

Simulation-based learning of transesophageal echocardiography in cardiothoracic surgical trainees: A prospective, randomized study

Jeremy Smelt, MRCS,^a Carlos Corredor, FRCA,^b Mark Edsell, FRCA,^b Nick Fletcher, FRCA,^b Marjan Jahangiri, FRCS,^a and Vivek Sharma, FRCA^b

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The Intercollegiate Surgical Curriculum now recommends that cardiac surgical trainees should be able to understand and interpret transesophageal echocardiography images. However, cardiac surgical trainees receive limited formal transesophageal echocardiography training. The objective of this study was to assess the impact of simulation-based teaching versus more traditional operating room teaching on transesophageal echocardiography knowledge in cardiac surgical trainees.

Methods: A total of 25 cardiac surgical trainees with no formal transesophageal echocardiography learning experience were randomly assigned by computer to a study group receiving simulation-based transesophageal echocardiography teaching via the Heartworks (Inventive Medical, London, UK) simulator ($n = 12$) or a control group receiving transesophageal echocardiography teaching during elective cardiac surgery ($n = 13$). Each subject undertook a video-based test composed of 20 multiple choice questions on standard transesophageal echocardiography views before and after teaching.

Results: There was no significant difference in the pretest scores between the 2 groups ($P = .89$). After transesophageal echocardiography teaching, subjects within each group demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in transesophageal echocardiography knowledge. Although the subjects within the simulation group outperformed their counterparts in the operating room teaching group in the post-test scores, this difference was not significant ($P = .14$).

Conclusions: Despite the familiarity with transesophageal echocardiography images during surgery, subjects in the simulation group performed at least as well as those in the operating room group. Surgical trainees will benefit from formal transesophageal echocardiography teaching incorporated into their training via either learning method. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015;150:22-5)



One of the faculty demonstrating TEE views in the operating room.

Central Message

Simulated and operating room teaching of TEE should be incorporated into cardiothoracic surgical training.

Perspective

This study highlights the beneficial impact of 2 teaching methods, intraoperative and simulated, on the ability of cardiac surgical trainees to understand basic cardiac anatomy using TEE. We recommend the inclusion of formal TEE learning via either method into the cardiac surgical curriculum to improve knowledge and facilitate joint decision-making, which may affect patient outcomes.

See Editorial Commentary page 26.

Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is an essential modality within cardiac surgery. Its use perioperatively is paramount in ensuring accurate surgical planning and demonstrating adequate surgical results. The role of TEE has expanded into perioperative management of patients in other noncardiac surgical specialties and management of critically ill patients in the intensive care unit.¹⁻⁴

Guidelines have been published outlining the importance of TEE and recommending its use in both cardiac and noncardiac surgery.^{5,6}

The Intercollegiate Surgical Curriculum recommends that cardiac surgical trainees should be able to understand and interpret TEE images.⁷ However, cardiac surgical trainees receive limited formal TEE training, if any. The London regional teaching program, which has the highest proportion of trainees, has not included teaching on TEE. This is thought to reflect national practice. There are accredited courses available for TEE learning, but these tend to be attended mainly by critical care and anesthesiologists.

The importance of becoming proficient in the use of TEE is well recognized in anesthesia, and accreditation has been developed to support this.⁸ The traditional approach for

From the ^aDepartment of Cardiothoracic Surgery and ^bCardiothoracic Anesthesia, St George's Hospital, London, United Kingdom.

Received for publication Dec 24, 2014; revisions received April 7, 2015; accepted for publication April 16, 2015; available ahead of print May 16, 2015.

Address for reprints: Marjan Jahangiri, FRCS, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, St George's Hospital, Blackshaw Rd, London SW17 0QT (E-mail: marjan.jahangiri@stgeorges.nhs.uk).

0022-5223/\$36.00

Copyright © 2015 by The American Association for Thoracic Surgery

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2015.04.032>

Abbreviation and Acronym

TEE = transesophageal echocardiography

TEE training has been self-directed learning via literature and a lecture-based approach. The intraoperative “hands-on” approach, which may be regarded as the gold standard, can be difficult to achieve for trainees because of reduced working hours, shift patterns, service provision priorities, and the unpredictability of operating lists and intraoperative situations. Thus, methods of learning this skill in a more time effective manner have been analyzed.⁹

The role and benefit of simulation in medical education have been established.¹⁰ Previous studies have highlighted the impact of simulation-based echocardiography learning on echo-naïve anesthesia and cardiology trainees.¹¹⁻¹⁶ Because of the limited formal training opportunities in TEE available to cardiac surgical trainees, the role of TEE learning via conventional and simulation-based methods have not been previously studied.

