

Aortic Pulse Wave Velocity Improves Cardiovascular Event Prediction

An Individual Participant Meta-Analysis of Prospective Observational Data From 17,635 Subjects

Yoav Ben-Shlomo, MBBS, PhD,¹ Melissa Spears, MSc,¹ Chris Boustred, PhD,¹ Margaret May, PhD,¹ Simon G. Anderson, PhD, MBBS,² Emelia J. Benjamin, MD, ScM,³ Pierre Boutouyrie, MD, PhD,⁴ James Cameron, MBBS, MD,⁵ Chen-Huan Chen, MD,⁶ J. Kennedy Cruickshank, MB, MD,⁷ Shih-Jen Hwang, PhD,⁸ Edward G. Lakatta, MD,⁹ Stephane Laurent, MD, PhD,⁴ João Maldonado, MD,¹⁰ Gary F. Mitchell, MD,¹¹ Samer S. Najjar, MD,^{9,12} Anne B. Newman, MD, MPH,¹³ Mitsuru Ohishi, MD, PhD,¹⁴ Bruno Pannier, MD,¹⁵ Telmo Pereira, PhD,¹⁶ Ramachandran S. Vasan, MD,¹⁷ Tomoki Shokawa, MD,¹⁸ Kim Sutton-Tyrell, DRPH,¹³ Francis Verbeke, MD, PhD,¹⁹ Kang-Ling Wang, MD,⁶ David J. Webb, MD, DSc,²⁰ Tine Willum Hansen, MD, PhD,²¹ Sophia Zoungas, MBBS, PhD,²² Carmel M. McEniery, PhD,²³ John R. Cockcroft, BSc, MB,²⁴ Ian B. Wilkinson, MA, DM²³

Bristol, Manchester, London, Edinburgh, Cambridge, and Cardiff, United Kingdom; Boston and Norwood, Massachusetts; Paris, France; Melbourne, Australia; Taipei, Taiwan; Bethesda and Baltimore, Maryland; Glostrup, Denmark; Penacova, Portugal; Washington, DC; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Osaka and Hiroshima, Japan; Coimbra, Portugal; Ghent, Belgium; and Glostrup, Denmark

- Objectives** The goal of this study was to determine whether aortic pulse wave velocity (aPWV) improves prediction of cardiovascular disease (CVD) events beyond conventional risk factors.
- Background** Several studies have shown that aPWV may be a useful risk factor for predicting CVD, but they have been underpowered to examine whether this is true for different subgroups.
- Methods** We undertook a systematic review and obtained individual participant data from 16 studies. Study-specific associations of aPWV with CVD outcomes were determined using Cox proportional hazard models and random effect models to estimate pooled effects.
- Results** Of 17,635 participants, a total of 1,785 (10%) had a CVD event. The pooled age- and sex-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) per 1-SD change in log_e aPWV were 1.35 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.22 to 1.50; $p < 0.001$) for coronary heart disease, 1.54 (95% CI: 1.34 to 1.78; $p < 0.001$) for stroke, and 1.45 (95% CI: 1.30 to 1.61; $p < 0.001$) for CVD. Associations stratified according to sex, diabetes, and hypertension were similar but decreased with age (1.89, 1.77, 1.36, and 1.23 for age ≤ 50 , 51 to 60, 61 to 70, and > 70 years, respectively; $p_{\text{interaction}} < 0.001$). After adjusting for conventional risk factors, aPWV remained a predictor of coronary heart disease (HR: 1.23 [95% CI: 1.11 to 1.35]; $p < 0.001$), stroke (HR: 1.28 [95% CI: 1.16 to 1.42]; $p < 0.001$), and CVD events (HR: 1.30 [95% CI: 1.18 to 1.43]; $p < 0.001$). Reclassification indices showed that the addition of aPWV improved risk prediction (13% for 10-year CVD risk for intermediate risk) for some subgroups.
- Conclusions** Consideration of aPWV improves model fit and reclassifies risk for future CVD events in models that include standard risk factors. aPWV may enable better identification of high-risk populations that might benefit from more aggressive CVD risk factor management. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:636–46) © 2014 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

From the ¹School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom; ²Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Manchester, United Kingdom; ³National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and Boston University's

Framingham Heart Study, Cardiology Section, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts; ⁴INSERM U 970, Paris-Descartes University, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Assistance Publique

There is considerable interest in refining cardiovascular risk prediction to better target preventative therapy among those individuals considered to be at low or moderate risk according to current guidelines. A number of additional putative cardiovascular biomarkers have been identified, including C-reactive protein, carotid intima-media thickness, and a variety of genetic variants (1,2). However, these factors seem to add little to existing risk estimates, such as that derived from the Framingham Heart Study (1,3,4). Recently, aortic stiffness has emerged (5,6) as a potential additional candidate, and reference values have now been published (7,8).

