Brief report

Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis of Luminal Inflammation Induced by Different Types of Coronary Stent in an Animal Model

Armando Pérez de Prado,^{*} Claudia Pérez-Martínez, Carlos Cuellas-Ramón, José M. Gonzalo-Orden, Marta Regueiro-Purriños, Beatriz Martínez-Fernández, Alejandro Diego-Nieto, and Felipe Fernández-Vázquez

Grupo Cardiovascular de la Fundación Investigación Sanitaria en León and Instituto Universitario de Biomedicina IBIOMED, Hospital Universitario de León and Universidad de León, León, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 February 2010 Accepted 24 April 2010 Available online 30 December 2010

Keywords: Inflammation Endothelium Coronary stent Scanning electron microscopy Animal model

Palabras clave: Inflamación Endotelio *Stent* coronario Microscopio electrónico de barrido Modelo animal

ABSTRACT

There is histological evidence that drug-eluting stents are associated with delayed endothelialization and a persistent inflammatory state. Moreover, clusters of inflammatory cells have been observed on luminal surfaces by scanning electron microscopy. With the aim of quantifying this inflammatory response, we implanted one bare-metal stent and two drug-eluting stents containing different doses of vinblastine embedded in the same polymer into the coronary arteries of 12 domestic pigs. The density of inflammatory cells in a representative area ($100 \times 100 \mu$ m) was quantified at 3 and 7 days. Endothelialization was more complete in bare-metal stents than in drug-eluting stents at both 3 days (P = .016) and 7 days (P = .0001). The degree of inflammation induced by the drug-eluting stents was higher than that induced by the bare-metal stents at both 3 days ($11.8 \pm 3.5\%$ vs. $4.5 \pm 2\%$; P = .001) and 7 days ($26.3 \pm 4.4\%$ vs. $1.2 \pm 1.5\%$; P = .0001). In addition, the time sequence was inverted: the inflammatory response increased over time with the drug-eluting stents, while the opposite occurred with the bare-metal stents.

© 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Análisis de la inflamación luminal inducida por distintos tipos de *stent* coronario en el modelo coronario animal mediante microscopía electrónica de barrido

RESUMEN

Los *stents* farmacoactivos se asocian con retraso en la endotelización y fenómenos inflamatorios persistentes demostrados histológicamente. En la superficie luminal, mediante microscopio electrónico de barrido se observan también cúmulos de células inflamatorias. Para cuantificar esta respuesta inflamatoria se implantaron un *stent* de acero y dos *stents* farmacoactivos con distintas dosis de vinblastina y el mismo polímero en las coronarias de 12 cerdos domésticos. Se analizó 3 y 7 días después la densidad de células inflamatorias por área representativa (100 x 100 μ m). La endotelización del *stent* de acero fue más completa que en los *stents* farmacoactivos a los 3 días (p = 0,016) y a los 7 días (p = 0,0001). Los *stents* farmacoactivos indujeron un grado de inflamación mayor que los *stents* de acero a los 3 días (11,8% ± 3,5% frente al 4,5% ± 2%; p = 0,001) y a los 7 días (26,3% ± 4,4% frente al 1,2% ± 1,5%; p = 0,0001), con un patrón opuesto: la respuesta inflamatoria aumentaba con el tiempo en los *stents* farmacoactivos, al contrario de lo que sucedía con los *stents* de acero.

© 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

INTRODUCTION

Coronary drug-eluting stents (DES) have proved effective in reducing restenosis.^{1,2} However, DES are also associated with delayed endothelialization and persistent inflammatory states which may be due to either the antiproliferative effects of the drugs used or to the polymer used for controlled release.^{3–6} Both phenomena have been associated with stent thrombosis. the most serious complication of these devices and one which can occur in even very late phases.⁴ The data used in these studies came from

E-mail address: aperez@secardiologia.es (A. Pérez De Prado).

the histological analysis of animal parts and human autopsies. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which permits observation of inflammatory cells in the arterial wall and the stent, has frequently been used to analyze stent endothelialization. The aim of this study was to describe a method for the analysis of superficial (luminal) inflammation induced by coronary stents which allows both the extent and evolution of inflammation to be assessed in different types of device.

METHODS

The experimental procedures were performed in 12 domestic pigs (25 \pm 3 kg), following the general guidelines for the protection of

1885-5857/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Sociedad Española de Cardiología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.rec.2010.04.001

^{*} Corresponding author: Servicio de Cardiología Intervencionista, Hospital de León, Altos de Nava, s/n. 24008 León, Spain.

experimental animals (Directive 86/609/EEC RD1201/2005) and under the supervision of the center's bioethics committee.

