Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/soildyn



A simplified Nonlinear Sway-Rocking model for evaluation of seismic response of structures on shallow foundations



Yang Lu^{a,*}, Alec M. Marshall^a, Iman Hajirasouliha^b

^a Department of Civil Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK
^b Department of Civil & Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 June 2015 Received in revised form 4 November 2015 Accepted 7 November 2015 Available online 4 December 2015

Keywords: Soil-structure interaction Simplified model Nonlinear analysis Soil non-homogeneity Coupled sway-rocking response

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a simplified Nonlinear Sway-Rocking model as a preliminary design tool for seismic soil-structure interaction analysis. The proposed model is intended to capture the nonlinear load-displacement response of shallow foundations during strong earthquake events where foundation bearing capacity is fully mobilised. Emphasis is given to heavily-loaded structures resting on a saturated clay half-space. The variation of soil stiffness and strength with depth, referred to as soil non-homo-geneity, is considered in the model. Although independent springs are utilised for each of the swaying and rocking motions, coupling between these motions is taken into account by expressing the load-displacement relations as functions of the factor of safety against vertical bearing capacity failure (FSv) and the moment-to-shear ratio (M/H). The simplified model has been calibrated and validated against results from a series of static push-over and dynamic analyses performed using a more rigorous finite-difference numerical model. Despite some limitations of the current implementation, the concept of this model gives engineers more degrees of freedom in defining their own model components, providing a good balance between simplicity, flexibility and accuracy.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

During the past decade, the interest in the topic of seismic Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI) has seen a gradual shift from the superstructure to the foundation soil. Recent research studies on SSI have shown reduced seismic ductility demands of structures due to nonlinearity that arises mainly from the mobilisation of the ultimate capacity and the uplifting response of shallow foundations. These studies have mainly focused on stiff slender structures on small foundations, such as shear walls [1], bridge piers [2,3], and framed structures [4,5] supported by spread footings. It has been found that the lifting off of one side of the footing not only results in geometric nonlinearity at the soil-footing interface, but causes yielding of soil on the other side, which in turn increases the uplift. Allowing mobilisation of the foundation bearing capacity through soil yielding and foundation uplifting limits the maximum loads that can act on the superstructure, and also leads to a considerable amount of energy dissipation due to the hysteretic damping in the soil [6].

On the other hand, structures supported on spread footings may experience unexpectedly high differential settlements during

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: evxyl7@nottingham.ac.uk (Y. Lu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2015.11.002 0267-7261/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. strong shaking. This phenomenon, induced by either heavy structural loads that are unevenly distributed across the footing, poor soil conditions, or the combination of both, can lead to failure of structural components and hence, non-repairable damage or collapse of structures [7]. Mat (or Raft) foundations, in these cases, are more suitable to spread the loads from the structure to the ground. Unlike the shear walls or bridge piers, structures supported on mat foundations are usually designed with a medium slenderness ratio. This leads to a strong interaction between the sway and rocking motions of the foundation when subjected to the horizontal component of strong ground motion.

It has been shown that nonlinearities in the soil (corresponding to large strains) and at the soil-foundation interface are almost unavoidable in strong seismic events [8]. Performance-based seismic design methodology embraces these nonlinearities, provided that the responses of both structural and geotechnical components satisfy the performance targets. The role of nonlinear seismic soil-structure interaction on dynamic response of buildings has recently been emphasised by Pecker et al. [9] and Gazetas [10]. In this context, it is important to develop reliable design tools that provide sufficient accuracy to assess the seismic performance of SSI while maintaining simplicity so as to be easily understood and accepted by engineers.

In recent years, the concept of a macro-element, which simplifies the dynamic interaction between soil and foundation by

