### Treatment strategies in the left main coronary () CrossMark artery disease associated with acute coronary syndromes



Ahmet Karabulut<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mahmut Cakmak<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Cardiology, Acibadem University, School of Medicine, Acibadem Atakent Hospital, Istanbul <sup>b</sup> Department of Cardiology, International Medicine Hospital, Phristina

<sup>a</sup> Turkey

<sup>b</sup>Kosovo

Significant left main coronary artery (LMCA) stenosis is not rare and reported 3 to 10% of patients undergoing coronary angiography. Unprotected LMCA intervention is a still clinical challenge and surgery is still going to be a traditional management method in many cardiac centers. With a presentation of drug eluting stent (DES), extensive use of IVUS and skilled operators, number of such interventions increased rapidly which lead to change in recommendation in the guidelines regarding LMCA procedures in the stable angina (Class 2a recommendation for ostial and shaft lesion and class 2b recommendation for distal bifurcation lesion). However, there was not clear consensus about the management of unprotected LMCA lesion associated with acute myocardial infarction (MI) with a LMCA culprit lesion itself or distinct culprit lesion of other major coronary arteries. Surgery could be preferred as an obligatory management strategy even in the high risk patients. With this review, we aimed to demonstrate treatment strategies of LMCA disease associated with acute coronary syndrome, particularly acute myocardial infarction (MI). In addition, we presented a short case series with LMCA lesion and ST elevated acute MI in which culprit lesion placed either in the left anterior descending artery or circumflex artery. We reviewed the current medical literature and propose simple algorithm for management.

© 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Left main coronary artery, Acute coronary syndrome, Surgery, Percutaneous intervention

#### Contents

Introduction . . . . . . . . . ..... 273 Current management of left main coronary artery stenosis in stable angina ..... Left main coronary artery stenosis as a culprit lesion in the acute coronary syndromes ..... 274

Disclosure: Authors have nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support.

Received 27 January 2015; revised 25 February 2015; accepted 3 March 2015.

Available online 21 March 2015

\* Corresponding author at: Acibadem University Atakent Hospital, Department of Cardiology. Halkalı Merkez Mah. Turgut Özal Bulvarı, No: 16, 34303, Kucukcekmece, Istanbul, Turkey. Tel.: +90 5053577477; fax: +90 2124044445.

E-mail address: drkarabulut@yahoo.com (A. Karabulut).



P.O. Box 2925 Riyadh - 11461KSA Tel: +966 1 2520088 ext 40151 Fax: +966 1 2520718 Email: sha@sha.org.sa URL: www.sha.org.sa



1016-7315 © 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University. URL: www.ksu.edu.sa http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsha.2015.03.002



Production and hosting by Elsevier

Left main coronary artery stenosis as a non-culprit lesion in acute coronary syndromes	274
Short case series	274
Conclusion	275
Acknowledgements	275
References	275

### Introduction

Cignificant left main coronary artery (LMCA) stenosis is not rare, occurring among a reported 3% to 10% of patients undergoing coronary angiography [1]. Unprotected LMCA intervention remains a clinical challenge. With the advent of drug-eluting stent (DES), extensive use of IVUS and skilled operators, the number of such interventions have increased rapidly, leading to changes in guideline recommendations for LMCA procedures in stable angina (Class 2a recommendation for ostial and shaft lesions and class 2b recommendation for distal bifurcation lesions) [2]. Moreover, isolated LMCA disease with low SYNTAX score ( $\leq 22$ ) was recommended as class 1 indication with the level of evidence of B in the recent revascularization guidelines of European Society of Cardiology. However, there was no clear consensus on unprotected LMCA lesions associated with acute myocardial infarction with culprit lesion of LMCA itself or distinct culprit lesion of other major coronary arteries [3–6].

This review sought to demonstrate the treatment strategies of LMCA disease associated with acute coronary syndromes, particularly acute myocardial infarction (MI). In addition, we present a short case series with an LMCA lesion and ST-elevated acute MI in which the culprit lesion was placed either in the left anterior descending artery (LAD) or circumflex artery (CX). We review the current medical literature

#### Abbreviations

CABG	coronary artery bypass graft
CX	circumflex artery
DES	drug-eluting stent
IVUS	intravascular ultrasonography
LAD	left anterior descending artery
LMCA	left main coronary artery MI
	myocardial infarction
PCI	percutaneous coronary interventions
RCA	right coronary artery
SYNTAX	synergy between percutaneous coronary inter- vention with TAXUS and cardiac surgery
TIMI	thrombolysis in myocardial infarction

and conclude with an algorithm for LMCA lesions associated with acute coronary syndromes.

## Current management of left main coronary artery stenosis in stable angina

Surgery is accepted as a traditional and standard treatment of unprotected LMCA disease. Recent data comparing the safety and efficacy of LMCA interventions with DES and coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery showed comparable results in terms of safety and mortality [2]. Long-term mortality rates were also similar. However, percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) were associated with higher rates of repeat revascularization, whereas CABG was associated with higher stroke rate compared to PCI [7–10]. Patient selection for both strategies is fundamental

Table 1. Indication for coronary bypass graft surgery vs. percutaneous coronary intervention in the stable angina patients with lesions suitable for both procedures.

LMCA LESION	Favours CABG	Favours PCI
<sup>*</sup> Left main with SYNTAX score $\leq 22$	1 (Level of evidence – B)	1 Level of evidence – B)
<sup>*</sup> Left main with SYNTAX score 23–32	1 (Level of evidence – B)	2a (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>*</sup> Left main with SYNTAX score >32	1 (Level of evidence – B)	3 (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>a</sup> Left main (isolated or 1 VD, ostium/shaft)	1 (Level of evidence – A)	2a (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>a</sup> Left main (isolated or 1 VD, distal bifurcation)	1 (Level of evidence – A)	2b (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>a</sup> Left main + 2 VD or 3 VD, SYNTAX score $\leq 32$	1 (Level of evidence – A)	2b (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>a</sup> Left main + 2 VD or 3 VD, SYNTAX score $\geq$ 33	1 (Level of evidence – A)	3 (Level of evidence – B)
<sup>a</sup> Left main with SYNTAX score >32 <sup>a</sup> Left main (isolated or 1 VD, ostium/shaft) <sup>a</sup> Left main (isolated or 1 VD, distal bifurcation) <sup>a</sup> Left main + 2 VD or 3 VD, SYNTAX score ≤32	1 (Level of evidence – B) 1 (Level of evidence – A) 1 (Level of evidence – A) 1 (Level of evidence – A)	3 (Level of evidence – B) 2a (Level of evidence – B) 2b (Level of evidence – B) 2b (Level of evidence – B)

LMCA, Left main coronary artery; CABG, Coronary artery bypass graft; PCI, Percutaneous coronary; VD, Vessel disease; SYNTAX, Synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with taxus and cardiac surgery.

\* According to 2014 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines in Myocardial Revascularisation.

<sup>a</sup> According to 2010 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines in Myocardial Revascularisation.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2977794

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/2977794

Daneshyari.com