J Ginseng Res 39 (2015) 76-80

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Journal of Ginseng Research**

journal homepage: http://www.ginsengres.org

# Soil properties of cultivation sites for mountain-cultivated ginseng

### Choonsig Kim<sup>1,\*</sup>, Gap Chul Choo<sup>1</sup>, Hyun Seo Cho<sup>1</sup>, Jong Teak Lim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forest Resources, Gyeongnam National University of Science and Technology, Jinju, Korea <sup>2</sup> Gyeongsannam-do Forest Environment Research Institute, Jinju, Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 February 2014 Received in Revised form 25 March 2014 Accepted 11 June 2014 Available online 19 June 2014

Keywords: Panax ginseng ginseng soil property suitable site for ginseng wild-simulated ginseng

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Identifying suitable site for growing mountain-cultivated ginseng is a concern for ginseng producers. This study was conducted to evaluate the soil properties of cultivation sites for mountaincultivated ginseng in Hamyang-gun, which is one of the most well-known areas for mountain-cultivated ginseng in Korea.

Methods: The sampling plots from 30 sites were randomly selected on or near the center of the ginseng growing sites in July and August 2009. Soil samples for the soil properties analysis were collected from the top 20 cm at five randomly selected points.

Results: Mountain-cultivated ginseng was grown in soils that varied greatly in soil properties on coniferous, mixed, and deciduous broad-leaved stand sites of elevations between > 200 m and < 1,000 m. The soil bulk density was higher in Pinus densiflora than in Larix leptolepis stand sites and higher in the < 700-m sites than in > 700-m sites. Soil pH was unaffected by the type of stand sites (pH 4.35-4.55), whereas the high-elevation sites of > 700 m were strongly acidified, with pH 4.19. The organic carbon and total nitrogen content were lower in the P. densiflora stand sites than in the deciduous broad-leaved stand sites. Available phosphorus was low in all of the stand sites. The exchangeable cation was generally higher in the mixed and low-elevation sites than in the P. densiflora and high-elevation sites, respectively.

Conclusion: These results indicate that mountain-cultivated ginseng in Korea is able to grow in very acidic, nutrient-depleted forest soils.

Copyright © 2014, The Korean Society of Ginseng, Published by Elsevier. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The ginseng (Panax ginseng Meyer) supply in Korea relies mainly on intensive field cultivation under artificial shade structures. However, as an alternative to field cultivation, wild-simulated methods, such as mountain cultivation, currently hold considerable interest because consumers prefer wild-simulated ginseng [1–4]. The first step in growing wild-simulated ginseng is to select a suitable site that allows for ginseng cultivation in a forest environment [4–6]. Thus, identifying suitable site for growing ginseng is an area of concern for many ginseng producers because the environments of the sites have a large impact on ginseng growth and development in wild-simulated environments [1,6,7].

In forest environments, American ginseng grows best in welldrained, porous soils with topsoil that is rich in humus formed from hardwood leaf litter [6]. Soils on ideal ginseng sites are slightly acidic with relatively high calcium content [5]. Duplicating these soil conditions may be the key to the successful cultivation of ginseng in forest environments. In addition, the growth of American ginseng is greatly affected by the soil nutrient status [6].

Although there have been several studies of mountain-cultivated ginseng sites in Korea [1,7], there is a paucity of information about the soil properties of cultivation sites for mountain-cultivated ginseng. The objective of this study was to determine the soil properties of cultivation sites for mountain-cultivated ginseng at a local scale.