M_u Foundation moment capacity under pure rocking A Area of the mat foundationm C Shape parameter of the backbone curve used in NSR modelN C_r Initial elastic range of the backbone curve used in NSR model N_{cM} D Diameter of the mat foundation S_u B_r Soil undrained shear strengthSoil undrained shear strength at ground level F_r Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading S_u F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading U_e F_r Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load U_p F_w Force when first entering the plastic cycle U_p F_w Foundation shear force V_u F_v Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load V_w F_r Foundation shear capacity under pure vertical load V_u G_o Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u H_v Foundation shear capacity under combined loading μ_w ρ M_{av} Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding a_h α_h M_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure $rem in foundation stiffness\alpha_rK_hSult modulus\chiInfluence factor for foundation stiffness and strength profile ofthe foundation stiffness of the interfacek_r\rhoN_{av}Normal stiffness of the interfacek_r\muK_rFoundation Rocking stiffnessk_r\mu_rK_rFound$	Nomenclature		M_c	Foundation moment capacity under combined loading
AArea of the mat foundationIntInterspectivecShape parameter of the backbone curve used in NSR modelNumber of storeysCrInitial elastic range of the backbone curve used in NSR modelNumber of storeysDDiameter of the mat foundation s_u Soil undrained shear strengthDDiameter of the mat foundation material u Foundation strength at ground level F_c Young's modulus of the foundation under combined loadingFoundation displacement F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading u_c Elastic component of foundation displacement F_w Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_w Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load v_p Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulus V Foundation bear capacity under pure sliding a_h a_h H_w Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding μ_w a_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure h_{in} α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation stiffness and strength profile of h_{eff} h_w Foundation swaying stiffness h_w χ_w Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity λ_w k_h Normal stiffness of the interface k_r ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material ρ k_h <th></th> <th></th> <th>M_u</th> <th>Nase of the superstructure</th>			M _u	Nase of the superstructure
cShape parameter of the backbone curve used in NSR modelNNumber of subsyssCrInitial elastic range of the backbone curve used in NSR model R_{ev} Foundation ultimate moment capacity coefficient Radius of the mat foundationDDiameter of the mat foundation s_u Soil undrained shear strength at ground level Foundation sliding displacementFrForce at the start of the current plastic loading cycle u Foundation displacement at which 50% of capa- city is mobilised F_e Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_w Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_w Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load V_p Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycleGSoil shear modulus V Foundation setric pacity under combined loading μ_w μ He Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response α_r h_i Height of superstructure foundation stiffness and strength profile of theor χ Mass density K_m Normal stiffness of the interface k_r ν_f Poinson's ratio of the superstructure μ_r K_m Foundation sciffness soil non-homogeneity index χ Amplitude at the ith storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure μ_r K_m Foundation Rocking stif	Α	Area of the mat foundation	III N	Number of storeus
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	С	Shape parameter of the backbone curve used in	IN N	Foundation ultimate moment capacity coefficient
C_r Initial elastic range of the backbone curve used in NSR model K Radius of the flat foundation Mained shear strengthDDiameter of the mat foundation S_{u0} Soil undrained shear strength P_r Young's modulus of the foundation material u Foundation sliding displacement F_o Force at the start of the current plastic loading cycle u_{50} Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capacity is mobilised F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined u_{e} Elastic component of foundation displacementFinForce when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle F_v Factor of safety of foundation against pure static u_{p0} Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulusground level V_u Foundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation sugging response 	6	NSR model	IN _{CM}	Podius of the mat foundation
NSK model s_u Soft undrained site strength at ground levelDDiameter of the mat foundation s_{u0} Soft undrained shear strength at ground level E_f Young's modulus of the foundation material u Foundation sliding displacement F_o Force at the start of the current plastic loading cycle u_{50} Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capa- city is mobilised F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_{in} Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_{in} Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_{iv} Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load v_{p0} Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulus V Foundation vertical force G_0 Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation settlement H_e Foundation shear capacity under combined loading μ_u ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure siding h_i a_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the ith level h_{cont} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ Soil non-homogeneity k_n Normal stiffness of the interfac	C_r	Initial elastic range of the backbone curve used in	л с	Soil undrained shear strength
DDiameter of the mar foundationSubSolution intrained sitiend strength at ground level E_f Young's modulus of the foundation materialuFoundation siding displacement F_o Force at the start of the current plastic loading cycle U_{50} Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capacity is mobilised F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading u_e Elastic component of foundation displacement F_m Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_W Factor of safety of foundation against pure static u_{p0} Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulus at ground level V_w Foundation vertical force G_0 Soil shear modulus at ground level V_w Foundation settlement H_e Foundation shear capacity under combined loading μ_w ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding 	D	NSR model	S_u	Soil undrained shear strength at ground level
E_f Young's modulus of the foundation material $Total foundation sting uspacementF_oForce at the start of the current plastic loading cycleu_{50}Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capacity of foundation under combinedloadingF_cBearing capacity of foundation under combinedloadingu_eElastic component of foundation displacementF_mForce when first entering the plastic cycleu_pPlastic component of foundation displacementF_wFactor of safety of foundation against pure staticu_pPlastic component of foundation displacementF_wFactor of safety of foundation against pure staticu_pPlastic component of foundation displacementGSoil shear modulusground levelV_uFoundation bearing capacity under pure vertical loadH_cFoundation shear capacity under combined loading\rhoMass densityH_uFoundation shear capacity under pure slidingu_h\alpha_hStiffness loss factor for foundation rocking responseh_{eff}Effective height of the superstructureh_{tot}\alpha_rStiffness loss factor for foundation stiffness adstrength profile ofthe foundation stiffness after vertical load is fullydeveloped\chik_nNormal stiffness of the interface\nuPoisson's ratiofoundation materialk_rFoundation Rocking stiffnessk_r\nu_fPoisson's ratio of the foundation materialk_rFoundation sortifness of the interface\nuPoisson's ratiok_rFoundation rocking moment\nu_f<$	D	Diameter of the mat foundation	S_{u0}	Foundation sliding displacement
r_0 Force at the start of the current plastic folding cycle a_{50} Fortal foundation displacement at which 50% of capasitive folding ψ_c F_c Bearing capacity of foundation under combined loading u_e Elastic component of foundation displacement F_{in} Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_{in} Force when first entering the plastic cycle u_p Plastic component of foundation displacement F_{SV} Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load u_{p0} Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation vertical forcePlastic component of foundation sequence H_c Foundation shear capacity under combined loading H_{eff} V_u Foundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the ith level h_{tor} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation swaying stiffness $account soil heterogeneity$ λ k_{in} Normal stiffness of the interface ψ_f Poisson's ratio ψ_f Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation socking stiffness k_r ψ_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_r ϕ k_r Foundation stiffness of the interface ψ_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_r ϕ <td>E_f</td> <td>Young's modulus of the roundation material</td> <th>u 11</th> <td>Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capa</td>	E_f	Young's modulus of the roundation material	u 11	Total foundation displacement at which 50% of capa
