Short- Versus Long-Term Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation



An Individual Patient Data Pairwise and Network Meta-Analysis

Tullio Palmerini, MD,* Diego Sangiorgi, MSTAT,* Marco Valgimigli, MD, PhD,† Giuseppe Biondi-Zoccai, MD,‡§ Fausto Feres, MD, || Alexandre Abizaid, MD, || Ricardo A. Costa, MD, || Myeong-Ki Hong, MD, PhD,¶ Byeong-Keuk Kim, MD, PhD,¶ Yangsoo Jang, MD, PhD,¶ Hyo-Soo Kim, MD, PhD,# Kyung Woo Park, MD,# Andrea Mariani, MD,* Diego Della Riva, MD,* Philippe Genereux, MD,** Martin B. Leon, MD,** Deepak L. Bhatt, MD,†† Umberto Bendetto, MD, PhD,‡‡ Claudio Rapezzi, MD,* Gregg W. Stone, MD**

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Randomized controlled trials comparing short- (≤6 months) with long-term (≥1 year) dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after drug-eluting stent(s) (DES) placement have been insufficiently powered to detect significant differences in the risk of major adverse cardiac events (MACE).

OBJECTIVES This study sought to compare clinical outcomes between short- (≤6 months) and long-term (1 year) DAPT and among 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year of DAPT post-DES placement by performing an individual patient data pairwise and network meta-analysis.

METHODS Randomized controlled trials comparing DAPT durations after DES placement were searched through the MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases and in international meeting proceedings. The primary study outcome was 1-year risk of MACE (cardiac death, myocardial infarction, or definite/probable stent thrombosis).

RESULTS Four trials including 8,180 randomized patients were identified. At 1-year follow-up, short-term DAPT was associated with similar rates of MACE (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.11; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.86 to 1.43; p=0.44), but significantly lower rates of bleeding (HR: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.46 to 0.94; p=0.03) versus prolonged DAPT. Comparable results were apparent in the landmark period between DAPT discontinuation and 1-year follow-up (for MACE: HR: 1.20; 95% CI: 0.77 to 1.89; p=0.42) (for bleeding: HR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.21 to 0.91; p=0.03). There were no significant differences in 1-year rates of MACE among 3-month versus 1-year DAPT, 6-month versus 1-year DAPT, or 3-month versus 6-month DAPT.

CONCLUSIONS Compared with prolonged DAPT, short-term DAPT is associated with similar rates of MACE but lower rates of bleeding after DES placement. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1092-102) © 2015 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

From the *Dipartimento Cardio-Toraco-Vascolare, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; †Erasmus Medical Center, Thoraxcenter, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; †Department of Medico-Surgical Sciences and Biotechnologies, Sapienza University of Rome, Latina, Italy; §VCU Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia; ||Istituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia, Sao Paulo, Brazil; ¶Severance Cardiovascular Hospital and Science Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; #Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea; **Columbia University Medical Center/New York-Presbyterian Hospital and the Cardiovascular Research Foundation, New York, New York; ††Brigham and Women's Hospital Heart and Vascular Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; and the †‡Oxford Heart Center, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom. No sponsor of any of the individual trials had any role in the study design, data collection, data interpretation, or drafting of the manuscript. Medtronic provided the data from the OPTIMIZE trial. Dr. Palmerini has received a speaker fee from Abbott Vascular; and a research grant from Eli Lilly. Dr. Valgimigli has received speaker or consultant fees from Abbott Vascular, AstraZeneca, Alvimedica, Medtronic, Terumo, and The Medicines Company. Dr. Biondi-Zoccai was, at the time of the preparation of this manuscript, the Congdon Visiting Scholar at the VCU Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia; he has consulted for Bayer Pharma and Novartis; has lectured for Abbott Vascular, AstraZeneca, DirectFlow Medical, and St. Jude Medical; and has received career grant support from Medtronic. Dr. Feres has received speaker fees from Biosensors and Eli Lilly; and has been a consultant for Medtronic and Scitech. Dr. Genereux has



he optimal duration of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor after drug-eluting stent(s) (DES) implantation remains a matter of debate. Despite demonstration of improved efficacy, first-generation sirolimus-eluting stents and paclitaxel-eluting stent(s) (PES) result in greater rates of very late stent thrombosis (ST) and adverse cardiac events compared with bare-metal stents (1,2). Based on pathological findings showing delayed arterial endothelialization after sirolimus-eluting stents and PES implantation (3,4), as well as clinical retrospective studies suggesting higher rates of ST with first-generation DES versus bare-metal stents at time of DAPT discontinuation (5,6), the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association guidelines extended the duration of DAPT from 3 months after sirolimuseluting stents and 6 months after PES placement (per randomized clinical trials [RCT]) to at least 1 year (7). Thus, 1 year of DAPT has become the standard of care worldwide for patients receiving DES, irrespective of DES type and despite the absence of evidence-based RCT results.

