



CLINICAL REVIEW

Shift work and cancer risk: Potential mechanistic roles of circadian disruption, light at night, and sleep deprivation

Erhard L. Haus^{a,*}, Michael H. Smolensky^b^a Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Minnesota and HealthPartners Medical Group, Regions Hospital, 640 Jackson Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101, USA^b Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 April 2012

Received in revised form

15 August 2012

Accepted 16 August 2012

Available online 5 November 2012

Keywords:

Shift work

Sleep deprivation

Circadian disruption

Melatonin

Cancer

SUMMARY

Shift work that includes a nighttime rotation has become an unavoidable attribute of today's 24-h society. The related disruption of the human circadian time organization leads in the short-term to an array of jet-lag-like symptoms, and in the long-run it may contribute to weight gain/obesity, metabolic syndrome/type II diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Epidemiologic studies also suggest increased cancer risk, especially for breast cancer, in night and rotating female shift workers. If confirmed in more controlled and detailed studies, the carcinogenic effect of night and shift work will constitute additional serious medical, economic, and social problems for a substantial proportion of the working population. Here, we examine the possible multiple and interconnected cancer-promoting mechanisms as a consequence of shift work, i.e., repeated disruption of the circadian system, pineal hormone melatonin suppression by exposure to light at night, sleep-deprivation-caused impairment of the immune system, plus metabolic changes favoring obesity and generation of proinflammatory reactive oxygen species.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Invention of the light bulb by Edison in 1879 and subsequent development of electric generating and distribution systems significantly changed the lifestyle of human beings through extension of activity into the night, thereby making prevalent night work (NW), involving today some 15–20% of the workforce of industrialized countries. In fact, surveys indicate only ~25% of the workforce is employed in “regular” daytime ($\pm 08:00$ to $\pm 17:00$ h) Monday to Friday schedules.¹ The nocturnal activities demanded by shift work (SW) schedules disrupt the natural sleep-wake cycle and circadian time organization; expose workers to artificial light at night (LAN), an abnormal biological time of light exposure; cause irregular eating patterns; and alter social and family life routines.² Apart from performance decrements and elevated vulnerability to accidents, NW and SW are associated with increased risk for various long-term health effects, ranging from sleep disorders to metabolic conditions and cardiovascular disease (CVD), among others.^{3–5}

Of particular concern are the findings during the past decade of several epidemiologic studies revealing slightly to moderately increased risk for breast, prostate, colon, and endometrial

epithelial malignancies plus non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in long-time NWers (night workers) and SWers (shift workers).^{6–8} These suggestive, but not yet conclusive, epidemiologic findings are bolstered by extensive laboratory animal research. i) Laboratory animal studies simulating rotating SW schedules and trans-meridian flights that cause repeated disruption of the circadian time organization favor development of breast cancer in female animals and also accelerated growth of transplanted non-endocrine tumors.^{9,10} ii) Experimental manipulations – pinealectomy^{11,12} or bright light during the subjective night¹³ – that suppress the pineal hormone melatonin (MEL) promote the development and growth of malignancies, while experimental manipulations that elevate MEL – by its administration at certain circadian times, exposure to prolonged dark spans,¹⁴ blinding,¹² and up-regulation of melatonin receptor type 1 (MT1)¹⁵ – favor decrease in breast cancer rate and growth of transplanted tumors in animal models, which is consistent with the observation of decreased breast cancer rate in blind women.¹⁶ Against this background of laboratory animal and human epidemiologic findings, in October 2007 the World Health Organization International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) convened in Lyon, France a working group of 24 scientists to critically address the concern of the potential association between shift work and cancer risk. The conclusions of the working group summarized in Monograph 98⁸ were: i) evidence is limited in humans for the

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 6512549630; fax: +1 6512542741.

E-mail address: Erhard.X.Haus@HealthPartners.com (E.L. Haus).

