HOSTED BY

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chinese Journal of Traumatology





Original article

Fixation of complex proximal humeral fractures in elderly patients with a locking plate: A retrospective analysis of radiographic and clinical outcome and complications

Fabio Rodia ^a, Emmanouil Theodorakis ^{b, *}, Georgios Touloupakis ^b, Angelo Ventura ^a

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 March 2015 Received in revised form 8 October 2015 Accepted 13 October 2015 Available online 28 January 2016

Keywords: Shoulder fractures Bone plates Humerus Aged

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The optimal surgical treatment of displaced type B and C fractures of the proximal humerus in the elderly remains controversial. Good clinical results have been reported by plating these fractures as well as a high rate of complications. Our retrospective study aims to evaluate clinical recovery and complications using the S3 locking plate in elderly patients.

Methods: Fifty-one patients older than 65 years of age, with a complex proximal humeral fracture type B or C (AO classification system), were included. Patients have been followed up for a minimum of 12 months. We assessed callus formation, radiological results, clinical outcome (according to the Constant Shoulder Score System) and complications. Any difference in the clinical recovery among the 2 types of fracture pattern (B and C) was investigated.

Results: The mean time of fracture healing was 12.4 weeks. The mean Constant score at 3, 6 and 12 months was 68, 73 and 75 respectively. No statistically significant difference in the clinical outcome was observed between the B and C fracture patterns (p > 0.05). We noticed an overall of 5 complications (9.8%). There was no need to revision any of the implants.

Conclusion: Anatomic reduction and proper plate positioning are essential for minimizing implant-related complications. In our experience the S3 angular stability system offers a proper osteosyntesis and a good clinical recovery with a low rate of complications.

© 2016 Daping Hospital and the Research Institute of Surgery of the Third Military Medical University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Fractures of the proximal humerus represent about 5% of all fractures, being the third most common fracture among elderly patients. According to Palvanen et al, the gross incidence, the age adjusted and the number of proximal humeral fractures have tripled during the last three decades. Approximately 75% of these fractures undergo a conservative treatment resulting in non-displaced or minimally displaced results.

On the other hand, an increasing number of specific devices have been made available such as pinning,⁶ intramedullary nails,⁷ 1/3 tubular plates, cloverleaf plates,^{8,9} angular stability plates,^{10,11} as well as early shoulder hemiarthroplasty,^{12,13} Neer criteria¹⁴ and

E-mail address: drtheodorakis@gmail.com (E. Theodorakis).

Peer review under responsibility of Daping Hospital and the Research Institute of Surgery of the Third Military Medical University.

the AO/ASIF classification system¹⁵ represent the most common tools used as a decision-making guide for treatment. As an articular fracture, proximal humerus requires the best anatomic reduction and stable fixation for an early joint mobilization, leading to a better functional outcome.¹⁶

However, open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) has been correlated to various complications ^{17,18} such as hardware failure, intra-articular screw perforation, avascular necrosis, subacromial impingement, frozen shoulder, and fracture re-displacement.

The aim of the present study, using the S3 locking plate for treatment of complex proximal humeral fractures in elderly patients, was to evaluate retrospectively the clinical efficacy and complication rate.

Materials and methods

The S3 fixation plate was used in our Institution for ORIF of closed, displaced proximal humeral fractures in 90 cases from

^a Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, CTO Hospital "A Alesini", Roma 00185, Italy

^b Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Aurelia Hospital, Roma 00185, Italy

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 3407444861.

December 2005 to December 2011. This is a retrospective analysis of 51 patients who met the inclusion criteria and underwent surgery within 7 days after trauma, having a minimum follow-up of 12 months (range 12–30, mean 16 months). Four patients presenting other associated injuries of the upper limb were excluded, as well as five patients with previous shoulder injuries. Twenty-one patients with a type A fracture (according to AO classification) and nine patients with a follow-up of less than 12 months were also excluded. Subsequently, a retrospective analysis of the data was carried out. Dominant arm was involved in 55% of the cases. The mean age of patients was 70.7 years ranging from 65 to 84 years (9 males and 42 females). Most cases (45/51) had a low energy trauma. Fractures were rated according to AO/ASIF classification system¹⁵ based on AP (90° to the scapular plane), lateral and axillary (when possible) radiograms.

A CT scan was implemented when the X-ray was not suitable for a correct preoperative planning and there was discordance between the observers in classifying a fracture. The distribution of the fractures patterns, according to AO/ASIF classification, showed 31 type B and 20 type C fractures.

Considering the most important predictors of humeral head ischemia reported by Hertel et al, ¹⁹ 20 patients were found to have a calcar length <8 mm attached to the head (type C fractures). In addition, the medial hinge was disrupted (>2 mm) in five cases among these patients (type C2 patterns).

Despite the high risk of avascular necrosis described by Hertel, we preferred to proceed with osteosynthesis. Operation was performed under regional anesthesia with inter-scalenic nerve blocking, associated with general anesthesia when needed.