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F ₀ F	Force at the start of the current plastic loading cycle Bearing capacity of foundation under combined	u_{50}	city is mobilised
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 _C	loading	u _a	Elastic component of foundation displacement
T_{m} Force when my check even μ μ Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle FSv Factor of safety of foundation against pure static vertical load μ_{po} Plastic component of foundation displacement at the start of the current plastic loading cycle G Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation vertical force H Foundation shear capacity under combined loading H_u V_u Foundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure to a leight of the superstructure from base to the ith level h_{tot} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation swaying stiffness account soil leterogeneity K_h Foundation swaying stiffness 	F.	Force when first entering the plastic cycle	u _n	Plastic component of foundation displacement
Noticevertical loadvertical loadstart of the current plastic loading cycleGSoil shear modulusVFoundation vertical forceG_0Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation bearing capacity under pure vertical loadHFoundation shear forcewFoundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under combined loading ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_{eff} Iffective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the ith level β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K_h Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_hr KethinInitial foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface ν_f k_r Foundation rotationFoundation rotation	FSv	Factor of safety of foundation against pure static	u_{n0}	Plastic component of foundation displacement at the
GSoil shear modulusVFoundation vertical force G_0 Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation bearing capacity under pure vertical load H Foundation shear force w Foundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f k_r Foundation rocking moment μ	157	vertical load	<i>p</i> 0	start of the current plastic loading cycle
G_0 Soil shear modulus at ground level V_u Foundation bearing capacity under pure vertical load H Foundation shear force w Foundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under combined loading ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the ith level β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness of the interface ν_f k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness of the interface ν_f k_r Foundation rocking moment θ	G	Soil shear modulus	V	Foundation vertical force
HFoundation shear forcewFoundation settlement H_c Foundation shear capacity under combined loading ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of h_{tot} Total height of the superstructure β Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into k_hr (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix φ_{i1} k_{in} Initial foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	G_0	Soil shear modulus at ground level	V_u	Foundation bearing capacity under pure vertical load
H_c Foundation shear capacity under combined loading μ_u ρ Mass density H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure h_i α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level h_{tot} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation swaying stiffness φ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure developed k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ating stiffness of the interface ν_f k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface ν_f M Foundation rocking moment θ	H	Foundation shear force	w	Foundation settlement
H_u Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding h_{eff} α_h Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure h_i α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level h_{tot} β Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_{iot} Total height of the superstructure h_{tot} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K Bulk modulus k_h χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_hr (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed ψ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratioSoil non-homogeneity index k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material θ M Eoundation rocking stiffness ν_f Point ation rotation	H_c	Foundation shear capacity under combined loading	ρ	Mass density
h_{eff} Effective height of the superstructure α_r Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response h_i Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of h_{tot} Total height of the superstructure β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of k_{tot} Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into k_h Foundation swaying stiffness χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	H_u	Foundation shear capacity under pure sliding	α_h	Stiffness loss factor for foundation swaying response
h_i^{o} Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level h_{tot} β Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of the foundation soil K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation swaying stiffness χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developed λ Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure λ k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material θ k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	h_{eff}	Effective height of the superstructure	α_r	Stiffness loss factor for foundation rocking response
h_{tot} Total height of the superstructurethe foundation soil K Bulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation swaying stiffness χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix φ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure developed k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material θ k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	h _i	Height of superstructure from base to the <i>i</i> th level	β	Gradient defining the stiffness and strength profile of
KBulk modulus χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_h Foundation swaying stiffness χ Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into account soil heterogeneity k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix φ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	h _{tot}	Total height of the superstructure		the foundation soil
k_h Foundation swaying stiffnessaccount soil heterogeneity k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix φ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure developed k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	K	Bulk modulus	χ	Influence factor for foundation stiffness taking into
k_{hr} (k_{rh})Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix φ_{i1} Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure soil non-homogeneity index k_{in} Normal stiffness of the interface ν Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	k_h	Foundation swaying stiffness		account soil heterogeneity
k_{in} Initial foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully developedfundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotation	k_{hr} (k_{rh})	Coupled term in foundation stiffness matrix	$arphi_{i1}$	Amplitude at the <i>i</i> th storey corresponding to the
developed λ Soil non-homogeneity index k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotationMFoundation procking momentFoundation rotation	k _{in}	Initial foundation stiffness after vertical load is fully		fundamental mode of vibration of the superstructure
k_n Normal stiffness of the interface ν Poisson's ratio k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotationMFoundation rocking momentFoundation rotation		developed	λ	Soil non-homogeneity index
k_r Foundation Rocking stiffness ν_f Poisson's ratio of the foundation material k_s Tangential stiffness of the interface θ Foundation rotationMFoundation rocking moment θ Foundation rotation	k_n	Normal stiffness of the interface	u	Poisson's ratio
$k_{\rm s}$ Tangential stiffness of the interface $ heta$ Foundation rotation	k _r	Foundation Rocking stiffness	$ u_f$	Poisson's ratio of the foundation material
M Foundation rocking moment	k _s	Tangential stiffness of the interface	θ	Foundation rotation
in Foundation focking moment	Μ	Foundation rocking moment		

