



Short communication

Identifying soil organic carbon fractions sensitive to agricultural management practices

Daniel Plaza-Bonilla^{a,*}, Jorge Álvaro-Fuentes^b, Carlos Cantero-Martínez^a^a Departamento de Producción Vegetal y Ciencia Forestal, Unidad Asociada EEAD-CSIC, Agrotecnio Center, Universitat de Lleida, Rovira Roure 191, 25198 Lleida, Spain^b Departamento de Suelo y Agua, Estación Experimental de Aula Dei, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (EEAD-CSIC), POB 13034, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 October 2013

Received in revised form 27 January 2014

Accepted 28 January 2014

Keywords:

Agricultural management-sensitive C fractions

Particulate organic carbon

Permanganate oxidizable carbon

Soil organic carbon

ABSTRACT

Agricultural management practices play a major role in the process of SOC sequestration. However, the large background of stable carbon (C) already present in the soil and the long period of time usually required to observe changes in soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks have increased the necessity to identify soil C fractions with a fast response to changes in agricultural management practices. Consequently, we quantified the response of total SOC, permanganate oxidizable organic carbon (POxC), particulate organic carbon (POC) and the carbon concentration of water-stable macroaggregates, microaggregates within macroaggregates and the silt-plus clay-sized fraction (M-C, mM-C, s+cM-C, respectively) to changes in management. We chose a long-term tillage and N fertilization field experiment (18 years) located in NE Spain. In the first 5 cm depth under no-tillage (NT) compared with conventional tillage (CT), the POxC fraction and total SOC increased similarly (about 59%). However, other C pools studied (i.e., M-C, M-POxC, mM-C, POC and s+cM-C) had lower increases with values ranging from 17% to 31%. For the 5–20 and 20–40 cm soil depths, the POC was the most sensitive fraction to tillage with 46% and 54% decrease when NT was compared to CT, respectively. Likewise, the POC fraction presented the highest response to N fertilization in the three depths studied (i.e., 0–5, 5–20 and 20–40 cm). The mM-C and s+cM-C fractions presented the lowest sensitivity to changes in tillage and N fertilization management. Our results showed that the POC fraction had the greatest sensitivity to changes in agricultural management practices, proving its ability as an early indicator of optimized practices to sequester C in soil.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Soils are the largest terrestrial pool of organic C with over 1550 Pg (Batjes, 1996). C sequestration in soils has been pointed out as a viable mechanism for reducing the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere (Lal, 2004). Moreover, soil organic carbon (SOC) can also improve plant productivity due to its effects on soil fertility and quality. Agricultural management practices

play a major role in the process of SOC sequestration. A key issue when studying the effects of those practices on SOC levels is the period of time needed to observe changes in C stocks. This period is normally on a long-term time-scale (>10 years). Moreover, the large background of stable organic C that is already present in the soil limits the opportunity to identify management-induced changes over short periods of time (Gregorich et al., 1994; Haynes, 2000). Both drawbacks limit our ability of testing which agronomic practices have a positive effect on SOC increase. Thus, in this context, the use of different soil C fractions with an earlier response to changes in management compared to total SOC has been pointed out as an efficient tool to identify optimized agricultural practices that increase the stock and quality of soil C. Different labile SOC pools, such as dissolved organic carbon, microbial biomass carbon and permanganate-oxidizable carbon, have recently received attention due to their sensitivity to agricultural management practices (Culman et al., 2012; Lucas and Weil, 2012). For instance, permanganate-oxidizable organic carbon has been

Abbreviations: CT, conventional tillage; M-C, dichromate oxidizable organic carbon of the macroaggregates; mM-C, dichromate oxidizable organic carbon of the microaggregates within macroaggregates; M-POxC, permanganate oxidizable organic carbon of the macroaggregates; NT, no-tillage; POC, particulate organic carbon; POxC, permanganate oxidizable organic carbon; s+cM-C, dichromate oxidizable organic carbon of the silt-plus clay-sized soil particles of the macroaggregates.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 973702522; fax: +34 973238264.

E-mail address: daniel.plaza@pvcf.udl.cat (D. Plaza-Bonilla).

suggested to be a more sensitive indicator than bulk SOC to tillage-induced changes (Weil et al., 2003; Melero et al., 2009). However, while fractions like microbial biomass C and N have been extensively reviewed, the significance of other fractions (e.g., permanganate-oxidizable organic carbon or particulate organic matter) is not fully well-understood (Haynes, 2005).

The objective of the present work was to identify which soil C fractions were most sensitive to changes in agricultural management practices. Sensitivity was implied to impart more rapid changes as early indicators of change. For this purpose we considered tillage and N fertilization as target management practices because of their impact on SOC sequestration and the abundance of literature related with these two practices (West and Post, 2002; Alvarez, 2005).

