vector |
| <i>e, \epsilon</i> | journal eccentricity (or <i>e</i> = element), $\epsilon = e/C$ | w, \tilde{w} | load vector, $\tilde{w} = 1/(p_a R^2)w$ |
| <i>E</i> | modulus of elasticity of foil | <i>W, \tilde{W}</i> | static load components, $\tilde{W} = 1/(p_a R^2)W$ |
| <i>f_γ</i> | direction cosines | z | rotor state vector |
| f, \tilde{f} | bearing force vector, $\tilde{f} = 1/(p_a R^2)f$ | z₁ | rotor displacement vector, $\mathbf{z}_1 = \epsilon$ |
| <i>F, \tilde{F}</i> | bearing force components, $\tilde{F} = 1/(p_a R^2)F$ | z₂ | rotor velocity vector, $\mathbf{z}_2 = \dot{\epsilon}$ |
| g() | nonlinear vector function | <i>α</i> | bearing position |
| G, \tilde{G} | gyroscopic matrix, $\tilde{G} = \omega^2 C/(p_a R^2)G$ | Γ | fluidity matrix |
| <i>h, \tilde{h}</i> | film height, $\tilde{h} = h/C$ | $\Delta\epsilon$ | perturbation amplitude |
| <i>h_b</i> | bump foil height | <i>ε</i> | eccentricity vector |
| <i>h_c, \tilde{h}_c</i> | film height correction, $\tilde{h}_c = h_c/C$ | <i>η</i> | structural loss factor of foils |
| <i>h_r, \tilde{h}_r</i> | film height (rigid), $\tilde{h}_r = h_r/C$ | <i>θ, \tilde{θ}</i> | circumferential angle, $\tilde{\theta} = \theta R$ |
| <i>h_s, \tilde{h}_s</i> | slope height, $\tilde{h}_s = h_s/C$ | <i>θ_l</i> | first pad leading edge angle |
| \tilde{h}_c | foil deformation vector | <i>θ_s</i> | first pad slope extend |
| <i>i</i> | Pad, <i>i</i> = 1, 2, ..., <i>N_p</i> | <i>θ_t</i> | first pad trailing edge angle |
| <i>I</i> | mass moment of inertia | <i>λ</i> | complex eigenvalue, $\lambda = \tilde{\omega}_n i + \tilde{\beta}$ |
| I | identity matrix | <i>μ</i> | dynamic viscosity |
| <i>k, \tilde{k}</i> | structural stiffness per unit area, $\tilde{k} = C/p_a k$ | <i>ν</i> | Poisson's ratio of foil |
| <i>K, \tilde{K}</i> | bearing stiffness, $\tilde{K} = C/(p_a R^2)K$ | <i>ξ_i, η_j</i> | gauss points |
| K, \tilde{K} | stiffness matrix, $\tilde{K} = C/(p_a R^2)K$ | <i>τ</i> | dimensionless time, $\tau = \omega t$ |
| <i>l₀</i> | bump half length | Φ | fluid domain |
| <i>l₁, l₂</i> | distance to bearings | <i>ψ</i> | film state variable, $\psi = ph$ |
| <i>L, \tilde{L}</i> | bearing length, $\tilde{L} = L/R$ | ψ | film state vector |
| <i>μ_f</i> | Foil friction coefficient | <i>ω</i> | angular speed of journal |
| <i>m</i> | mass | <i>ω_n, \tilde{\omega}_n</i> | eigenfrequency, $\tilde{\omega}_n = \omega_n/\omega$ |
| M, \tilde{M} | mass matrix, $\tilde{M} = \omega^2 C/(p_a R^2)M$ | <i>ω_s, \tilde{\omega}_s</i> | excitation frequency, $\tilde{\omega}_s = \omega_s/\omega$ |
| <i>N</i> | Number of fluid film nodes | <i>γ</i> | Coordinates <i>x, y</i> |
| <i>N_p</i> | number of pads | 0 | zero matrix |
| N | shape function matrix | CG | Center of Gravity |
| \tilde{p}_m | Arithmetic mean pressure vector | FE | Finite Element |
| <i>p, \tilde{p}</i> | film pressure, $\tilde{p} = p/p_a$ | LD | Logarithmic Decrement, $LD = -2\pi \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i)\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_i)$ |
| <i>p_a</i> | ambient pressure | ODE | Ordinary Differential Equation |
| <i>p_γ, \tilde{p}_γ</i> | perturbed pressure, $\tilde{p}_γ = Cp_γ/p_a$ | OSI | Onset Speed of Instability |
| p | pressure vector | PDE | Partial Differential Equation |
| <i>q_c</i> | Structural flexibility complex form | $\nabla \cdot$ | divergence |
| <i>q, \tilde{q}</i> | structural flexibility per unit area, $\tilde{q} = p_a q$ | ∇ | gradient, $\nabla = \{\partial/\partial\theta, \partial/\partial\tilde{z}\}$ |
| $/C = 1/\tilde{k}$ | | $\dot{()}$ | time derivative, $d^2/d\tau^2$ |
| | | $\ddot{()}$ | time derivative, $d/d\tau$ |

The development of digital computers since the 1940s has strongly facilitated researchers to evaluate more complex and sophisticated mathematical models for describing rotor and bearing dynamics. It has encouraged the development of new alternative procedures to predict bearing-influenced rotor dynamic response and stability [10–13] in the 1950s and 1960s. From the viewpoint of solid mechanics, rotors with complex geometry could be refined using the transfer matrix method [14,15] and later on the finite element (FE) method [16] to account for distributed rotor mass, inertia, and gyroscopic effect. From the viewpoint of fluid film bearing dynamics, effects of fluid compressibility [17–19] and inertia [20–22], cavitation [23] and turbulence [24] could be numerically investigated and more accurately predicted. For example, lubricant compressibility strongly influences the static and dynamic behaviour of gas bearings as already reported by Harrison in 1913

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/286880>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/286880>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)