See page 647

Aortic stiffness can be assessed by using a variety of noninvasive methods. One of the most frequently used methods is carotid-femoral (aortic) pulse wave velocity (aPWV) (9). Data from prospective observational cohort studies indicate that aPWV relates to future cardiovascular risk even after accounting for other accepted cardiovascular risk factors. However, the extent to which aPWV improves risk prediction, whether it does so equally for cardiac and cerebral events, and if it differs by subgroups is unclear because most studies were underpowered to examine these issues. A recent meta-analysis using summary published data found that aPWV predicted cardiovascular events but could not examine subgroup effects at an individual level or calculate the additional prognostic value of aPWV (10).

We undertook a systematic review and used data from both newly published and unpublished cohorts with measures of aPWV and incident cardiovascular disease to conduct an individual participant meta-analysis. Our goal was to address the questions of whether having information on aPWV for both unselected, population-based individuals and patients with manifest disease improved the prediction of future cardiovascular events; whether risk prediction varied according to subgroups; and whether improved risk

prediction was additive to standard risk factors and how this may vary by population.

Methods

We used the PRISMA 2009 guidelines (11) and undertook a systematic search (details in [Online Appendix 1](#)). The following inclusion criteria were pre-specified: 1) the study had to be a cohort design with a minimum of 1-year follow-up; 2) aortic stiffness had to be assessed by direct measurement of carotid-femoral aPWV; and 3) the study had to be able to provide relevant outcome data, including all-cause mortality, coronary heart disease (CHD) (myocardial infarction or revascularization or as defined by the studies) and stroke events, or CHD and stroke combined (cardiovascular events). Where available, we also tried to differentiate between fatal and nonfatal events, although not all studies collected data on nonfatal events.

Anonymized individual-level subject data were requested for each study, including aPWV, a range of covariates (including age, sex, blood pressure, body mass index, smoking status, lipids, creatinine, and comorbidities), and time to the various endpoint events or censoring.

Ethics. Each study obtained appropriate ethical approval from its local research governance body ([Online Appendix 2](#)). The Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry Ethics Committee, University of Bristol, also reviewed the meta-analysis protocol and was satisfied that it met ethical standards.

Statistical analysis. Baseline characteristics were summarized for each study sample and reported as mean \pm SD and number (%) for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. For skewed continuous variables, the median and interquartile range are stated. aPWV varies according to the software algorithm used and the approach to transit distance measurement. Because our main goal was to

Abbreviations and Acronyms

aPWV = aortic pulse wave velocity

CHD = coronary heart disease

CI = confidence interval

CVD = cardiovascular disease

HR = hazard ratio

PWV = pulse wave velocity

Hopitiaux de Paris, Paris, France; ⁵Monash Cardiovascular Research Centre, MonashHEART and Monash University Department of Medicine (MMC), Melbourne, Australia; ⁶School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁷King's College & King's Health Partners, St. Thomas' & Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ⁸Branch of Population Sciences, Division of Intramural Research, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland; ⁹Laboratory of Cardiovascular Science, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, Maryland; ¹⁰Instituto de Investigação e Formação Cardiovascular, Penacova, Portugal; ¹¹Cardiovascular Engineering, Inc., Norwood, Massachusetts; ¹²MedStar Heart Research Institute, Washington, DC; ¹³Center for Aging and Population Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; ¹⁴Department of Geriatric Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan; ¹⁵Centre d'Investigations Préventives et Cliniques, Paris, France; ¹⁶Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ¹⁷National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and Boston University's Framingham Heart Study, Department of Medicine, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts; ¹⁸Department of Molecular and Internal Medicine, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan; ¹⁹Department of Nephrology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; ²⁰University/BHF Centre for Cardiovascular Science, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of

Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; ²¹Research Center for Prevention and Health, Glostrup Hospital, Glostrup and Steno Diabetes Center, Glostrup, Denmark; ²²School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia; ²³Clinical Pharmacology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; and the ²⁴Wales Heart Research Institute, Cardiff, United Kingdom. There was no funding supplied for the meta-analysis, but [Online Appendix 1](#) presents details for the individual study funding. Ms. Spears was funded by a National Institutes of Health Research Methods Training Fellowship. Dr. Anderson is a National Institutes of Health Research Clinical Lecturer in Cardiology. Dr. Boutouyrie has received research grants from AtCor and Esaote. Dr. Mitchell is owner of Cardiovascular Engineering, Inc., a company that develops and manufactures devices to measure vascular stiffness; is a consultant for Novartis and Merck; and has received grants from the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Najjar has received research support from HeartWare Inc. Drs. McEniery and Wilkinson are supported by the British Heart Foundation and Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose. Dr. Sutton-Tyrell is deceased.

Manuscript received June 19, 2013; revised manuscript received September 13, 2013, accepted September 22, 2013.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2944882>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2944882>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)