

Simplified model for numerical calculation of pull forces in static pipe-bursting operations

Samuel T. Ariaratnam^{a,*}, Ulf-Hilmar Hahn^{b,1}

^a *Ira A. Fulton School of Engineering, P.O. Box 870204, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-0204, United States*

^b *Stuart Olson Construction, 12836-146 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T5L 2H7*

Available online 3 July 2007

Abstract

Trenchless pipe replacement, or pipe bursting, is defined as the replacement of an original pipe by fragmenting the existing conduit and simultaneously installing product pipe in its place. In the static method of pipe replacement, the original pipe fails in tension by radial forces developed from the cone-shaped bursting head geometry that are applied to the pipe wall from within the pipe, as it is pulled or pushed through the existing pipe. The fragmented pieces are pressed into the surrounding soil as it is being displaced, thus creating a cavity for the product pipe. This paper presents a simplified model to calculate the maximum pull force (a function of the friction, bursting, and soil compression shear forces) required to be overcome to complete a static pipe-bursting pull. Based on site-specific information, the model provides an initial avenue for contractors, engineers, and manufacturers in planning successful trenchless pipe replacement projects. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Pipe bursting; Pull force; Infrastructure; Numerical analysis

1. Introduction

In recent years, the demand for trenchless construction, such as pipe replacement, has grown rapidly as these trenchless technologies are recognized as feasible, practical, and cost effective alternatives to existing traditional underground utilities installation methods. The North American Society of Trenchless Technology defines trenchless construction as “a family of methods, materials, and equipment capable of being used for the installation of new or replacement or rehabilitation of existing underground infrastructure with minimal disruption to surface traffic, business, and other activities.” This minimal impact on the daily life, traffic, and commerce of the area served by and along the pipeline being replaced or installed is of key significance, especially as the nation’s underground utility network is being overhauled and further expanded.

Pipe replacement, also known as pipe bursting, is a trenchless technology that is a cross between new construction and pipe rehabilitation. Pipe bursting is recognized as the only method of trenchless rehabilitation that can replace an existing line with a completely new pipe, providing total pipe replacement (Lueke and Ariaratnam, 2000b). In addition, the replacement pipe can be of equal or larger diameter than the existing pipe, thus maintaining or increasing flow capabilities of the utility line. These are the two distinct capabilities unique to pipe bursting in comparison to other trenchless rehabilitation methods.

For a trenchless pipe replacement project to be successful, there are various factors that must be taken into account. These include the normal logistics of any construction project, such as aspects of economy, schedule, and resources. Additionally, the machinery used to complete the pipe pull for the pipe replacement project must have sufficient capacity to be able to complete the pull (i.e. installation). While machine capacities may be obtained from manufacturer specifications, quantifying the pull load or pipe resistance is often neglected. At present, industry predominantly relies on past experience. This

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 480 965 7399; fax: +1 480 965 1769.

E-mail addresses: ariaratnam@asu.edu (S.T. Ariaratnam), ulf.hahn@stuartolson.com (U.-H. Hahn).

¹ Tel.: +1 780 452 4260.

Notation

A_{pr}	cross-sectional area of replacement pipe (m^2)	L_p	length of pull (m)
A_{sc}	area of soil compression (m^2)	L_{sc}	length of soil compression (mm)
C_b	bursting force correction factor	p	internal pipe pressure (MPa)
C_f	friction force correction factor	P_s	soil pressure applied on pipe (kN/m^2)
C_{sc}	soil compression force correction factor	P_{sn}	normal component of soil pressure applied on pipe (kN/m^2)
dA	differential pipe cross-sectional area (mm^2)	P_{sp}	parallel component of soil pressure applied on pipe (kN/m^2)
d_{ie}	inside diameter of existing pipe (mm)	S_{pr}	outside surface area of replacement pipe (m^2)
d_{ir}	inside diameter of replacement pipe (mm)	t_{pe}	pipe thickness of existing pipe (mm)
d_{oe}	outside diameter of existing pipe (mm)	t_{pr}	pipe thickness of replacement pipe (mm)
d_{or}	outside diameter of replacement pipe (mm)	u	soil pore water pressure (kN/m^2)
D_{GWT}	depth to ground water table (m)	W_{pr}	weight of replacement pipe (kN)
D_{pf}	depth of pipe at finish of pull section (m)	W_{prn}	normal component of weight of replacement pipe (kN)
D_{ps}	depth of pipe at start of pull section (m)	W_{prp}	parallel component of weight of replacement pipe (kN)
D_x	horizontal length to peak of triangular soil profile (m)	W_{prul}	weight of replacement pipe per unit length (kg/lm)
D_y	vertical length to peak of triangular soil profile (m)	α_r	load uncertainty factor = 1.1
f_{bl}	breaking length factor	γ_{di}	dry unit weight of soil (kN/m^3) in i th soil layer
f_{np}	number of pieces factor	γ_{mi}	moist unit weight of soil (kN/m^3) in i th soil layer
f_{pa}	piece angle factor ($^\circ$)	γ_{wi}	wet unit weight of soil (kN/m^3) in i th soil layer
f_{scl}	soil compression limit factor	γ_{pr}	unit weight of replacement pipe (kN/m^3)
F_b	bursting force (kN)	Δh_e	exposed length of bursting head (mm)
F_{bn}	normal component of bursting force (kN)	Δx	length of failure piece (mm)
F_{bp}	parallel component of bursting force (kN)	θ_h	angle of bursting head ($^\circ$)
F_f	friction force (kN)	θ_p	existing pipe slope angle ($^\circ$)
F_h	hoop force (kN)	μ_{sp}	friction factor for soil–pipe interface
F_n	normal force (kN)	π	3.14
F_p	pull force (kN)	σ_{1e}	ultimate failure stress of existing pipe (MPa)
F_{pp}	internal pipe pressure force (kN)	σ_h	total horizontal soil pressure (kN/m^2)
F_{sc}	soil compression force (kN)	σ'_h	effective horizontal soil pressure (kN/m^2)
F_{scn}	normal component of soil compression force (kN)	σ_v	total vertical soil pressure (kN/m^2)
F_{scp}	parallel component of soil compression force (kN)	σ'_v	effective vertical soil pressure (kN/m^2)
h_{SLi}	height of the i th soil layer (m)	σ_T	total soil pressure (kN/m^2)
i	soil layer index	σ_p	pull force uncertainty factor = 0.9
K	coefficient of lateral earth pressure		
L_{os}	oversize of bursting head to outside diameter of replacement pipe (mm)		

paper provides a theoretical outline, developed from first principles, incorporating site-specific aspects such as depth of cover, soil conditions, and original pipe material, to calculate and quantify the maximum pull force encountered during a static pipe-bursting pull.

2. Overview of pipe bursting

Pipe bursting is an emerging trenchless method of replacing an existing pipe. Pipe bursting was first developed the late 1970s in the United Kingdom by D.J. Ryan and Sons (Howell, 1995). Further developments to the pipe-bursting method were made in Europe during the 1980s.

While used extensively in the United Kingdom and Europe, it was not until the early 1990s that pipe bursting was first employed in North America (Lueke and Ariaratnam, 2001).

The pipe-bursting process is defined as the replacement of the host pipe by fragmenting the existing conduit and installing the product pipe in its place (CCET, 1991). Generally, bursting is accomplished by pulling a cone-shaped bursting head through the host or original pipe. The front end of the bursting head is smaller than the inside diameter of the existing host pipe, while the tail end of the head is slightly larger than the outside diameter of the new product pipe. The leading face of the bursting head is tapered to

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/312108>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/312108>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)