#### 2. Materials and methods

The study site was located in Hamyang-gun, Gyeongsangnamdo, which is one of the most well-known areas for mountain-

Corresponding author. Department of Forest Resources, Gyeongnam National University of Science and Technology, Jinju 660-758, Korea. E-mail address: ckim@gntech.ac.kr (C. Kim).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1226-8453/\$ - see front matter Copyright © 2014, The Korean Society of Ginseng, Published by Elsevier. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgr.2014.06.004

at local level

**Research** article







cultivated ginseng in Korea. The mean annual precipitation of the study site was 1,265 mm, which is similar to the nationwide average of 1,274 mm, and the mean annual temperature was 11.4°C. The sampling plots were drawn from 30 sites recommended by the Hamyang-gun office (Table 1). These sites are intensively managed by the ginseng producers in this region. The sampling plots measured 20 m  $\times$  20 m and were randomly established on or near the center of the ginseng sites in July and August 2009. Dominant overstory vegetation was catalogued, and elevations were determined using GPS (Garmin GPS V, Olathe, KS, USA).

Soil samples for measuring the bulk density were collected at a depth of 5–10 cm using a 100-cm<sup>3</sup> stainless steel cylinder and dried at 105°C. Soil samples for the chemical analysis were cored through the top 20 cm at five randomly selected points in each plot using an Oakfield soil sampler, Fond du Lac, WI. These samples were air dried, passed through a 2-mm sieve, and used for the soil chemical analyses. Soil pH (1:5 soil:water suspension) was measured using a glass electrode. The carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) content in the soil were determined using an elemental analyzer (CE Instruments EA1110, Thermo Quest Italia S.P.A., Radano, Italy). Available phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), and potassium (K) were determined by inductively coupled plasma (Perkin Elmer Optima 5300, Waltham, MA, USA) using the standard method recommended by the National Institute of Agricultural Science and Technology [8]. The data were analyzed using the general linear model procedure using SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) to determine the significant difference (p < 0.05) of cultivation sites by stand site types and by elevation. The treatment means were compared using Duncan's test [9].

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Soil property of cultivation sites by overstory stand types

Mountain-cultivated ginseng was cultivated in three natural and three artificial forests with six different overstory stand types: deciduous broad-leaved forests with *Carpinus laxiflora*, *Quercus* spp., *Acer mono*, *Prunus sargentii*; *Cornus controversa*: thirteen plots; *P. densiflora*: eight plots; mixed forests of *P. densiflora* and *Quercus* spp.: three plots; *L. leptolepis* plantation: four plots; *Chamaecyparis obtuse* plantation: one plot; and *Pinus koraiensis* plantation: one plot (Table 1).

The soil bulk density was significantly higher for the *P. densiflora* stand sites (0.96 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) than for the *L. leptolepis* stand sites (0.69 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). Among the three phases of the soil, there was a significantly higher proportion of the liquid phase for the deciduous broadleaved (34.0%) and mixed stand sites (34.6%) than for the *P. densiflora* stand sites (18.8%), but the air phase was reversely related to the liquid phase (Fig. 1). The soil pH was not significantly different among stand sites, although the soil pH in the mixed stand sites (pH 4.55), followed by a pH 4.46 for the *P. densiflora* stand sites, pH 4.36 for the deciduous broad-leaved stand sites, and pH 4.35 for the *L. leptolepis* stand sites (Fig. 2). All of the stands were strongly acidified, with a soil pH below 4.55.

The organic C and total N content were significantly higher for the deciduous broad-leaved stand sites (C: 6.16%; N: 0.44%) than for the *P. densiflora* (C: 2.64%; N: 0.19%) stand sites. The C/N ratio ranged from 12.8 to 16.5, with the highest value of 16.5 in *P. densiflora* stand sites. The available P was low in all of the stand sites. The exchangeable K<sup>+</sup> was not significantly different among the stand site types, but the exchangeable Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> were significantly higher in the mixed stand sites (Ca<sup>2+</sup>: 2.52 cmolc/kg;