integrating the nonlinearities (in the soil and/or at the soilfoundation interface) into a single plasticity-based element, has attracted considerable attention (e.g. [11–13]). However, this macro element for practical engineers remains a "black box" where the multi-yield (and sometimes multi-mechanism) complexity makes it difficult to be implemented into computer codes [14].

On the other hand, using spring-type models to simulate the dynamic response of soil-structure systems is popular in design practice because of their ease of use and clear physical meaning. Examples include (1) the linear dynamic impedance models (e.g. cone model [15]) used in the analysis of foundation vibrations on an elastic soil medium, (2) Winkler-based linear/nonlinear spring-bed models (e.g. [16,17]), and (3) the nonlinear rotational spring model [18] for the analysis of rocking-dominant nonlinear foundation behaviour. These models usually assume that the foundation soil is homogeneous, whereas in most cases the soil stiffness and strength increase with depth due to the effects of overburden stress. There is a lack of an effective and efficient spring-type model which is able to capture both Nonlinear Sway-Rocking response of shallow foundations and soil non-homogeneity.

This paper presents a simplified Nonlinear Sway-Rocking (NSR) model that is capable of simulating the load-displacement response of mat foundations subjected to seismic excitations. Compared with the linear/nonlinear spring-type models in the literature, the present model in this study is able to simulate the nonlinear foundation sway-rocking response which can be significantly affected by the load path of the seismically-excited SSI system. The effect of soil non-homogeneity is also considered. The model is developed using the OpenSees platform [23] and verified

using data obtained from more rigorous Finite Difference (FD) analyses conducted using FLAC^{3D}. The simplified model is well suited for heavily-loaded structures with a moderate slenderness ratio for which the nonlinear sway response is strongly coupled with the rocking response.

The paper is organised into six main sections. First, an overview of the problem is provided, followed by a description of a FLAC^{3D} numerical model and static analyses conducted to identify the foundation load-displacement relations and bearing capacities. The NSR model is then developed based on calibration of analytical foundation backbone curves with load-displacement relations obtained from the FLAC^{3D} static push-over tests. The process by which the coupling between swaying and rocking motions is taken into account in the proposed model is also described. The efficiency of the NSR model to predict load-displacement and moment-rotation responses of shallow foundations to dynamic loading is demonstrated using results obtained from additional dynamic FLAC^{3D} numerical simulations. Finally, the limitations of the model are discussed and conclusions are provided.

2. Problem definition

The problem investigated in this study (Fig. 1) is a seismicallyexcited building founded on a half-space consisting of saturated soft clay layers, where undrained shear strength s_u and stiffness *G* increase linearly with depth (Poisson's ratio ν and density ρ remain constant). The foundation is assumed to be rigid, which is appropriate for a mat foundation that is much stiffer than the soil. Foundation movements are described by the translations *w* Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/303904

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/303904

Daneshyari.com