

REVIEW

# A systematic review of the cost-effectiveness of non-surgical obesity interventions in men



Dwayne Boyers a,b,\*, Alison Avenella, Fiona Stewarta, Clare Robertsona, Daryll Archibalda,b,c, Flora Douglasd, Pat Hoddinotte, Edwin van Teijlingenf

- <sup>a</sup> Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Health Sciences Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, United Kingdom
- <sup>b</sup> Health Economics Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Polwarth Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, United Kingdom
- <sup>c</sup> Scottish Collaboration for Public Health Research & Policy (SCPHRP) Centre for Population Health Sciences (CPHS) University of Edinburgh, 20 West Richmond Street, Edinburgh EH8 9DX
- <sup>d</sup> Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen, Greenburn Road, Aberdeen, AB21 9SB, United Kingdom
- <sup>e</sup> Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professional Research Unit, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, United Kingdom
- <sup>f</sup> Centre for Midwifery, Maternal & Perinatal Health, Bournemouth University, Bournemouth House B112, 19 Christchurch Road, Bournemouth, Bournemouth BU1 3LH, United Kingdom

Received 19 June 2014; received in revised form 30 January 2015; accepted 1 March 2015

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; UK, United Kingdom; USA, United States of America; QALY, quality adjusted life year; NIHR, National Institute for Health Research; HTA, Health Technology Assessment; NHS, National Health Service; OECD, Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development; CEA, cost-effectiveness analysis; CUA, cost-utility analysis; ICER, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; EUnetHTA, European Network for Health Technology Assessment; CADTH, Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; RCT, randomised controlled trial; GP, General Practitioner; IHD, Ischaemic Heart Disease; WTP, willingness to pay; MOBILIS, Multizentrisch Organisierte Bewegungsorientierte Initiative zur Lebensstiländerung In Selbstverantwortung (translated from German as a Multi-centre movement oriented initiative for lifestyle change through self-responsibility); DGE, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernählung (translated from German as the German Society for Nutrition); XENDOS, XENical in the prevention of Diabetes in Obese Subjects; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; CEAC, cost-effectiveness acceptability curve; CHF, Swiss Francs; GBP, Great British Pounds; FFIT, Football Fans In Training; UKPDS, United Kingdom Prevention of Diabetes Study.

E-mail address: d.boyers@abdn.ac.uk (D. Boyers).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Health Services Research Unit/Health Economics Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, 3rd Floor, Health Sciences Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, United Kingdom. Tel.: +44 01224437850; fax: +44 01224437195.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Obesity treatment; Men's health; Cost-effectiveness; Decision analysis

#### Summary:

Background: Increasing obesity related health conditions have a substantial burden on population health and healthcare spending. Obesity may have a sex-specific impact on disease development, men and women may respond differently to interventions, and there may be sex-specific differences to the cost-effectiveness of interventions to address obesity. There is no clear indication of cost-effective treatments for men. Methods: This systematic review summarises the literature reporting the cost-effectiveness of non-surgical weight-management interventions for men. Studies were quality assessed against a checklist for appraising decision modelling studies.

Results: Although none of the included studies explicitly set out to determine the cost-effectiveness of treatment for men, seven studies reported results for subgroups of men. Interventions were grouped into lifestyle interventions (five studies) and Orlistat (two studies). The retrieved studies showed promising evidence of cost-effectiveness, especially when interventions were targeted at high-risk groups, such as those with impaired glucose tolerance. There appears to be some sex-specific elements to cost-effectiveness, however, there were no clear trends or indications of what may be contributing to this.

Conclusion: The economic evidence was highly uncertain, and limited by variable methodological quality of the included studies. It was therefore not possible to draw strong conclusions on cost-effectiveness. Future studies are required to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of interventions specifically targeted towards weight loss for men.

Crown Copyright © 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Asia Oceania Association for the Study of Obesity. All rights reserved.

#### **Contents**

Introduction	311
Methods	312
Identification of studies	312
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	313
Study selection, data extraction and reporting	313
Reporting of economic evaluations	313
Results	314
Lifestyle interventions	314
NICE guidance on lifestyle interventions	320
Drug treatment with Orlistat	321
National level guidance on the use of Orlistat	321
Quality assessment of the included studies	321
Discussion	323
Conclusion	325
Conflicts of interest	325
Acknowledgements	325
Appendix A. Cost-effectiveness searches	325
References	326

#### Introduction

Overweight and obesity are significant population health concerns. US data from 2007 to 2010 show that based on having a BMI (Body Mass Index)  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, 34.4% of men and 36.1% of women were obese [1]. In England in 2011, 24%

of men and 26% of women were obese, however 65% of men and 58% of women were obese or overweight [2]. Projections from the UK Foresight report [3] show that men will overtake women for obesity (47% and 36% respectively by 2025). However, morbid obesity (BMI  $\geq$  40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) tends to be less prevalent in men than women [2,4]. Worldwide,

### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3003605

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3003605

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>