Sedation, analgesia, anesthesia, and endovascular procedures have been described previously.⁷ One bare-metal stent (BMS, Apollo $3.5^{\text{(B)}} \times 18$ mm, Iberhospitex SA, Spain) and two drug-eluting stents using different doses of vinblastine (DES1, 0.18 x µg/mm² and DES2, 0.36 µg/mm²) embedded in the same polymer (Polymer P5^(*), Iberhospitex SA-Palau Pharma SA, Spain) were randomly implanted so that the distribution of stents in each of the three coronary arteries (left anterior descending, circumflex and right coronary) was the same. Implantation pressure was adjusted to obtain a 10% oversizing.

Euthanasia of the animals at 3 (n = 6) and 7 days (n = 6) after implantation has been described previously.⁷ The procedures used in the SEM study followed the methodology described by Farb et al.⁸ The luminal surfaces of the hemisection were examined by JSM-6480 LV scanning electron microscope (JEOL, Japan). Each hemisection was digitally photographed and endothelized surfaces were analyzed at 25X magnification. Detailed imaging (150-250X magnification) was used to differentiate endothelial cells from fibrin formations or other cell types. The percentage of endothelialization was determined by measuring the total area of the part, corrected by the metal-to-artery ratio (14%) and the nonendothelized metallic area using the following formula: %=100 x [1 - (area not endothelized / total metallic area)]. Between 3 and 5 representative areas $(100 \times 100 \mu m)$ of arterial wall adjacent to the stent were selected (Fig. 1) to study the density of inflammatory cells, which are larger than other blood cells. After processing the image using automatic threshold determination with ImageI-NIH Image 1.4 software (National Institutes of Health, USA), the density of leukocytes in the area analyzed was quantified (percentage of total area occupied by inflammatory cells).

Data are presented as means \pm standard deviation. Differences between means were analyzed using the Student t test and correlations using Pearson's r².

Supplementary material associated with this section can be found in the online version of this article.

RESULTS

Endothelialization was more complete in BMS than DES at both 3 days ($82\% \pm 18\%$ vs. $28\% \pm 14\%$, P = .016) and 7 days ($97\% \pm 3\%$ versus $44\% \pm 15\%$, P = .0001) (Fig. 2). No difference in endothelialization was observed between the two DES, between the different arteries

(anterior descending, circumflex and right coronary artery) or according to the stent / artery ratio (BMS, 1.17 ± 0.1 ; DES1, 1.07 ± 0.07 ; DES2, 1.13 ± 0.16 , P = 0.4).

BMS showed a lower density of inflammatory cells than DES at both 3 days $(4.5\% \pm 2\% \text{ vs } 11.8\% \pm 3.5\%; P = .001)$ and 7 days $(1.2\% \pm 1.5\% \text{ vs } 26.3\% \pm 4.4\%; P = .0001)$ (Fig. 3). No statistically significant differences were observed between the two DES. The density of inflammatory cells decreased significantly over time with BMS $(4.5\% \pm 2\% \text{ versus } 1.2\% \pm 1.5\%; P = .0001)$. However, the opposite was true for DES, which showed a significant increase after 7 days $(11.8\% \pm 3.5\% \text{ vs. } 26.3\% \pm 4.4\%; P = .0001)$.

Inverse correlations were observed between the degree of inflammation and the percentage of endothelialization, with r^2 values of -0.4 (P < .011) at 3 days and -0.84 (P < .0001) at 7 days (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

The recent consensus document⁹ on preclinical analysis of DES indicated that semi-quantitative assessment of inflammation induced was one of the most important parameters to take into account. The method described here shows little variability and provides results on a quantitative scale, thereby allowing for more detailed comparison between the responses obtained with different devices.

Differences in long-term response observed in a porcine model between two first-generation DES were published recently.⁶ Parameters differentiating between the two stents were in-depth inflammatory response and fibrin deposition. Although the authors acknowledged the difficulty of extrapolating the findings to the clinical field, the fact remains that the findings were undesirable. The analysis of luminal inflammation completes the study of the response to DES via phenomena occurring at the stent-lumen interface, phenomena which are essential to subsequent endothelialization.⁵ In fact, we observed a significant inverse correlation between the intensity of the inflammatory response and the percentage of endothelized stent surface.

A noteworthy finding was the temporal pattern of inflammation, with decreasing inflammatory response being observed in BMS and an increasing response in DES. The release of the drug may play an important part in these results, as it is a short-term analysis. Nevertheless, the persistence of these phenomena over the very long term in other studies^{5,6,9} seems to confirm the causal role of the polymers used. The possible role of the P5[®] polymer in



Figure 1. Image processing for analysis of inflammation. A: inflammatory response to the stent in the region adjacent to the wall. B: gray-level threshold processing and selection of a representative 100 x 100 μ m area (red area). C: detail of the size and morphology of leukocytes (spherical, ~ 10 μ m, red arrow), red blood cells (biconcave, ~ 5 μ m, blue arrow) and platelets (small dots <1 μ m, green arrow).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3018362

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3018362

Daneshyari.com