



Clinical paper

Use of telephone CPR advice in Ireland: Uptake by callers and delays in the assessment process[☆]Gearóid Oman^{a,*}, Gerard Bury^b^a Advanced Paramedic, National Ambulance Service, Radharc n Tulaí, Baile Uí Dhálaigh, An Tulach Mhór, Co. Uíbh, Fhailí, Éire, Ireland^b School of Medicine & Medical Science University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 July 2015

Received in revised form 1 February 2016

Accepted 7 February 2016

Keywords:

Telephone CPR

Telephone assisted CPR

Dispatcher assisted CPR

AMPDS

Ambulance dispatch

Time to first compression

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Telephone CPR (T-CPR) has significant variations in time from call receipt to first compression, with reported delays of up to five minutes. Ireland's National Ambulance Service (NAS) uses T-CPR based on standard AMPDS questions; we aimed to identify the time to first compression and the times needed for question blocks. Ireland has a low survival rate from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, (6% in 2013).

Methods: A retrospective review of all cardiac arrests in a two-year period was carried out in one NAS region. All cardiac arrests were identified from the national registry and audio tapes and Patient Care Reports reviewed, together with survival data. Times from call handover were noted and categorised in terms of the key question items.

Results: 202 cardiac arrests occurred in the period (36/10⁵/year); 30 (14.9%) patients were not in cardiac arrest at the time of the call. Records were available for 145/172 patients in cardiac arrest at the time of the call. In 63/145 (43.4%) cases, the caller was not at the patient's side. Of the remaining 82 cases, in 13/82 (15.8%) CPR was underway (two survivors), in 22/82 (26.8%) the caller would not attempt T-CPR (one survivor); in 47/82 (57.3%), T-CPR was carried out (two survivors). Median time to first compression was 05:28 min (range 03:18–10:29).

Repeated questioning in relation to the patient's condition caused most of the delays.

Conclusions: Many callers are willing to attempt T-CPR but the questioning/instruction process causes significant delays. A focused, brief questioning process is required.

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The role of telephone assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation (T-CPR) has been well described, with evolving evidence that simplified procedures improve outcomes.^{1–5} The American Heart Association has recently summarised the data supporting the role of T-CPR in an advisory on improving survival in the community.⁶

However, limited data has been published on the effectiveness or outcomes of T-CPR. In 2014 Dameff et al. developed an audit framework for evaluation of 911 calls in a US dispatch centre which uses an automated computer aided dispatch system (Incident Management, version 8.1.3, Intergraph, Huntsville, AL).⁷ Of 317 cases in which CPR was indicated, it was already underway

in 29.7%, and only 14% of cases had T-CPR delivered; where T-CPR was delivered the median time from start of call to first compression was 04:11 min (minutes:seconds format used throughout this paper). Lewis et al., reported in 2013 that median time to first T-CPR compression was 02:56 min, using a locally developed dispatcher training system in Seattle; they identified significant delays due to the questioning process and called for major improvement in this process.⁸

Stipulante et al. reported in 2014 on the implementation of a T-CPR regime in Belgium, using a French language, compression-only phone CPR algorithm and found that 22.5% of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) patients received T-CPR. They found that median time from call to first compression was 02:48 min after implementation of the regime, a significant improvement from the non-T-CPR situation.⁹

A 2013 study by Clegg et al. in the UK examined 50 consecutive suspected OHCA by analysis of the times taken for each phase of the T-CPR interaction, using the Medical Priority Dispatch System Version 12 (Priority Dispatch Systems, Salt Lake City UT, US).¹⁰ They reported that mean time from call receipt to first compression was

[☆] A Spanish translated version of the summary of this article appears as Appendix in the final online version at doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.02.006>.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: paraoman@gmail.com (G. Oman).

04:45 min and that the time taken to determine if the patient was breathing was the longest single component (median 00:59 min).

A key issue in the appropriate use of T-CPR is the ability of dispatchers to recognise cardiac arrest. Dameff's study reported that dispatchers recognised the need for T-CPR in 79% of cases. Vaillencourt et al. reported in 2015 that dispatchers had a 'fair sensitivity' (65.9%) and 'modest specificity' (32.3%) in correctly diagnosing cardiac arrest during 911 calls in Canada, using dispatch algorithms developed by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-term Care.¹¹

Ireland's National Ambulance Service (NAS) is undergoing rapid modernisation of its command and control systems. The NAS serves a population of 4.6 million in settings ranging from dense urban areas to remote and sparsely populated rural areas; around one-third of the population live in rural or small town environments. Highly effective dispatch systems are required to maximise the use of limited NAS resources; T-CPR, volunteer Cardiac First Responders, use of off-duty staff in OHCA, centralisation of command and control and high quality audit are among the measures which have been introduced.¹²

