Sense d'Arthythmia

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Arrhythmia



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/joa

Review

Perioperative management for the prevention of bacterial infection in cardiac implantable electronic device placement

Katsuhiko Imai*

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Hiroshima University Hospital, Hiroshima, 1-2-3 Kasumi, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 734-8551, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 May 2015 Accepted 19 June 2015 Available online 8 August 2015

Keywords: Implantation technique CIED complication CIED infection

ABSTRACT

Cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) have become important in the treatment of cardiac disease and placement rates increased significantly in the last decade. However, despite the use of appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis, CIED infection rates are increasing disproportionately to the implantation rate. CIED infection often requires explantation of all hardware, and at times results in death. Surgical site infection (SSI) is the most common cause of CIED infection as a pocket infection. The best method of combating CIED infection is prevention. Prevention of CIED infections comprises three phases: before, during, and after device implantation. The most critical factors in the prevention of SSIs are detailed operative techniques including the practice of proper technique by the surgeon and surgical team.

© 2015 Japanese Heart Rhythm Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Contents

1.	Backg	ground		. 283
2.	Surgi	cal site ir	ıfection	. 284
3.	Preve	Prevention of CIED infection		
		Preoperative prevention		
		3.1.1.	Hair removal and skin sterilization (anti-sepsis)	. 284
		3.1.2.	Antibiotic prophylaxis	. 284
		3.1.3.	Preoperative disease and status control (including smoking cessation)	. 285
	3.2.	Intraoperative prevention		
		3.2.1.	General surgical technique	. 285
3.3. Postopera		Postope	erative prevention.	. 285
4.	Concl	usions		. 286
Cor	flict of interest			. 286
Ref	erence	s		. 286

1. Background

The development of transvenous electrodes and downsized generators over the past two decades has permitted physicians to place cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs), and even high voltage devices such as implantable cardioverter-defibrillators or cardiac resynchronization therapy devices with a defibrillator, using techniques similar to those employed for permanent pacemaker insertion [1–5]. As a result, CIED implantation rates have increased significantly in the last decade and these devices gained importance in the treatment of cardiac disease for their ability to reduce morbidity and mortality in selected patients [6,7]. CIED implantation by a cardiologist in an electrophysiology laboratory has several advantages including support by specialized staff and the availability of appropriate monitoring and radiological equipment. However, these beneficial procedures are associated with risks, including infection.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joa.2015.06.007

1880-4276/© 2015 Japanese Heart Rhythm Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Tel.: +81 82 257 5216; fax: +81 82 257 5219. *E-mail address:* kimai@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Infection is the most serious complication of CIED implantation and requires explantation of all hardware, with fatalities occurring in 3–19% of patients [8]. CIED infections are associated with significant morbidity, mortality, and cost [9–11]. Infection rates associated with device implantation are reported to be between 1% and 7% [12]. The majority of CIED infections are caused by staphylococcal species, which account for 60–80% of cases in most reported series [13–15]. Coagulase-negative staphylococcal species, often *S. epidermidis*, are the most common pathogens (42%) reported to cause CIED infections [16]. *Staphylococcus aureus* is the second most common pathogen (25%).

The current American Heart Association (AHA)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) recommendation for prophylaxis at the time of CIED placement is an antibiotic that possesses in vitro activity against staphylococci [9]. Recent large studies indicate that the vast majority of patients receive antimicrobial prophylaxis with CIED placement [17,18]. However, despite the widespread use of appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis, CIED infection rates are increasing disproportionately to implantation rates [19].

2. Surgical site infection

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has developed criteria for defining surgical site infection (SSI) [20], which replaced the previous term 'surgical wound infection'. This guideline became the worldwide standard and is widely used for surveillance, including in Japan (i.e., Japan Nosocomial Infections Surveillance; JANIS). These criteria define SSIs as infections related to the operative procedure that occur at or near the surgical incision (incisional or organ/space) within 30 days of an operative procedure or within 1 year if an implant is left in place. Incisional SSIs are further divided into those involving only the skin and subcutaneous tissue (superficial incisional SSIs) and those involving deeper soft tissues (deep incisional SSIs). Organ/space SSIs involve any part of the anatomy (e.g., organ or space), other than the incised body wall layers, that was opened or manipulated during an operation. These definitions should be universally followed for the surveillance, prevention, and control of SSIs.

