



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Repeatability measurements of second systolic shoulder of the radial waveform and peripheral augmentation index with the OMRON HEM-9000AI device in a sample of young Mexican subjects



Carlos G. Ramos*, Guillermo A. Alanis, Fernando Grover, David Cardona, Mayra Jimenez, Victor Guzman, Patricia Quezada, Sara Pascoe, Leonel Garcia, Sylvia E. Totsuka, Ernesto G. Cardona

Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University Center for Health Sciences, Arterial Stiffness Laboratory, University of Guadalajara, Mexico, Guadalajara, Mexico

Received 8 December 2015; received in revised form 16 January 2016; accepted 4 February 2016
Available online 19 February 2016

KEYWORDS

Central aortic pressure;
Central systolic blood pressure;
Second systolic shoulder of the radial waveform;
Peripheral augmentation index

Abstract The development of non-invasive technology to perform pulse wave analysis and accurately determine central blood pressure has facilitated the study of central blood pressure as a physiologic and biomarker parameter. Central systolic blood pressure (cSBP) has been shown to be significantly associated with adverse outcome such as mortality and associated with adverse outcome. One of the most commonly evaluated features of the arterial pressure waveforms is augmentation index (AIx). Nowadays, there are multiple devices that have been developed for calculate AIx at peripheral arteries. The aim of our study was to assess the repeatability measurements of second systolic shoulder of the radial waveform (pSBP2) and peripheral augmentation index (pAIx) using the OMRON HEM-9000AI. 36 young subjects were studied. The paired t student test showed no significant difference. The mean difference between the two consecutive measures of pSBP2 was 0.3 ± 4 ; $P = 0.58$, pAIx (0.3 ± 7 ; $P = 0.80$) and pAIx75 (0.5 ± 6.6 ; $P = 0.62$). This study demonstrates good repeatability and reliability of this method in our study population, compared to other populations the variability between measurements. © 2016 Association for Research into Arterial Structure and Physiology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +52 3331562545.
E-mail address: md_crb@hotmail.com (C.G. Ramos).

Introduction

The development of noninvasive technology for the pulse wave analysis has facilitated the study of arterial stiffness parameters as subclinical biomarkers.¹ The peripheral systolic blood pressure (pSBP) does not adequately reflect the value of the central systolic blood pressure (cSBP), which is considered one of the most important predicting factors regarding the development of future cardiovascular disease.^{2–4} After the development of devices that could calculate cSBP from the peripheral blood pressure waveform, cumulative evidence has demonstrated that cSBP is an important predictor of cardiovascular risk.⁵

cSBP may also be estimated from the peripheral pressure waveform without the use of a transfer function.⁶ Previous studies have demonstrated a strong correlation between pSBP2 and ascending aortic systolic pressure using invasive high-fidelity sensors and that can be used as an equivalent of invasively measured cSBP.^{7,8} On the other hand, augmentation index (AI) is an index of wave reflection, is an indirect parameter of arterial stiffness and it is defined as the ratio of the height of the late systolic shoulder/peak to that of the early peak/shoulder. Peripheral augmentation index (pAIx) is obtained directly from pSBP2 and it is closely related to central AI. Different prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors among races has been proved, being African Americans the ethnic group most studied and where earlier vascular changes like circadian blood pressure patterns,⁹ AI, cSBP and carotid intima-media thickness are observed compared to European American.¹⁰ Being race and age the main factors that could affect blood pressure variability¹¹. At the present time it has not been reported yet repeatability studies in Mexican population to assess of the reliability of non-invasive estimation of pSBP2 and AI.

Aims

The aim of this study is to evaluate the repeatability of second systolic shoulder of the radial waveform (pSBP2) and peripheral augmentation index (pAIx) in a sample of young healthy Mexican subjects.

Methods

Thirty-six healthy subjects (20 men and 16 women) were included in the study. Previously, all patients were advised to not consume alcoholic or caffeinated beverages for at least 12 h prior to the study. Patients rested for 15 min before the measurements. The pulse wave analysis was performed by applanation tonometry with the OMRON HEM-9000AI device (Omron Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan). This

device calculates the cSBP, pSBP2, systolic peripheral brachial blood pressure (pSBP), diastolic peripheral brachial blood pressure (pDBP), pAIx and peripheral augmentation index adjusted in 75 beats per minute (pAIx75). The device consists of a tonometric sensor with an integrated brachial cuff. This method permits a best approach to obtain the pulse wave morphology and the peripheral brachial BP. The pSBP2 is calibrated with the measurement of pSBP. To assess short term repeatability, we made the measurements with a time delay of 1 min, without taking off the tonometer between these. All measurements were performed by one cardiovascular specialist.

Statistical analysis

We calculated the mean difference \pm standard deviation (SD) of the two consecutive measurements pSBP2, AIx and pAIx75. The coefficient of repeatability was calculated as $1.96 \times \text{SD}$ (within-subject standard deviation), it reflects the maximum difference likely to expect between measures¹² and Bland–Altman plots for agreement. Analysis was performed using SPSS v.20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

The paired t students test showed no significance between measurements. Table 1 summarizes mean values and difference between measurements and coefficients of repeatability. The mean difference of the first and second measurements were 0.3 ± 4 ; $P = 0.58$; (95% CI, 0.26 to 4.3), 0.3 ± 79 ; $P = 0.80$; (95% CI, -2.8 to 2.1) and 0.5 ± 6.6 ; $P = 0.62$; (95% CI, -2.9 to 1.7) for pSBP2, pAIx and pAIx75, respectively. The repeatability coefficient was 8 mmHg, 13.7% and 12.9% for pSBP2, pAIx and pAIx75. The latter showed a better coefficient compared to pAIx. The analysis of the two techniques shows the agreement between measurements (Figs. 1 and 2).

Conclusion

As arteries stiffen, the velocity of the pulse wave and the amplitude of the reflected wave both increase.⁸ This leads to a change in the shape of the pressure waveform and augmentation of central systolic pressure. Thus, cSBP and pAIx both provide useful information concerning arterial stiffness, which cannot be readily obtained from assessment of peripheral blood pressure.

According to our measurements, the results demonstrate that pSBP2 and AIx are repeatable methods, and this device do not require prolonged periods of training. This

Table 1 Difference between measurements and repeatability coefficient.

	1st reading	2nd reading	MD \pm SD	95% CI	P value	RC
pSBP2 (mmHg)	93.7 \pm 8.2	93.3 \pm 8.3	0.3 \pm 4	0.26 to 4.3	0.58	8 mmHg
pAIx (%)	59.9 \pm 14.4	60.2 \pm 13.1	0.3 \pm 7	-2.8 to 2.1	0.80	13.7%
pAIx75 (%)	58.3 \pm 14.8	58.9 \pm 13.8	0.5 \pm 6.6	-2.9 to 1.7	0.62	12.9%

MD = mean difference, CI = confidence intervals, SD = standard deviation, RC = repeatability coefficient.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2891369>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2891369>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)