Percutaneous Edge-to-Edge Mitral Valve Repair in High-Surgical-Risk Patients

Do We Hit the Target?

Ben J. L. Van den Branden, MD, Martin J. Swaans, MD, Martijn C. Post, MD, PHD, Benno J. W. M. Rensing, MD, PHD, Frank D. Eefting, MD, Wybren Jaarsma, MD, PHD, Jan A. S. Van der Heyden, MD

Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

Objectives This study sought to assess the feasibility and safety of percutaneous edge-to-edge mitral valve (MV) repair in patients with an unacceptably high operative risk.

Background MV repair for mitral regurgitation (MR) can be accomplished by use of a clip that approximates the free edges of the mitral leaflets.

Methods All patients were declined for surgery because of a high logistic EuroSCORE (>20%) or the presence of other specific surgical risk factors. Transthoracic echocardiography was performed before and 6 months after the procedure. Differences in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, quality of life (QoL) using the Minnesota questionnaire, and 6-min walk test (6-MWT) distances were reported.

Results Fifty-five procedures were performed in 52 patients (69.2% male, age 73.2 \pm 10.1 years, logistic EuroSCORE 27.1 \pm 17.0%). In 3 patients, partial clip detachment occurred; a second clip was placed successfully. One patient experienced cardiac tamponade. Two patients developed inguinal bleeding, of whom 1 needed surgery. Six patients (11.5%) died during 6-month follow-up (5 patients as a result of progressive heart failure and 1 noncardiac death). The MR grade before repair was \geq 3 in 100%; after 6 months, a reduction in MR grade to \leq 2 was present in 79% of the patients. Left ventricular (LV) end-diastolic diameter, LV ejection fraction, and systolic pulmonary artery pressure improved significantly. Accompanied improvements in NYHA functional class, QoL index, 6-MWT distances, and log N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide were observed.

Conclusions In a high-risk population, MR reduction can be achieved by percutaneous edge-toedge valve repair, resulting in LV remodeling with improvement of functional capacity after 6 months. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2012;5:105–11) © 2012 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Manuscript received July 6, 2011; revised manuscript received September 9, 2011, accepted September 15, 2011.

From the Department of Cardiology, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands. Drs. Swaans and Van der Heyden are faculty members/proctors for Abbott Vascular. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Mitral valve regurgitation (MR) is an important clinical issue as MR represents >30% of native valve diseases (1). Patients with symptomatic MR have a poor prognosis, with a 5% annual mortality rate in the absence of surgery (2). Optimal medical management can improve symptoms of heart failure but does not affect survival (3). Therefore, surgery is recommended by the current guidelines for patients with symptomatic severe MR or asymptomatic severe MR with evidence of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction or dilation (4,5). Despite those guidelines, a recent European survey established that one-half of these patients are not referred for surgery, mainly because of advanced age and the presence of comorbidity

(5,6). Mitral valve (MV) repair

is the preferred surgical strategy

whenever feasible and is associ-

ated with lower morbidity and

mortality and better preservation

of LV function, compared with

MV replacement (7). Reported

in-hospital mortality rates range

from 1% to 2% in low-risk pa-

tients, increasing up to 25% in

high-risk or elderly patients (8,9).

Therefore, new percutaneous tech-

niques are developed to avoid sur-

gery in high-risk patients. The

transcatheter edge-to-edge MV re-

pair using the MitraClip system

(Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, Cal-

ifornia) creates a double MV orifice

by means of a clip in the mid por-

tion of the 2 leaflets and mimics the

surgical procedure introduced by

Alfieri et al. (10). The first clinical

trials with the MitraClip showed

very promising results regarding

feasibility and safety of the device,

and functional improvement of the

patient (11-13). We report the

6-month outcomes of our patient

cohort treated with this device.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

6-MWT = 6-min walk test

LV = left ventricular/ventricle

LVEDD = left ventricular end-diastolic diameter

LVEDV = left ventricular end-diastolic volume

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction

LVESD = left ventricular end-systolic diameter

MR = mitral regurgitation

MV = mitral valve

NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide

NYHA = New York Heart Association

QoL = quality of life

RA = right atrial

RVSP = right ventricular systolic pressure

TEE = transesophageal echocardiography

Methods

Patients. Between January 2009 and November 2010, the interdisciplinary team of cardiac surgeons and cardiologists at our hospital evaluated 52 patients suitable for MitraClip therapy. All patients had moderate-to-severe or severe (grade 3+ or 4) MR and were symptomatic or asymptomatic with LV dysfunction (ejection fraction <60%) or LV dilation (left ventricular end-systolic diameter [LVESD] >45 mm), and consequently had an indication for intervention according to the European Society of Cardiology Task

Force recommendation (5). In addition, all patients were at high risk for conventional surgery (logistic EuroSCORE >20% or the presence of specific risk factors associated with excessive morbidity and mortality). Furthermore, echocardiographic parameters played a crucial role in the assessment of the suitability for clip implantation: the coaptation length had to be at least 2 mm, excessive calcification or cleft at the grasping area had to be absent, and in case of a flail leaflet, the flail gap had to be ≤ 10 mm and the flail width ≤ 15 mm (11).

All patients underwent a standard pre-procedural screening, containing physical examination, functional capacity assessment (New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional class and 6-min walk test [6-MWT]), quality of life (QoL) assessment using the Minnesota questionnaire, electrocardiogram, chest x-ray, laboratory measurements (including N-terminal pro–B-type natriuretic peptide [NTproBNP]), transthoracic echocardiography, transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), coronary angiography, and right heart catheterization.

Procedural technique. All procedures were performed as previously described (14). In brief, the clip device system is delivered to the left atrium (LA) via a transseptal puncture, advanced into the LV, and then retracted during systole, grasping the MV leaflets. This results in permanent leaflet approximation and creation of a double orifice. The clip is a 4-mm-wide cobalt-chromium implant with 2 arms. On the inner portion of the clip arms are small "grippers" to secure the leaflets when the arms are closed. Correct positioning of the clip device over the mitral orifice, perpendicular to the line of leaflet coaptation, above the origin of the MR jet, is mandatory to prevent clip disengagement and to obtain an acceptable MR reduction. A second (or third) clip was placed if further reduction of MR was needed. The procedure was performed under general anesthesia and both fluoroscopic and TEE (2- and 3-dimensional) guidance (15).

Follow-up. All periprocedural and mid-term complications were reported. Major complications included hemorrhage requiring blood transfusion, occurrence of cardiac tamponade, need for procedure-related surgical intervention, endocarditis, clip detachment, clip dislodgement/embolization, stroke, and death. Minor complications were defined as MV injury, device thrombosis, bleeding not requiring blood transfusion, femoral arteriovenous fistula formation, and femoral hematoma.

Post-procedural anticoagulation management was based on an individualized protocol.

All patients were discharged on aspirin 100 mg once a day for a period of 6 months and clopidogrel 75 mg once a day for 1 month. In patients on oral anticoagulant therapy before the procedure, clopidogrel was added for 1 month. Infective endocarditis prophylaxis was recommended for 6 months.

Six months after the procedure, all patients underwent clinical examination, laboratory testing, TTE, and assessment

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2941220

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2941220

Daneshyari.com