

Biologic evaluation of a hollow-type miniscrew implant: An experimental study in beagles

Jeong-Won Youn,^a Jung-Yul Cha,^b Hyung-Seog Yu,^c and Chung-Ju Hwang^d
Seoul, Korea

Introduction: The aims of this study were to assess the biologic stability of a newly designed hollow (H-type) miniscrew compared with conventional (C-type) miniscrews through histomorphometric and histologic analysis. **Methods:** Both types of miniscrews were placed into the maxillae and the mandibles of 12 beagles. Maximum insertion torque, Periotest (Siemens AG, Bensheim, Germany) value, bone-implant contact, and bone volume were measured. **Results:** The overall success rates of the H-type were 78.3% in the maxilla and 60.0% in the mandible. Mean maximum insertion torque values of the H-type were 14.2 N-cm in the maxilla and 20.9 N-cm in the mandible. The Periotest values of the H-type were -1.5 in the maxilla and -6.4 in the mandible. Mean maximum insertion torque and Periotest values of the H-type were higher than those of the C-type. In the maxilla, the bone-implant contact values of the H-type were 37.3% and 32.3% at 3 and 12 weeks, respectively. In the mandible, the bone-implant contact values were 31.4% and 18.5% at 3 and 12 weeks, respectively. **Conclusions:** Considering the lower success rate and the insufficient bone-implant contact and bone volume of the H-type in the mandible, the clinician should choose a suitable combination of miniscrews depending on local bone quality and implantation site, such as an H-type in the maxilla and a C-type in the mandible. (Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2014;145:626-37)

The development of temporary anchorage devices such as miniscrews, palatal implants, retromolar implants, and bone plates has provided maximum anchorage in the biomechanics of orthodontics.¹ Miniscrews have been used most often for absolute anchorage; their versatility has aroused interest in their primary stability and their failure.²⁻⁴

The primary stability of miniscrews is mainly supported by mechanical retention of the bone-to-implant interface.^{5,6} Miniscrew design, screw diameter, and loading protocols affect primary stability, as does bone quality, especially the thickness of cortical bone. Bone density and the cortical-to-cancellous ratio of bone certainly influence miniscrew stability at placement.⁷⁻⁹

Recently, various miniscrew designs have been developed to improve the primary stability. A larger-diameter miniscrew showed a significant increase in insertion torque,¹⁰ which agreed with a finite element method study of stress distribution over the length and diameter of the orthodontic miniscrews.¹¹ In addition, a tapered miniscrew displayed maximum insertion torque compared with a cylindrical miniscrew.^{6,12,13} However, the length of the miniscrews had no effect on their survival.^{2,8,14}

One method for assessing miniscrew stability is to measure insertion torque.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ However, high insertion torque does not necessarily result in stronger fixation. Overtightening can lead to continuous compression of the surrounding bone and thus cause microfracturing of the bone threads around the miniscrew.¹⁷ Degeneration of the bone at the interface might aggravate bone regeneration surrounding the miniscrew thread.¹⁶

In addition to insertion torque, bone-implant contact (BIC) is essential for fixation strength in primary stability and for osseointegration in secondary stability.^{18,19} The Periotest (Siemens AG, Bensheim, Germany) can be easily used as a nondestructive method for measuring miniscrew stability.

Primary stability is an essential prerequisite for the success of miniscrews, but other factors can affect success rates. Since root contact is a major factor in miniscrew failure in orthodontic anchorage, a hollow-

^aPrivate Practice, Seoul, Korea.

^bAssociate professor, Department of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea.

^cProfessor, Department of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea.

^dProfessor, Department of Orthodontics, Institute of Craniofacial Deformity Center, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea.

All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest, and none were reported.

Address correspondence to: Chung-Ju Hwang, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-752, Korea; e-mail, hwang@yuhs.ac.

Submitted, June 2013; revised and accepted, December 2013.

0889-5406/\$36.00

Copyright © 2014 by the American Association of Orthodontists.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.12.028>

centered miniscrew (H-type) was developed.²⁰ This miniscrew is wide enough to improve stability and short enough to allow placement in the bone superficial to the root surface as well as intraradicular bone; its tapered design can enhance initial fixation. Furthermore, this new miniscrew has a hollow interior to promote insertion and to compensate for the relatively lower amount of bone contact because of its shorter length, with bone formation occurring in the interior part of the miniscrew. This miniscrew also contains 4 fenestration holes in the beginning of the first thread for ingrowth of bone.