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of simulation-based teaching versus more traditional operating room teaching on TEE knowledge in cardiac surgical trainees.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approval for the study from the joint research and enterprise office was obtained. Each subject undertook a video-based test composed of 20 multiple choice questions on cardiac anatomy associated with the 20 standard TEE views before teaching. This was designed by a TEE-accredited anesthetist who was blinded to all aspects of the study. Abnormal anatomy, pathology, and other echocardiography modalities such as Doppler were not assessed as part of this study. A correct answer scored 1 mark, with an incorrect answer scoring zero. There was no negative marking.

To validate the questionnaires, the same video-based tests were administered to cardiac anesthesia consultants accredited in the perioperative use of TEE. The consultants scored a mean (standard deviation) of 92% (5%) and 90% (3%) in the pre- and postintervention tests, respectively.

The subjects were then randomly assigned via computer-based software to a study group receiving simulation-based TEE teaching (Heartworks, Inventive Medical, London, UK) ($n = 12$) or a control group receiving intraoperative TEE teaching during elective cardiac surgery ($n = 13$). The simulator consists of the head and torso of a mannequin, within whose mouth you can place and manipulate a TEE probe. The images, which are dependent on the position of the probe in the mannequin, are then displayed on a computer screen. The simulation group was shown the standard TEE views on the simulator, as we previously described.⁹ Trainees were allowed multiple attempts at identifying the cardiac sono-anatomy associated with the 20-standard TEE views until they were satisfied. The operating room group was shown the 20-standard TEE views on an anesthetized patient undergoing elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery before the use of diathermy or establishing cardiopulmonary bypass. The images were recorded, and the subjects were able to review these images multiple times in a manner similar to their simulation-based learning counterparts. The trainees in the operating group were extras. Their only task was to be involved in the teaching session.

Both groups were taught the sequential TEE examination taken from the American Society of Anesthesiologists/Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists guidelines.¹⁷ Both groups were taught the basic cardiac anatomy during their session and were demonstrated how to obtain the standard views of the heart by a TEE-accredited anesthetist. Each session lasted the same amount of time (2 hours). The objective of both sessions was to enable the subjects to identify the cardiac anatomy associated with the 20-standard TEE views. Trainees were asked not to seek out additional TEE learning material during the day.

At the end of the training day (~4 hours after the conclusion of TEE teaching), each subject then undertook a second video-based test composed of 20 multiple choice questions on standard TEE views. This postintervention test was of the same format as the preintervention test but composed of different questions.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis involved the test of normality, after which the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare results within the groups and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare results between the groups. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill).

RESULTS

All 25 subjects who participated in the study and received TEE learning via either method undertook both the pre- and postintervention tests. There was no significant difference in the pretest scores between the 2 groups ($P = .89$). After TEE teaching, subjects within each group demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in TEE knowledge. Although the subjects within the simulation group outperformed their counterparts in the operating room-based teaching group in the post-test scores, this difference was not significant ($P = .14$). Table 1 summarizes the scores of the pre- and postintervention tests in both arms of the study.

DISCUSSION

This study illustrates the beneficial effect of formal TEE learning on the ability of cardiac surgical trainees to identify cardiac sono-anatomy associated with the 20-standard TEE views.

The utility and impact of simulation-based TEE learning in anesthesia trainees have been studied by several investigators. Bose and colleagues¹³ compared the efficacy of simulation-based TEE learning with conventional methods, such as textbooks, articles, and web-based resources. The authors found a statistically significantly higher change in scores between the pre- and postintervention tests in the simulation group (median [interquartile range]) (28 [16-52]) when compared with the conventional teaching group (1 [9-35]); $P = .007$.¹³ Ferrero and co-workers¹⁶ assessed the effect of simulation-based training versus traditional didactic teaching on the ability of 42 anesthesia trainees to acquire TEE images. The authors found that 71% of the images acquired by the trainees in this simulator group were considered acceptable for clinical use compared with 48% in the traditional

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/2979126>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/2979126>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)