1-1	-	1
D	e	
	bl	ble

Stand site type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	Elevation (m)	Parent rock	Soil color
Pinus densiflora	35°35′00″	128°48′48″	280	Granite	10YR 5/6
P. densiflora	35°39′05″	127°48′13″	381	Granite	10YR 4/4
P. densiflora	35°28′16″	127°43′49″	400	Granite	10YR 3/3
P. densiflora	35°31′13″	127°38'39″	427	Granite	10YR 5/3
P. densiflora	35°40′33″	127°46′30″	447	Granite	7.5YR 4/3
P. densiflora	35°30′26″	127°38′14″	450	Granite	7.5YR 5/3
P. densiflora	35°33′01″	127°36′14″	514	Granite	10YR 5/4
P. densiflora	35°26′31″	127°40′49″	714	Granite	10YR 4/3
Larix leptolepis <sup>1)</sup>	35°33′38″	127°40′31″	446	Granite	10YR 3/3
L. leptolepis <sup>1)</sup>	35°36′09″	127°44′23″	448	Granite	10YR 3/2
L. leptolepis <sup>1)</sup>	35°34′39″	127°34′42″	760	Granite	10YR 3/3
L. leptolepis <sup>1)</sup>	35°35′52″	127°39′59″	978	Granite	10YR 3/3
Mixed	35°24′55″	127°42′55″	276	Granite	10YR 3/2
		gneiss			
Mixed	35°27′57″	127°41′22″	490	Granite	10YR 3/3
Mixed	35°34′43″	127°36′39″	777	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°24′40″	127°43′02″	263	Granite	10YR 3/3
				gneiss	
Broadleaved	35°33′13″	127°39′34″	529	Granite	7.5YR 5/4
Broadleaved	35°42′21″	127°42′14″	603	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°42′23″	127°45′15″	652	Granite	10YR 4/3
Broadleaved	35°36′23″	127°40′43″	695	Granite	10YR 4/3
Broadleaved	35°36′02″	127°40′19″	753	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°35′53″	127°39′57″	755	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°41′08″	127°38'27″	780	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°42′07″	127°39′27″	842	Granite	10YR 3/1
Broadleaved	35°42′04″	127°39′27″	844	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°42′03″	127°39'17″	852	Granite	10YR 4/3
Broadleaved	35°42′07″	127°39'32″	879	Granite	10YR 3/2
Broadleaved	35°42′05″	127°39'18″	882	Granite	10YR 3/2
Chamaecyparis	35°29′41″	127°43'38″	272	Granite	10YR 4/4
obtusa <sup>1)</sup>					
Pinus koraiensis <sup>1)</sup>	35°26′20″	127°42'04″	723	Granite	10YR 3/2

1) Artificial forests

 $Mg^{2+}$ : 0.64 cmolc/kg) than in the *P. densiflora* stand (Ca<sup>2+</sup>: 0.64 cmolc/kg; Mg<sup>2+</sup>: 0.25 cmolc/kg) sites (Fig. 2).

#### 3.2. Soil properties of cultivation sites by elevation

The soil bulk density of cultivation sites generally decreased with increased elevation (Fig. 3) and was significantly lower in the >700-m sites  $(0.73 \text{ g/cm}^3)$  than in the < 700-m sites  $(0.85-0.96 \text{ g/cm}^3)$ . Except for the solid phase, the other soil phases were not significantly different among elevation sites. The soil pH was significantly lower in the > 700-m sites (pH 4.19) than in the < 700-m sites (pH 4.52–4.55). The organic C content was significantly higher in the >700-m sites (6.12%) than in the 300–700-m sites (3.20%). The C/N ratio ranged from 13.7 to 16.1. Other nutrients (N, P, K, and Ca), except for Mg, were not significantly different among elevation sites (Fig. 4).

#### 4. Discussion

Stand site types in mountain-cultivated ginseng may influence the growth of ginseng because soil nutrients can be changed after stand establishment by different nutrient requirements and nutrient cycling mechanisms of different tree species. Mountaincultivated ginseng has adapted to various overstory vegetation types, such as coniferous, mixed, and deciduous broad-leaved stands. Past studies have shown that mountain-cultivated ginseng in Korea grows better in deciduous broad-leaved forests than in mixed forest and pine forest types [7,10,11].

This study revealed notable differences in the soil properties of cultivation sites for mountain-cultivated ginseng. The high bulk density of the *P. densiflora* stand sites and low-elevation sites may

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3099331

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3099331

Daneshyari.com