2. Materials and methods

We used a long-term tillage and N fertilization experiment established in 1996 in NE Spain (Agramunt, 41° 48' N, 1° 07' E). Mean annual precipitation and ETo are 430 mm and 855 mm, respectively. Selected soil properties at the beginning of the experiment in the 0–28 cm soil layer (Ap horizon) were: pH (H₂O, 1:2.5): 8.5, EC_{1:5} (dS m⁻¹): 0.15, CaCO₃ eq. (%): 40 and sand (2000–50 µm), silt (50–2 µm) and clay (<2 µm) content: 465 g kg⁻¹, 417 g kg⁻¹ and 118 g kg⁻¹, respectively. The soil was classified as a Typic Xerofluvent (Soil Survey Staff, 1994). Two types of tillage (NT, no-tillage, and CT, conventional intensive tillage with moldboard plowing) and two N fertilization rates (0 and 60 kg N ha⁻¹) were compared in a randomized block design with three replications. Plot size was 50 m × 6 m. The NT treatment consisted of a total herbicide application (1.5 L 36% glyphosate per hectare) for controlling weeds before sowing. The CT treatment consisted of one pass of a moldboard plow to 25 cm depth immediately followed by one or two passes with a cultivator to 15 cm depth, both in September. Nitrogen fertilizer was manually applied and split into two applications: one-third of the dose before tillage as ammonium sulphate (21% N) and the rest of the dose at the beginning of tillering, as ammonium nitrate (33.5% N). Planting was performed in November with a disk direct drilling machine set to 2–4 cm. The cropping system consisted of a barley monocropping, as is traditional in the area. Prior to the setting up of the experiment, the historical management of the field was based on conventional intensive tillage with moldboard plowing and small grain cereals monoculture.

Soil sampling was performed in July 2012, right after crop harvest. For each plot, two soil pits of 0.5 m depth and 20 m apart were opened. In each pit, a composite sample was collected from three samples randomly selected. Soil samples were obtained using a flat spade in three soil layers from 0 to 40 cm depth (0–5, 5–20 and 20–40 cm) and stored in crush-resistant airtight containers. Once in the laboratory, soil samples were gently sieved with an 8 mm-sieve and air-dried at room temperature. For each sample, water-stable macroaggregates (>0.250 mm) were obtained using the wet sieving method described by Elliott (1986), oven-dried at 50 °C during 24 h, weighed and stored. The microaggregates contained within macroaggregates were isolated by methodology described by Six et al. (2000). The microaggregates and other particles smaller than <0.250 mm were washed onto a 0.050 mm screen by a continuous flow of water. The material on the 0.050 mm sieve was sieved in order to separate the stable microaggregates from the silt-plus-clay-sized material. Finally, the material on the 0.250 mm sieve (considered particulate organic matter), the microaggregates within macroaggregates and the silt-plus-clay-sized particles (<0.053 mm) were oven-dried at 50 °C during 24 h and weighed.

The sand content of the macroaggregates and the microaggregates within macroaggregates was determined by dispersing a 5 g subsample of each one of these fractions in a 5% sodium hexametaphosphate. The organic C concentration of the bulk soil (SOC), the water-stable macroaggregates (M-C), the microaggregates within macroaggregates (mM-C) and the silt-plus-clay-sized fractions (<0.053 mm) (s+cM-C) were determined using the dichromate wet oxidation method described by Nelson and Sommers (1996). The particulate organic C of the water-stable macroaggregates (POC) was calculated by subtracting the amount of C in the microaggregates within macroaggregates (mM-C) and in the silt-plus-clay-sized fraction (s+cM-C) to the amount of C contained in the water-stable macroaggregates (M-C).

The permanganate oxidizable organic C of the bulk soil (POxC) and of the water-stable macroaggregates (M-POxC) was measured according to the method of Weil et al. (2003) and quantified by

$$\text{POxC (mg kg}^{-1}\text{ soil)} = (0.02 \text{ mol L}^{-1} - (a + b \times \text{Abs})) \times (9000 \text{ mg C mol}^{-1}) (0.02 \text{ L solution} \times W^{-1})$$

where *a* is the intercept and *b* is the slope of the calibration obtained with the standards, Abs is the absorbance of the sample and *W* is the weight (kg) of the soil used.

The variation of each soil C fraction when using contrasting agricultural management practices was calculated. In the first case, the variation of each fraction when using NT in comparison to CT was calculated by

$$\% \text{ variation fraction} = \frac{(\text{C pool})_{\text{NT}} - (\text{C pool})_{\text{CT}}}{(\text{C pool})_{\text{CT}}} \times 100$$

where (C pool)_{NT} and (C pool)_{CT} refer to the different C pools studied under NT and CT, respectively.

In the second case, the variation of each C pool when applying 60 kg mineral N ha⁻¹ compared to the control (0 kg N ha⁻¹) was calculated by

$$\% \text{ variation fraction} = \frac{(\text{C pool})_{60} - (\text{C pool})_0}{(\text{C pool})_0} \times 100$$

where (C pool)₆₀ and (C pool)₀ refer to the different C pools studied under 60 and 0 kg mineral N ha⁻¹, respectively.

The relationships among C fractions for a kg of whole soil were calculated by linear regression analyses with Sigmaplot 11 (Systat Software, 2008).

3. Results and discussion

For the two tillage treatments considered (i.e., NT and CT), significant linear relationships were observed between SOC and all the different soil C fractions studied (POxC, M-POxC, M-C, mM-C, POC and s+cM-C) (Fig. 1). The only exception was the POC fraction in CT. In general, according to the *R*² values obtained, CT showed lower relationships than NT (Fig. 1). It has been concluded that CT results in a reduction in the proportion of labile fractions of C therefore increasing the proportion of the more recalcitrant C fractions (Zhao et al., 2012). The linear relationship between SOC and POxC under the NT management presented the highest *R*² value (*R*²: 0.95, *p* < 0.001) in agreement with previous studies (Chen et al., 2009; Culman et al., 2012). This result suggests the usefulness of the permanganate method that also eliminates the potential hazards related to the use of the dichromate (Bowman, 1998).

When considering the first 5 cm soil depth, NT presented the same increase in SOC and the POxC fraction (i.e., 60%, calculated as the variation in NT in relation to CT) (Table 1). However, the other C pools studied (i.e., M-C, M-POxC, mM-C, POC and s+cM-C) presented lower increases, ranging from 17% to 31% (Table 1).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/305757>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/305757>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)