Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Soil & Tillage Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/still



# J.P. Mitchell<sup>a,\*</sup>, L.M. Carter<sup>b</sup>, D.C. Reicosky<sup>c</sup>, A. Shrestha<sup>d</sup>, G.S. Pettygrove<sup>e</sup>, K.M. Klonsky<sup>f</sup>, D.B. Marcum<sup>g</sup>, D. Chessman<sup>h</sup>, R. Roy<sup>i</sup>, P. Hogan<sup>j</sup>, L. Dunning<sup>k</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>b</sup> USDA Agricultural Research Service, Shafter Cotton Research Station, Shafter, CA, USA

<sup>c</sup> Soil Scientist Emeritus USDA Agricultural Research Service, Morris, MN, USA

<sup>d</sup> Department of Plant Science, California State University, Fresno, USA

<sup>e</sup> Department of Land, Air, and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, USA

<sup>f</sup> Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Davis, USA

<sup>g</sup> University of California Cooperative Extension, MacArthur, CA, USA

<sup>h</sup> USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Davis, CA, USA

<sup>i</sup> USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Fresno, CA, USA

<sup>j</sup> USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Woodland, CA, USA

<sup>k</sup> Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 July 2015 Received in revised form 25 September 2015 Accepted 31 October 2015 Available online 28 November 2015

Keywords: No-tillage Conservation agriculture Controlled traffic farming Adoption Cropping systems

#### ABSTRACT

The Central Valley (CV) of California is a remarkably productive agricultural region. Much of the productive capacity of the CV stems from the reliable tillage management systems that were developed beginning in the 1930s and that changed very little until the 1990s and even more dramatically in the 2000s. A variety of technologies, people and social networks have contributed to the major transformations in tillage management that have rapidly occurred during this recent time. Factors that influenced the prior slow evolution of tillage systems in the region include the need to find ways to farm with irrigation, cope with a broad range of soils, achieve high crop quality and yields to compete on world markets, expand farming operations to greater acreage, and find ways to farm with ever-increasing costs. The cost increases, recognition of the emerging concepts of conservation agriculture (CA), and the development and broader adoption of advanced irrigation systems are now spurring farmers and research organizations in the CV to overcome problems experienced with conventional tillage practices and to develop new cropping systems in the region including no-tillage and strip-tillage. Ultimately, broader adoption of conservation agriculture principles and practices in this region will stem from a diverse and complex set of motivating factors. The role of global farmer-to-farmer communication has had a major impact on this process. Ongoing targeted problem-solving efforts addressing weed, water and fertility management in conservation agriculture systems will be needed to make them more reliable and widely used.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

#### Contents

1.

Introdu	uction	. 53
	Background	
1.2.	The broad context of changing tillage systems	. 54
1.3.	Qualitative methodology	. 54
1.4.	Clarifying tillage jargon	. 55
1.5.	Evolution of tillage in Central Valley	. 56
1.6.	Early history of tillage management in the San Joaquin Valley's west side	. 56
1.7.	Mid-20th century innovations	. 57
1.8.	No-tillage adoption in California dryland systems	. 58

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.10.015 0167-1987/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.



Review





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 5596466593. E-mail address: jpmitchell@ucdavis.edu (J.P. Mitchell).

	1.9. Two decades of accelerated change—1990s-2000s	59
	1.10. No-tillage and strip-tillage silage production	61
2.	Conservation Agriculture Systems Innovation (CASI) Center	62
3.	Weak points needing attention	62
4.	CA farmer innovator recognition program	62
5.	Conclusions	63
	References	63

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Background

Tillage - the physical manipulation or disturbance of the soil for the purpose of crop production (Koller, 2003; Reicosky and Allmaras, 2003) - is an important aspect of agroecosystems that dates back to the very dawn of agriculture (Lal et al., 2007; Huggins and Reganold, 2008). While natural terrestrial ecosystems do not typically involve tillage (Beck, 2014), the majority of agroecosystems have been developed to include some measure of tillage or soil manipulation as part of their sustained success in producing crops. Over the ages, farmers have relied on tillage operations in their fields to achieve a variety of functions that contribute to and improve crop productivity (See Box 1). These fundamental and universal goals of tillage have been used around the world across a great range of production scales and have variously employed human, animal or mechanical energy sources depending on a farmer's means and access to technology. Some of these goals of tillage, however, need to be reevaluated as we increase our understanding of agro-ecosystems.

The recorded history of the development of tillage practices used in various regions of the world is both fascinating and complex (Coughenour and Chamala, 2000; Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Awada et al., 2014; Duiker and Thomason, 2014; Brock et al., 2000). During the last thirty years of the twentieth century, a number of relatively radical tillage system alternatives including no-tillage and strip-tillage have become common and more widely adopted around the world (Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Reicosky and Allamaras, 2003). These systems that are now known as a key component of an expanded system called "conservation agriculture" (see Box 2) have been critical to the agricultural sustainability of several regions including the US Great Plains (Morrison, 2000), the central Canadian plains (Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Awada et al., 2014), much of Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay (Derpsch and Friedrich, 2009; Junior et al., 2012), and Western Australia (Crabtree, 2010; Llewellyn et al., 2012). The spiral of soil

improvement and water conservation afforded by changes from conventional tillage management approaches to no-tillage, highresidue systems throughout the Dakotas and Nebraska, for instance, are widely credited with reversing the downward economic trend of farms in that region in the 1990s with not only enabling the diversification and intensification of the productive capacity, but also sustaining the economic viability of farming in this part of the country (Anderson, 2005, 2009, 2011). The largely farmer-led innovations that began in the 1970s in South America also involved conversion to no-tillage over the majority of farmland in Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay (Junior et al., 2012; Friedrich and Kassam, 2011). Likewise, the innovations were largely credited with reversing the unsustainable soil losses due to erosion throughout that region and contributing to lowering production costs (de Freitas and Landers, 2014). The simultaneous expansion of no-tillage adoption in Western Australia (Friedrich et al., 2012; Llewellyn et al., 2012) and Canada (Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Awada et al., 2014) is another example of local, largely farmer-initiated innovation in tillage management that went far in assuring the sustainability of farming in these regions.

The technologies, people and social networks that have led to each of these major tillage system transformations across these wide-ranging regions have been captured, archived and showcased for various audiences in a variety of historical accounts (Coughenour and Chamala, 2000; Junior et al., 2012; Kassam et al., 2014a, b; Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Awada et al., 2014) and formats (http://www.kis.usask.ca/CTConference.html#Dumanski). Tillage innovation is thus an important way in which agriculture improves and becomes more efficient and sustainable. Understanding experiences with tillage system innovation and how mindsets change as new systems are adopted is also important because it provides information of the huge challenges that will be required to achieve further timely transformational changes in agricultural production systems (Lindwall and Sonntag, 2010; Awada et al., 2014). Because no comprehensive historical archiving of tillage system and management changes in California's Central Valley (CV) (Fig. 1) exists and because of the dynamic evolution and

#### Box 1. Functions of tillage

To create a seedbed To loosen compacted soil layers For weed, insect, and pathogen control For aeration To incorporate crop and weed residues into the soil To inject or incorporate fertilizers and pesticides To facilitate irrigation, water infiltration and soil moisture storage To stimulate net nitrogen mineralization To plant a seed/seedling For rain capture To control soil temperature For salinity control To mix soil layers To increase rooting Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/305554

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/305554

Daneshyari.com