### THE PRESENT AND FUTURE

#### STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW

# **Remote Ischemic Conditioning**



#### ABSTRACT

In remote ischemic conditioning (RIC), brief, reversible episodes of ischemia with reperfusion in one vascular bed, tissue, or organ confer a global protective phenotype and render remote tissues and organs resistant to ischemia/reperfusion injury. The peripheral stimulus can be chemical, mechanical, or electrical and involves activation of peripheral sensory nerves. The signal transfer to the heart or other organs is through neuronal and humoral communications. Protection can be transferred, even across species, with plasma-derived dialysate and involves nitric oxide, stromal derived factor- $1\alpha$ , microribonucleic acid-144, but also other, not yet identified factors. Intracardiac signal transduction involves: adenosine, bradykinin, cytokines, and chemokines, which activate specific receptors; intracellular kinases; and mitochondrial function. RIC by repeated brief inflation/deflation of a blood pressure cuff protects against endothelial dysfunction and myocardial injury in percutaneous coronary interventions, coronary artery bypass grafting, and reperfused acute myocardial infarction. RIC is safe and effective, noninvasive, easily feasible, and inexpensive. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:177-95) © 2015 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

Remote ischemic conditioning (RIC) is the intriguing phenomenon whereby brief, reversible episodes of ischemia and reperfusion applied in one vascular bed, tissue, or organ confer global protection, rendering remote tissues and organs resistant to ischemia/reperfusion injury. Its discovery 2 decades ago in the heart (1) was not serendipitous, but evolved from a mathematical model developed by Whittaker and Przyklenk (2-4), in which brief episodes of pre-conditioning ischemia in one coronary bed were predicted to trigger activation, release, or transport of one or more unknown "protective factors" throughout the myocardium. To test this hypothesis, anesthetized dogs underwent 4 episodes of 5-min ischemia applied in the left circumflex coronary territory, followed by a 1-h sustained ischemic insult in the left anterior descending coronary artery bed. As anticipated, compared with control subjects that underwent left anterior descending occlusion alone, animals that received brief antecedent episodes of circumflex occlusion before sustained left anterior descending occlusion displayed a robust reduction of infarct size (1).

From the \*Institute for Pathophysiology, West German Heart and Vascular Centre Essen, University of Essen Medical School, Essen, Germany; †Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital Skejby, Aarhus, Denmark; ‡Cardiovascular Research Institute, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan; §Hospital for Sick Children and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and ||The Hatter Cardiovascular Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom. Dr. Heusch serves as a consultant to Servier; and is supported by the German Research Foundation (He 1320/18-1, 3). Dr. Bøtker has received support from the Novo Nordisk Foundation, Fondation Leducq (O6CVD), the Danish Research Council for Strategic Research (11-115818), and the Danish Research Council (11-108354); and is a shareholder of CellAegis Inc. Dr. Przyklenk serves on the scientific advisory board of Infarct Reduction Technologies, Inc.; and has received grant support from the National Institutes of Health (NIH-HL072684). Dr. Redington is a shareholder and board member of CellAegis devices. Dr. Yellon has received support from the Medical Research Council (MR/K002066/1), the British Heart Foundation (RG/08/015/26411), and University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust/University College London, which received partial funding from the Department of Health's National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centres funding scheme; has served on the advisory boards for Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, and The Medicine Company; and has received research support from AstraZeneca, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and The Medicine Company.



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by *JACC* Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster. You can also listen to this issue's audio summary by *JACC* Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.

Manuscript received September 25, 2014; revised manuscript received October 16, 2014, accepted October 22, 2014.

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AMI = acute myocardial infarction

CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting

CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance

**PCI** = percutaneous coronary intervention

**RIC** = remote ischemic conditioning

RIPC = remote ischemic pre-conditioning

**RISK** = reperfusion injury salvage kinase

STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

TIMI = Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

## HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND CONCEPT OF RIC

**EVOLUTION OF THE PARADIGM.** Although this first report of "intracardiac" RIC was provocative and met with considerable skepticism (4), the concept also engendered curiosity and raised the question: can the RIC paradigm be extrapolated to other remote triggers?