SEE PAGE 1103

Because prolonged DAPT is associated with increased bleeding and health care costs (8), establishing optimal DAPT duration is of paramount importance. Yet observational studies have been inconsistent; some reports suggest increased rates of adverse events in patients with premature DAPT discontinuation (5,9), whereas others refute this association (10,11). Recently, several RCTs failed to show any benefit of prolonging DAPT (≥1 year) versus a shorter course, challenging the notion that 1 year of DAPT is necessary after DES implantation (12-16). However, given the low frequency of adverse events after DAPT discontinuation, all of these studies

were insufficiently powered to detect modest but clinically meaningful differences in ischemic outcomes. For this reason, we performed an individual patient data meta-analysis of RCTs investigating the safety and efficacy of shortening DAPT to <1 year post-DES implantation.

METHODS

Eligible studies for this meta-analysis were RCTs comparing short-duration (3 or 6 months) with longer-duration DAPT (≥1 year). Randomized trials comparing 1 year with >1 year DAPT were excluded. Relevant RCTs were searched through MEDLINE, the Cochrane database, the EMBASE database, www.tctmd.com, www.clinicaltrials.gov, www.clinicaltrialresults.org, www.cardiosource.com, and abstracts and presentations from major cardiovascular meetings, using the keywords

"randomized clinical trial," "drug-eluting stent," "dual antiplatelet therapy," "clopidogrel," "aspirin," and "thienopyridines." Two investigators (T.P. and A.M.) independently reviewed the titles, abstracts, and studies to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. Reviewer conflicts were resolved by consensus. No language, publication date, or publication status restrictions were imposed. The most updated or inclusive data for a given study were abstracted. Internal validity of RCTs was assessed by evaluating concealment of allocation, blind adjudication of events, and inclusion of all randomized patients in the analysis.

The primary endpoint was the 1-year rate of major adverse cardiac events (MACE), including the composite of cardiac death, myocardial infarction (MI), or definite/probable ST. Secondary pre-specified

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACS = acute coronary syndrome(s)

CI = confidence interval

CrI = credible interval

DAPT = dual antiplatelet therapy

DES = drug-eluting stent(s)

HR = hazard ratio

MACE = major adverse cardiac event(s)

MI = myocardial infarction

OR = odds ratio

PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention

PES = paclitaxel-eluting stent(s)

RCT = randomized clinical trials

ST = stent thrombosis

received speaker fees from Abbott and Cardiovascular System Inc. Dr. Bhatt is on the advisory board of Elsevier Practice Update Cardiology, Medscape Cardiology, and Regado Biosciences; is on the Board of Directors of Boston VA Research Institute and the Society of Cardiovascular Patient Care; is Chair of the American Heart Association Get With The Guidelines Steering Committee; is on the Data Monitoring Committees at Duke Clinical Research Institute, Harvard Clinical Research Institute, Mayo Clinic, and Population Health Research Institute; has received honoraria from the American College of Cardiology (Editor, Clinical Trials, Cardiosource), Belvoir Publications (Editor-in-Chief, Harvard Heart Letter), Duke Clinical Research Institute (clinical trial steering committees), Harvard Clinical Research Institute (clinical trial steering committees), Harvard Clinical Research Institute (clinical trial steering committee), Slack Publications (Chief Medical Editor, Cardiology Today's Intervention), and WebMD (CME steering committees); is the Deputy Editor of Clinical Cardiology; is the Section Editor for pharmacology for the Journal of the American College of Cardiology; has received research grants from Amarin, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Ethicon, Medtronic, Roche, Sanofi, and The Medicines Company; and has received unfunded research from FlowCo, PLx Pharma, and Takeda. Dr. Stone has served as a consultant for Boston Scientific, Eli Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo, and AstraZeneca. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2944309

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2944309

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>