Abbreviations List

13-HODE	13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid	L	light
aMT6s	6-sulphatoxymelatonin	LAK	lymphokine activated killer cell
ATM	ataxia teleangiectasia mutated	LAN	light at night
Bmal1	brain and muscle aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator [ARNT]-like	LD	light-dark
BMI	body mass index	MCF-7	Michigan Cancer Foundation – 7
BRAC1	breast cancer1, early onset human caretaker gene	Mdm2	murine double minute oncogene
CD8 ⁺	cytotoxic and memory T-cells	MEL	melatonin
CDC2 kinase	cell division cycle 2 kinase	min/d	minutes/day
CLOCK	circadian locomotor output cycles kaput	mPER2 ^{m/m}	Per2 mutation
c-Myc	myelocytomatosis viral oncogene human recombinant	MT1	melatonin receptor type 1
CpG	cytosine bound by phosphodiester bond to guanine	MT2	melatonin receptor type 2
CRP	C-reactive protein	MTCL	murine breast cancer-derived cell line
Cry	cryptochrome genes	NF-kB	nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells
CVD	cardiovascular disease	NK	natural killer cell
D	dark	NSs	night shifts
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid	NW	night work
DW	day work	NWers	night workers
DWers	day workers	p53	protein 53 or tumor protein 53
E2	estradiol	Per	period genes
ER α	estrogen receptor α	RASSF1A	Ras association domain-containing protein 1
ER λ	estrogen receptor λ	Rev-erb α	nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group D, member 1
ESR1	ER α encoding gene	RNA	ribonucleic acid
G0/G1	transition from resting phase to phase G1 of the cell cycle	ROR α	retinoid-related orphan receptor, alpha
G1/S	transition from G1 to DNA synthesis of the cell cycle	SCN	suprachiasmatic nucleus
G2/M	transition from G2 phase of mitotic cycle to mitosis	SEEM	selective estrogen enzyme modulator
Gadd 45 α	growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible, alpha protein	SERM	selective estrogen-receptor modulator
h/d	hours/day	sICAM	soluble intercellular adhesions molecule
HER2/neu	human epidermal growth factor receptor 2	siRNA	small interfering RNA
hPER2	human period 2 clock gene	SW	shift work
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer	SWers	shift workers
IFN γ	interferon-gamma	Th1	T-helper1 cells and proinflammatory Type 1
IL	interleukin	Th2	T-helper2 cells and anti-inflammatory Type 2
		TNF α	tumor necrosis factor alpha
		Wee1	nuclear kinase belonging to the Ser/Thr family of protein kinases

carcinogenicity of SW that involves NW; ii) there is sufficient experimental evidence in laboratory animals for the carcinogenicity of LAN; and iii) SW that involves circadian disruption is probably carcinogenic in humans (as a group 2A carcinogen).

The potential mechanism of SW-associated cancers is complicated, involving many biological processes and systems, among others, sleep disruption, LAN, circadian disruption, and lifestyle factors. The purpose of this article is to review and integrate the relevant findings of several different biological disciplines – chronobiology, sleep medicine, immunology, endocrinology, nutrition/biochemistry, and molecular biology – relative to the possible mechanisms underlying increased cancer risk, particularly breast cancer, of female SWers.

Circadian timekeeping

An understanding of the mechanisms of biological timekeeping is necessary to discuss the disrupting and detrimental effects of NW and rotating SW. Circadian (~24-h) rhythms are orchestrated by cellular oscillators found in most, if not all, nucleated cells of the body that regulate practically every biological process and function in a time-specific manner via various clock-controlled genes.^{17–19} In mammals, in which the expression of 2–10% of all genes is circadian rhythmic,²⁰ the circadian system

is organized in a hierarchical manner: a master oscillator, the paired suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus, regulates downstream peripheral oscillators via humoral, endocrine, and neural signals, resulting in a coherent time organization of bodily processes for optimal performance.^{17,18,21} The central oscillator is paced, i.e., externally synchronized, with the periodic-astronomic surrounding mainly by time cues provided by the environmental light (L)–dark (D) cycle, conveyed through non-visual, photic retinal ganglion cells²² most sensitive to the blue-violet (446–484 nm) spectrum. The predictable-in-time alteration of environmental L and D every 24 h provides circadian time information, and the duration of the daily L relative to the D span over the course of the year conveys circannual (seasonal) time information. Some peripheral oscillators, in addition, are responsive to non-photoc synchronizers, e.g., time pattern of food uptake, which under certain circumstances may become dominant.²³

Clock mechanisms in the SCN and peripheral organs are similar at the molecular level. Circadian oscillators consist of a network of transcriptional-translational feedback loops that drive ~24-h rhythmic expression patterns of the core clock components.^{17,18} In the primary feedback loop, the driving elements are the transcription factors CLOCK (circadian locomotor output cycles kaput) and Bmal1 (brain and muscle aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator [ARNT]-like), which heterodimerize and initiate

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3091590>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3091590>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)