The NAS control systems use the Advanced Medical Priority Dispatch System (AMPDS) Version 12.0 which requires a call-taker to complete a series of 21 questions/items in order to diagnose cardiac arrest and then offer T-CPR.¹³ The AMPDS protocols are installed within a computerised system known as ProQA (Priority Dispatch Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT, US) which was used throughout this study; manual cards with the same questions are available in the control room if required. The NAS version includes all of the standard questions in the AMPDS protocol, with a number of repeated questions on address and appearance of the patient. It does not use specific questions about agonal breathing but has one additional question – 'is the patient changing colour?' [Table 1](#) outlines the questions which essentially fall into four blocks:

1. Location
2. General assessment/what happened?
3. Additional assessment/is there an AED nearby?
4. Breathing assessment/establish cardiac arrest

At the time, call-takers/dispatchers within NAS control centres came from both clinical paramedic and non-clinical backgrounds. All received five days training in the use of the ProQA system, which is the computerised package based on the AMPDS protocols. This was followed by five days training on the overall Computer Aided Dispatch system. In 2015, the NAS moved to a single national control centre with almost exclusively non-clinical call-takers/dispatchers. We did not investigate the relationship between T-CPR and the characteristics or backgrounds of the call-takers/dispatchers involved.

This study aimed to examine the frequency of use of T-CPR in one regional control centre and to assess the time periods used for blocks of questions.

Methods

The national Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest Register (OHCAR) provided details of all cardiac arrests reported in the study area between January 1st 2011 and December 31st 2012. The study area is served by a single regional ambulance control centre, at the time one of eight in Ireland. The area has a population of approximately 280,000 in predominantly rural settings. All calls identified by OHCAR use the unique identifier established at call receipt; this identifier is also used for recordings of calls and for the Patient Care Report (PCR) compiled by the treating paramedics. PCRs were sought for each of the calls included and the digital

recording of each call was retrieved from the ambulance control centre, using the 'Freedom Playback' archive manager programme version 2.0.5.0.¹⁴ All data was anonymised with no data collected on individual callers, patients or call-takers.

A standard Microsoft Windows Media Player (version 9) on the control centre computer was used to listen to each call. The media player shows the timing of the recording and was used to log the times used in the study. Each call was listened to in full at least twice by a single researcher.

All timing data was inputted to Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, US) and SPSS v20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, US) was used for calculation of descriptors.

The start time of the call taker's conversation with the caller was taken as the point at which the 999/112 operator handed the call over to the control centre. Times are reported in the minutes: seconds' format. For paediatric cases, times to first compressions were not included as the resuscitation sequence emphasises the need for adequate initial ventilations; however all other aspects of paediatric calls were included.

University College Dublin Human Research Ethics Committees provided ethical approval and permission for the study was given by the National Ambulance Service.

Results

In the two year period, OHCAR identified 202 cases of cardiac arrest which had been dealt with by the ambulance service in the region, a rate of 36/10⁵/year. This included 30/202 (14.9%) cases in which the patient was not in cardiac arrest at the time of the call for help to the Ambulance Service but arrested at some later point (seven in the presence of paramedics). Of the remaining 172 potential cases for T-CPR, complete PCRs and audio recordings were available for 145 individuals; the incomplete cases included those in which either the PCR or the audiotape could not be located (22 cases) or the quality of the audiotape was unsuitable for any meaningful analysis (five cases). [Fig. 1](#) summarises identification of cases. All callers spoke English. [Fig. 2](#) identifies the cases included in the 'Time to CPR' analysis.

In 45/145 (31%) cases the call was made by a person who was not with the patient at the time (police, GP receptionist, relative, etc.) and in 18/145 (12.5%) cases the caller was in the same building but not in the same room (usually because a fixed line phone in the home was being used). Overall there was no practical option to deliver T-CPR in 63/145 (43.4%) cases.

Therefore in 82/145 (56.6%) cases, the patient was in cardiac arrest and had a potential rescuer nearby who could deliver CPR. Overall 5/82 (6%) patients survived to hospital discharge.

Of those incidents in which the caller was beside the patient, 13/82 (15.9%) had CPR underway at the time of the call – two patients survived to hospital discharge. In 22/82 (26.8%) cases the caller would not attempt telephone assisted CPR – one patient survived to hospital discharge. In 47/82 (57.3%) cases the caller attempted CPR with the assistance of the controller – two patients survived to hospital discharge.

Four of the 47 cases in which T-CPR was attempted were excluded from further analysis of time to first compression because two were paediatric incidents in which the initial ventilations were the key intervention and in two cases, the audio recordings were of insufficient quality to allow accurate timings, leaving 43 cases for analysis of time from receipt of call to first compression.

We identified no 'false-positive' cases in which T-CPR advice was given when the patient was not in cardiac arrest; cardiac arrest was confirmed in each of the cases.

The median time from handover of the call to first compression was 05:28 min (range 03:18–10:29). In 50% of cases, T-CPR was

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3007768>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3007768>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)