

Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 1 (2015) 216-219



Hippocampus and basal forebrain volumes modulate effects of anticholinergic treatment on delayed recall in healthy older adults

Stefan J. Teipel^{a,b,*}, Davide Bruno^c, Michel J. Grothe^a, Jay Nierenberg^{d,e}, Nunzio Pomara^{d,e}

^aGerman Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE) – Rostock/Greifswald, Rostock, Germany

^bDepartment of Psychosomatic Medicine, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

^cDepartment of Psychology, Liverpool Hope University, Liverpool, UK

^dGeriatric Psychiatry Research Division, Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY, USA ^eDepartment of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, New York University, New York City, NY, USA

Abstract

Introduction: Volumes of hippocampus and cholinergic basal forebrain are associated with delayed recall performance and may modulate the effect of a muscarinic receptor antagonist on delayed recall in healthy volunteers.

Methods: We studied 15 older adults before and after the oral administration of a single dose of 1 or 2 mg of the preferential M1 muscarinic receptor antagonist trihexyphenidyl (Artane[™]) or placebo in a double-blind randomized cross-over design. Hippocampus and basal forebrain volumes were measured using magnetic resonance imaging.

Results: We found a significant interaction between treatment and hippocampus volume and a trend level effect between treatment and anterior basal forebrain volume on task performance, with an attenuation of the association between volume size and performance with trihexyphenidyl.

Discussion: These findings suggest a reduction of delayed recall performance with increasing doses of the muscarinic antagonist that is related to an uncoupling of the association of task performance with cholinergic basal forebrain and hippocampus volumes.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

Keywords:

Cholinergic system; Aging; Episodic memory; Muscarinic receptor antagonist; Hippocampus; Human brain

1. Introduction

Administration of trihexyphenidyl hydrochloride (ArtaneTM) and other muscarinic receptor antagonists has been reported to decrease delayed recall in healthy volunteers [1-3]. These findings are consistent with cholinergic transmission playing a key role in attention and memory tasks that require effort and concentration [4]. The main cholinergic input to the human cerebral cortex arises from the basal forebrain cholinergic nuclei [5]. The hippocampus

E-mail address: stefan.teipel@med.uni-rostock.de

is involved in the coherent representation of a memory, a requirement for successful retrieval [6]. The main cholinergic input to the hippocampus arises from the most anterior subnuclei of the cholinergic basal forebrain [7], termed Ch1 and Ch2 according to Mesulam's nomenclature [8].

In this study, we examined whether the volumes of the anterior basal forebrain and hippocampus, as measured from structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, modulate the effect of anticholinergic treatment with trihexyphenidyl on delayed recall performance in a group of 15 cognitively and physically healthy older adults. We expected that a higher volume would be associated with a higher delayed recall performance and that this association would be reduced with higher doses of trihexyphenidyl.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2015.01.007

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-381-494-9470; Fax: +49-381-494-9472.

^{2352-8729/ © 2015} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

2. Participants and methods

The study included 15 healthy elderly individuals (eight women), mean age was 66.9 (standard deviation [SD] 3.7) years, ranging between 62 and 74 years, and mean education was 16.7 (SD 2.3) years. Individuals did not take medications known to affect cognitive functioning, such as neuroleptics or antidepressants, at least 2 weeks before beginning the study and had a negative urine toxicology screen. Further details of recruitment have been described before [9]. All subjects were only examined if they gave their written informed consent. The study has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

2.1. Neuropsychological testing and study design

Participants were recruited as part of a study on the effects of APOE variants in response to trihexyphenidyl [9]. Delayed recall (after 15 minutes) was tested using the Buschke Selective Reminding Test [10], administered before and 1, 2.5, and 5 hours after drug/placebo administration. Each subject participated in the 3-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study, with sessions taking place 1 week apart: treatment conditions were placebo, 1.0 mg, and 2.0 mg of trihexyphenidyl. To exclude training effects within and across treatment sessions, 12 parallel word lists were used, in a randomized rotational basis across sessions. The same list was used across subjects in each of the 12 assessment periods (treatment (3) X test time (4)).

2.2. MRI data acquisition and analysis

The acquisition was performed on a 1.5 T Siemens Vision system (Erlangen, Germany) at the Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, NY, USA. Images were acquired using a sagittal magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence (repetition time/echo time = 11.4/11.9 ms, 1 excitation, matrix = 256×256 , field of view = 307 mm, 1.2 mm³ isotropic voxel, 172 slices, no gap).

MRI data processing followed procedures described previously for hippocampus [11] and basal forebrain [12] volumetry, implemented in SPM8 and the VBM8-toolbox in Matlab. Basal forebrain subregions [8] were determined according to a map from an in cranio post-mortem MRI scan and histology of a single individual's brain, as previously described [12]. The total intracranial volume was used in the statistical model to account for differences in head size, and was calculated as the sum of the total segmented gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid volumes in native space. We selected volumes of left and right hippocampus and volumes of most anterior basal forebrain nuclei, Ch1, and Ch2 according to Mesulam's nomenclature [8] that provide the main cholinergic innervation of the hippocampus [7].

2.3. Statistical analysis

We determined the effect of treatment on delayed recall across subjects using a mixed effects model with subjectrelated random effects, controlling for age and sex. For time after drug intake (0–5 hours), we compared a linear with a second order polynomial term. The model fit was compared between the two nested models (first vs. second order polynomial term for time) using Akaike's information criterion (AIC) [13].

To test for an interaction between volumes of basal forebrain and hippocampus, respectively, and the drug effect, we selected the performance at the time of peak drug effect (1–2.5 hours postingestion [14]); we also tested for main effects of volume and drug, and controlled for total intracranial volume, sex, and age in all analyses. The significance of parameters was determined using t-statistics with degrees of freedom determined according to the Satterthwaite approximation. For depiction of effects, we used the "effects" library in R. We computed the fitted values and standard errors for delayed recall under the model for the interaction term of treatment by (mean centered) volume with the values of the other predictors being fixed at typical values, i.e., for an interval scaled covariate at its mean, and for a factor at its proportional distribution in the data, as described in [15].

Analyses were performed with R, version 3.1.1, including the libraries "lme4" and "lmerTest," available at http://cran. r-project.org/web/packages.

3. Results

In the basic model across all time points, we found a significant linear effect of time on delayed recall performance (t = -4.6, df = 162, P < .001). There was no significant main effect of treatment (t = -1.68, df = 162, P = .09). The second order polynomial model improved the fit over the linear model (AIC = 837.7 for the linear model and AIC = 813.1 for the polynomial model).

For hippocampus, considering the peak drug effect time points only, we detected a significant volume by treatment interaction (left and right hippocampus: t = -2.1, df = 72, P = .04; Fig. 1) and a significant main effect of treatment (t = -3.2, df = 72, P = .002). With anterior basal forebrain volume, we observed a trend level significant interaction effect (t = -1.8, df = 72, P = .074; Fig. 2), and a significant main effect of treatment (t = -3.2, df = 72, P = .002). AIC of nested models indicated moderately improved fit for the complex models compared with the basic model: basic model AIC = 423.4; full model with Ch1/2, AIC = 421.3; full model with left hippocampus, AIC = 422.2.

4. Discussion

We found a decline in delayed recall performance in response to the muscarinic antagonist trihexyphenidyl,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3032033

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3032033

Daneshyari.com