Along with this guideline, cardiac device infections can also be defined and classified as 'pocket infections' and 'deeper infections'. The term 'pocket infection' is used when the infection involves the subcutaneous pocket containing the device and the subcutaneous part of the leads. The term 'deeper infection' is used when the infection involves the transvenous portion of the lead, usually in association with bacteremia and/or endovascular infection. Alternatively, device infections may be classified by the mode of infection. Primary infections, in which the device and/or pocket itself is the source of infection, are usually due to contamination at the time of implantation. A secondary infection occurs when the leads (and sometimes the device and pocket) are seeded by bacteremia from a different source (i.e., hemodialysis vascular access or dental abscesses).

Table 1

Interventions that have been used to reduce the risk of SSIs.

3. Prevention of CIED infection

The prevention of CIED infections comprises three phases: before, during, and after device implantation. The most critical factors in the prevention of SSIs are detailed operative techniques including the practice of proper technique by the surgeon and surgical team. Table 1 shows interventions that have been used to reduce the risk of SSIs [20]. Most interventions were developed to reduce contact with normal microbial flora from hospital personnel, believed to be the source of microorganisms causing SSIs.

3.1. Preoperative prevention

Fever elevation within 24 h before implantation is associated with the development of CIED infection [17]. Therefore, patients should be screened for evidence of infection prior to implantation. All remote infections should be adequately treated before elective operations, and implantation should be postponed until the infection has resolved. If urgent surgery is required, the risk of infection must be weighed against the timing of surgical intervention on an individual basis.

3.1.1. Hair removal and skin sterilization (anti-sepsis)

In the past, hair removal was commonly performed before most surgical procedures to provide a clean operative field and prevent bacteria in hair follicles from entering the surgical site.

However, most studies have found an increased risk of SSIs in patients undergoing preoperative hair removal [21]. Therefore, it is not recommended to remove hair perioperatively unless the hair at or around the incision site will interfere with the operation [20].

The CDC also recommends that patients be required to shower or bathe with an antiseptic agent at least on the night before surgery [20]. However, the benefit of bathing with an antiseptic preparation prior to surgery to reduce the risk of SSIs has been questioned. In a meta-analysis of six trials involving 10,007 participants, preoperative bathing with chlorhexidine conferred no benefit in terms of SSI reduction over preoperative bathing with other products such as non-antiseptic washing agents [22].

The CDC also recommends thoroughly washing and cleaning at and around the incision site to remove contamination before performing an antiseptic skin preparation. The application of antiseptics to the skin immediately prior to surgery is a routine practice in almost all operations, and perioperative antiseptic preparation of the skin of the surgical site should be performed with an approved antiseptic agent. The antiseptic should be applied over the incision site in concentric circles starting from the incision site and moving toward the periphery.

3.1.2. Antibiotic prophylaxis

In a clean operation such as cardiovascular or brain surgery, the incidence of SSIs is relatively low. However, once it occurs, it becomes increasingly severe. Therefore, systemic prophylactic antibiotics at the time of CIED implantation are recommended. At present, there are no guidelines on systemic prophylactic antibiotic use in CIED implantation and no trials comparing systemic prophylactic antibiotic regimens. Data from some studies [17,23–25] support the administration of antibiotic prophylaxis for CIED implantation. The CDC recommends that vancomycin should not be used routinely for antimicrobial prophylaxis because of the risk of postoperative methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infection [20]. In a clean operation, cefazolin, which is effective for skin flora, is usually used for prophylaxis.

[✓] Preoperative showering with antimicrobial soaps^a

Preoperative application of antiseptics to the skin of the patient

 $[\]checkmark$ Washing and gloving of the surgeon's hands

[✓] Use of sterile drapes

[✓] Use of gowns and masks by operating room personnel

^a Not available in Japan

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2957483

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2957483

Daneshyari.com