

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS

# Prognostic Relevance of Gene-Environment Interactions in Patients With Dilated Cardiomyopathy

## Applying the MOGE(S) Classification

Mark R. Hazebroek, MD,\* Suzanne Moors, BSc,\* Robert Dennert, MD, PhD,\* Arthur van den Wijngaard, MD, PhD,† Ingrid Krapels, MD, PhD,† Marije Hoos, MD, PhD,\* Job Verdonchot, MSc,\* Jort J. Merken, MD,\* Bart de Vries, MD, PhD,† Petra F. Wolffs, MD, PhD,§ Harry J.G.M. Crijns, MD, PhD,\* Hans-Peter Brunner-La Rocca, MD, PhD,\* Stephane Heymans, MD, PhD\*||



### ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND** The multifactorial pathogenesis leading to dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) makes stratification difficult. The recent MOGE(S) (morphofunctional, organ involvement, genetic or familial, etiology, stage) classification addresses this issue.

**OBJECTIVES** The purpose of this study was to investigate the applicability and prognostic relevance of the MOGE(S) classification in patients with DCM.

**METHODS** This study used patients from the Maastricht Cardiomyopathy Registry in the Netherlands and excluded patients with ischemic, valvular, hypertensive, and congenital heart disease. All other patients underwent a complete diagnostic work-up, including genetic evaluation and endomyocardial biopsy.

**RESULTS** A total of 213 consecutive patients with DCM were included: organ involvement was demonstrated in 35 (16%) and genetic or familial DCM in 70 (33%) patients, including 16 (8%) patients with a pathogenic mutation. At least 1 cause was found in 155 (73%) patients, of whom 48 (23%) had more than 1 possible cause. Left ventricular reverse remodeling was more common in patients with nongenetic or nonfamilial DCM than in patients with genetic or familial DCM (40% vs. 25%;  $p = 0.04$ ). After a median follow-up of 47 months, organ involvement and higher New York Heart Association functional class were associated with adverse outcome ( $p < 0.001$  and  $p = 0.02$ , respectively). Genetic or familial DCM per se was of no prognostic significance, but when it was accompanied by additional etiologic-environmental factors such as significant viral load, immune-mediated factors, rhythm disturbances, or toxic triggers, a worse outcome was revealed ( $p = 0.03$ ). A higher presence of MOGE(S) attributes ( $\geq 2$  vs.  $\leq 1$  attributes) showed an adverse outcome ( $p = 0.007$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS** The MOGE(S) classification in DCM is applicable, and each attribute or the gene-environment interaction is associated with outcome. Importantly, the presence of multiple attributes was a strong predictor of adverse outcome. Finally, adaptation of the MOGE(S) involving multiple possible etiologies is recommended. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1313-23) © 2015 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.



From the \*Department of Cardiology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands; †Department of Clinical Genetics, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands; ‡Department of Pathology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands §Department of Medical Microbiology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands; and the ||ICIN, Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands. The authors acknowledge the support of the Netherlands Cardiovascular Research Initiative: the Dutch Heart Foundation, the Dutch Federation of University

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

**CAD** = coronary artery disease

**DCM** = dilated cardiomyopathy

**EMB** = endomyocardial biopsy

**HF** = heart failure

**HTx** = heart transplantation

**LV** = left ventricular

**LVEDDI** = indexed left  
ventricular end-diastolic  
diameter

**LVEF** = left ventricular ejection  
fraction

**LVRR** = left ventricular reverse  
remodeling

**MOGE(S)** = morphofunctional,  
organ involvement, genetic or  
familial, etiology, stage

**NYHA** = New York Heart  
Association

**PCR** = polymerase chain  
reaction

**C**lassification of cardiomyopathies has been subject to revisions for more than 60 years (1). To date, classification remains difficult because of incomplete knowledge about the mechanisms of the disease, its heterogeneous clinical presentation, and overlapping clinical and molecular findings (1,2). Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a myocardial disease characterized by left ventricular (LV) dilation and systolic dysfunction (2). DCM is assumed

SEE PAGE 1324

to be the end stage of multifactorial pathogenesis with common terminal pathophysiology. After exclusion of prevalent causes (e.g., coronary artery disease [CAD], valvular disease, congenital disease, hypertension) (2), DCM comprises poorly defined subgroups of cardiac inflammation with or without an infectious agent (3), cytotoxic medication or drugs (4,5), rhythm distur-

bances (6,7), and genetic mutations (8). Nevertheless, only some persons who are exposed to these triggers develop DCM. Additionally, in up to 50% of patients, the cause of DCM remains unknown (4,5).

The hypothesis is that gene-environment interactions (i.e., exposure to an environmental trigger in addition to an “underlying genetic background”) may lead to DCM, but a family history of DCM is present in only 20% to 35% of patients with predominantly autosomal dominant inheritance (1,8). The genetic knowledge of cardiomyopathies has evolved exponentially (1,8), and in view of these developments, the World Heart Federation published a new classification scheme for cardiomyopathies, called MOGE(S) (morphofunctional, organ involvement, genetic or familial, etiology, stage) (1). In the MOGE(S) classification, a combination of phenotype, genetic variation, and etiologic annotation has been proposed, but studies investigating the applicability and prognostic value of this new classification are lacking. The routine use of endomyocardial biopsy (EMB), the referral of all patients with DCM to our specialized cardiogenetics unit, and long-term

follow-up allowed us to evaluate gene-environment interactions in a large, well-characterized population with DCM.

## METHODS

**STUDY DESIGN.** Between 2004 and 2014, 394 consecutive patients with unexplained heart failure (HF) caused by DCM were enrolled in the Maastricht Cardiomyopathy Registry. A complete diagnostic work-up was performed in 213 index patients by using medical history, 12-lead electrocardiogram, echocardiography, Holter monitoring, EMB, and genetic evaluation (Online Figure 1). Excluded patients with DCM (n = 181) had incomplete diagnostic work-ups and did not demonstrate significant differences in baseline characteristics (Online Table 1, Online Figure 2). The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee. All patients gave written informed consent.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <50% and indexed left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDDI) >33 mm/m<sup>2</sup> (men) or >32 mm/m<sup>2</sup> (women) (9); 2) EMB performed; 3) genetic evaluation, including counseling, pedigree analysis, and genetic testing in index patients; and 4) age ≥18 years.

Exclusion criteria included the following: the presence of a previous history of myocardial infarction or significant CAD (stenosis >50%) determined by coronary angiography; primary valvular disease (mitral regurgitation grade ≥3, aortic regurgitation grade ≥2, or aortic stenosis <1 cm<sup>2</sup>); hypertensive heart disease; congenital heart disease; (suspected) acute myocarditis; and (likely) diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia.

Echocardiographic measurements were performed in the standard parasternal, apical, and subxiphoid views (10). Left ventricular reverse remodeling (LVRR) was defined as an absolute increase in LVEF of ≥10% or an LVEF ≥50% in addition to a decrease in LVEDDI of ≥10% or an LVEDDI ≤33 mm/m<sup>2</sup> (11).

Six EMB samples were taken from the right ventricle. Two to 3 specimens were used for immunohistological analysis and 3 for the detection of viral genomes by using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Medical Centres, the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, and the Royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences (CVON 2011-11 ARENA). Dr. Heymans has received grants from the European Commission's Seventh Framework program under grant agreements 305507 (HOMAGE, bio-informatical analysis, and bio-banking) and 278249 (EU-MASCARA, patient collection, database management, and biosampling). All authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

[Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by JACC Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.](#)

Manuscript received March 6, 2015; revised manuscript received July 7, 2015, accepted July 13, 2015.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2943558>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2943558>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)