Spatial evolution: from intracardiac to interorgan RIC. During the past 2 decades, multiple variations on the theme of RIC have been investigated, encompassing both in vitro and in vivo models. Cardioprotection by collection and transfer of perfusate among isolated buffer-perfused hearts is a notable example (5-8). Specifically, coronary effluent released from donor rabbit hearts throughout a standard, conventional pre-conditioning stimulus (3 cycles of 5-min global ischemia with 10-min reperfusion) or a time-matched control period was collected, reoxygenated, warmed, and used as the perfusate for 2 cohorts of naïve, acceptor hearts. All 4 groups of hearts then underwent 40 min of sustained global ischemia. Infarct sizes were significantly smaller in both, donor hearts subjected to brief pre-conditioning ischemia and naïve acceptor hearts that received the effluent from pre-conditioned donors, versus donor and acceptor control subjects. There was no difference in the magnitude of the infarct-sparing effect seen in donor- and acceptorpre-conditioned groups, implying that the efficacy of cardioprotection triggered by RIC was comparable to that achieved by conventional ischemic preconditioning (5). This general strategy, involving transfer of effluent or perfusate, has been refined to include collection of serum following brief preconditioning ischemia applied in vivo and its administration to either isolated hearts or cultured cells subjected to a sustained ischemic or hypoxic insult (9-11). This strategy also provided evidence of crossspecies protection by RIC, including treatment of isolated buffer-perfused rabbit hearts with human serum (9,11).

It could be argued that intracardiac RIC or cardioprotection achieved by transfer of perfusate between hearts is a laboratory curiosity providing mechanistic insight, but of limited translational relevance. Accordingly, the observation of interorgan RIC was a pivotal pre-clinical advance (12). Initial evidence revealed that brief episodes of ischemia/ reperfusion in kidney and mesentery rendered the heart resistant to infarction (12-15). Moreover, a number of studies documented RIC-induced attenuation of ischemia/reperfusion injury in brain, lungs, liver, kidney, intestine, skin, and other tissues (reviewed in Candilio et al. [16]). However, the first reported seminal extension of interorgan RIC in a clinically-relevant, large-animal (swine) model (17), which demonstrated that brief episodes of peripheral limb ischemia, achieved by simple tourniquet occlusion of one hindlimb, was sufficient to evoke a profound reduction in myocardial infarct size, accelerated subsequent implementation of phase II trials aimed at establishing efficacy in patients (17).

Conceptual evolution: from ischemic to nonischemic triggers. In the aforementioned studies, intercardiac and interorgan RIC were (by definition) initiated by a brief ischemic stimulus. However, accumulating evidence from a spectrum of in vivo and in vitro models (some involving perfusate transfer among models) suggests that transient ischemia or interruption of blood flow is not a requisite trigger for remote protection. Multiple alternative triggers capable of recapitulating the infarct-sparing effect of RIC have been proposed, including peripheral nociception (initiated by skin incisions made on the abdomen and termed "remote pre-conditioning of trauma"), direct peripheral nerve stimulation, and noninvasive transcutaneous nerve stimulation and electroacupuncture (18-23). Perhaps the most attractive, for its potential as a clinical cardioprotective strategy, is nontraumatic peripheral nociception instigated by chemical stimulation of sensory C-fibers in the skin (18,21). A >70% reduction in infarct size was reported in mice treated with 0.1% capsaicin cream, applied topically to a 2 cm<sup>2</sup> area of skin along the abdominal midline 15 min before the onset of coronary artery occlusion, compared with untreated control subjects (18). In spite of its inherent appeal, this concept has not yet been translated to clinical investigation.

**Temporal variants: remote pre-, per-, and postconditioning.** In all studies discussed thus far, the remote conditioning stimulus was administered prophylactically in the ~30- to 40-min period before the onset of sustained myocardial ischemia. However, pre-treatment is not a requirement for RIC-induced cardioprotection: reduction of infarct size has also been described with concurrent application of the remote ischemic stimulus during sustained coronary occlusion (remote ischemic *per*-conditioning) or at the time of reperfusion (remote ischemic postconditioning) (24,25).

The first documentation of infarct size reduction with remote per-conditioning utilized brief renal ischemia/reperfusion as the trigger, applied during Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2944238

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2944238

Daneshyari.com