Hong et al^{20,21} previously investigated the mechanical stability of the H-type of miniscrew implant inserted into biosynthetic bone blocks and assessed this stability with cone-beam computed tomography. However, these studies lacked histologic analyses that examined bone remodeling and cellular responses around the miniscrews, and they did not evaluate BIC and bone volume (BV) in the interior of the miniscrews.

The aim of this animal-based study was to assess the biologic stability of the H-type of miniscrew. We evaluated insertion torque, BIC, and BV around the miniscrew in beagles and compared the biologic stability of H-type miniscrews with conventional (C-type) miniscrews. In addition, we used histology to observe bone remodeling around the bone-to-miniscrew interface and the interior part of the H-type of miniscrew.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twelve adult male beagles (age, 12-15 months; weight, 10-14 kg) were used in this study and maintained in the animal laboratory at Yonsei University Medical Research Center in Seoul, Korea; the experimental protocol was approved by the institutional animal care and use committee.

The 12 dogs were divided into C-type and H-type miniscrew groups, with a split-mouth design. Thirty-eight self-drilling H-type miniscrews (diameter, 3.0 mm; length, 2.0 mm; tapered shape; Biomaterials Korea, Seoul, Korea) were placed into the intraradicular spaces (just below the furcation area) of the beagles' maxillary and mandibular first molars and the mandibular fourth premolars (Fig 1). The H-type, single-threaded, tapered miniscrew is a hollow miniscrew with 4 fenestration holes in the beginning of the first thread for the ingrowth of bone (Fig 2).

Thirty-seven self-drilling C-type miniscrews (diameter, 1.45 mm; length, 7 mm; single-threaded, cylindrical shape; Biomaterials Korea) were placed around the second and third premolars in the maxilla and the mandible.

All experimental procedures, including surgical procedures and clinical examinations such as taking intraoral photographs, were performed aseptically. The

experimental animals were injected subcutaneously with 0.02 mg per kilogram of atropine, intramuscularly with 5 mg per kilogram of enrofloxacin, intravenously with 0.5 mg per kilogram of ketorolac tromethamine, and intravenously with 5 mg per kilogram of cimetidine (premedication), followed by zoletil (5 mg/kg body weight; Zoletil 50; Virbac Korea, Seoul, Korea) and xylazine (0.2-0.5 mg/kg body weight; Rompun; Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) intravenously to induce general anesthesia. Throughout the operation, 2% enflurane or 1% to 2% isoflurane was injected for maintenance.

The beagles that received C-type and H-type miniscrews were further divided into 2 groups to examine 3-week and 12-week experimental periods.

The safe zones for miniscrew insertion in beagles have been reported to be located in the intraradicular space of the first molar, the intraradicular space of the fourth premolar, and the interradicular space between the fourth premolar and the first molar in the mandible. In the maxilla, the intraradicular space of the first molar and the intraradicular space of the second and third premolars are safe zones for miniscrew insertion (Fig 3, A).^{22,23} The locations for miniscrew placement were determined from these studies.

The implantation procedure was performed under saline-solution irrigation without flap surgery, with only a 5-mm gingival incision in the implantation site to prevent tissue impingement. On average, the distance between 2 adjacent miniscrews was 1.1 to 1.5 mm. All miniscrews were inserted perpendicular to the bone surface until their necks contacted the bone (Fig 3, B).

During the entire experimental procedure, chlorhexidine solution was applied daily to maintain oral hygiene.

The first miniscrews were inserted 12 weeks before the dogs were killed; after 9 weeks, a second miniscrew was inserted (Fig 4). After 3 more weeks, all experimental animals were killed.

All miniscrews in the C-type and H-type groups were confirmed as fully inserted by checking the bone contact of the final screw thread with a dental explorer. The highest insertion torque was measured in Newton centimeters during an initial quarter turn using a torque sensor (MGT50; Mark-10, New York, NY). Initial screw mobility was measured twice on each miniscrew with the Periotest after insertion. The mean value of the 2 measurements was recorded as the initial mobility.

After 12 weeks, all experimental animals were killed, and tissue blocks containing the miniscrews and adjacent teeth were prepared. Tissue blocks were fixed in 10% formalin for 1 month. After fixation, the tissues were dehydrated in progressively higher concentrations of alcohol (70%-100%) for 2 weeks. The dehydrated,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3116279>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3116279>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)