A standard delto-pectoral approach was performed with the patient placed in a beach-chair position. Reduction was surgically achieved and confirmed under fluoroscopy. All fractures were stabilized using the S3 locking plate introducing all the proximal cephalic pegs/screws and at least three distal screws. This device, designed to fit at about 3 cm distal to the greater humeral tuberosity, provides an angular stability fixation. Distal positioning of the implant should prevent any subacromial impingement. Moreover, the 4 mm proximal pegs should achieve a more stable fixation in a highly cancellous bone, and meanwhile prevent perforation of the humeral head.

After surgery, the arm was positioned in a sling. Physical therapy was performed according to Hughes and Neer rehabilitation program, ²⁰ with passive assisted movements beginning from 2nd day after surgery.

Radiographic and clinical assessment was performed by a single orthopedic consultant according to the Constant Shoulder Score (CS)²¹ at 3, 6 and 12 months for all patients after surgery. CS score was also calculated for the different fracture patterns alone (type B and C fractures).

This is a retrospective, observation study of our cohort and no sample size was calculated prior to the investigation. CS scores obtained showed an abnormal distribution. The median, mean values and inter-quartile ranges (IQR) were reported. The IQR is between 75th and 25th percentiles and indicates that 50% of the values are in this range. Differences of CS score between type B and C fracture groups at the different time frames (3, 6, 12 months) were investigated for statistical significance by an unpaired Mann—Whitney t-test. Significance of CS score improvement within the two fracture patterns during the follow-up was calculated by the Wilcoxon test. The SPSS statistical program was used for the analysis. For all tests p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

At follow-up, the mean CS score at 3, 6 and 12 months was 68 (IQR 64 to 72), 73 (IQR 70 to 78.5) and 75 (IQR 72.5 to 80) and the

median was 69, 74 and 77 respectively. In the type B fracture group, the mean CS score was 68 (IQR 64.5 to 73), 74 (IQR 70 to 79), and 76 (IQR 72.5 to 81). The median was found to be 69.5, 75.5, 79.5 respectively. In the type C fracture group the mean CS was 67 (IQR 64 to 71), 72 (IQR 68 to 75) and 75 (IQR 73 to 79). The median was 69, 72 and 75 respectively.

No variation was detected in 43 (43/51) patients during a 20 months follow-up. No statistical significance was found (p = 0.46, 0.15, 0.16 respectively) between groups B and C at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery (Table 1). The Wilcoxon test showed a statistical significance in the CS score improvement within the groups among the different time frames (p = 0.001) when investigating the outcome between the consecutive follow-up. In 2 cases of type B1, in 1 case of type B3 and in 1 case of type C1 fracture, radiographic results were poor but the clinical outcome obtained at the final follow-up was satisfactory.

We observed 5 complications (9.8%) among the 51 patients reported in our study. No cases of deep infections occurred. One case (1.96%) of superficial infection was detected and resolved in 2 weeks by oral antibiotic therapy. No cases of early avascular necrosis were detected during the minimum follow-up period of 12 months in our cohort. There were no neurovascular injuries prior to surgery or any iatrogenic damages following the surgical procedure.

Fracture healing was achieved with the evidence of sufficient callus formation and cortex continuity in the standard X-ray films, with no cases of pseudoarthrosis. The mean time to fracture healing was 12.4 weeks (range 8–16 weeks). There were 4 cases (7.8%) of primary subacromial impingement of the plate due to a varus inclination of the humeral head <120° and malreduction >5° in all cases (Fig. 1). Among these fractures (type 2B1, 1B2 and 1C1 fractures), all patients were 67 years old or older (mean 71 years).

None of these patients developed a varus displacement in the postoperative follow-up. No other implant-related complications such as hardware breakage, implant dislocation, cut-out, and intra-articular screw perforation were detected. In one case the tip of the drill was broken and left into the bone, resulting in no interference with implant fixation and bone healing.

All 4 patients presenting subacromial impingement refused a reoperation for implant removal, having a satisfactory clinical outcome. To date, 3 implants have been removed after patients' request without beneficial clinical outcome.

Discussion

Decision making for the treatment of proximal humeral fractures includes various parameters, such as patients' age, general medical conditions, daily activities before injury, and the fracture pattern.

Conservative treatment remains the gold standard for non-displaced or minimal displaced fractures of the proximal humerus with satisfactory results. Furthermore, surgical treatment of displaced fractures remains a challenge. Thus, radiological imaging including AP and axillary views is necessary. If not possible, when the only emergency AP view is available (Fig. 1A), a CT scan is necessary for better defying the fracture pattern. Subsequently, a multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) could be used for a detailed preoperative planning. Aiming to anatomic reduction and stable fixation, any device resulting in a better clinical outcome could be used to allow early mobilization. 16

Considering preoperative activities of the patient and bone quality, ORIF seems highly recommended in young patients with displaced fractures of the proximal humerus.²² Physician should offer, to the aged but still active patient, a proper treatment that could turn in delaying any type of one way surgery, such as

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3107091

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3107091

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>