



ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGERY

The indications for third-molar extractions

Martin B. Steed, DDS

Editor's note: *This new feature, which will appear occasionally, will focus on content pertinent to the specialty areas of dentistry.*

Defining the indications for third-molar extraction continues to be a topic of controversy among dentists, other health care professionals, the public and third parties such as insurance companies and government agencies. In a systematic review, Mettes and colleagues¹ found no evidence to support or refute removal of third molars to prevent health-related complications.

The dentist's management of third molars commonly hinges on identifying the presence of symptoms or disease that clearly is attributable to the third molar. Dodson² developed a useful guide (Table³) that serves as a systematic and unambiguous way to classify third molars. According to Dodson,² patients' symptoms are designated as present and attributable to the third molar (Sx+) or as absent (Sx-). In addition, clinical or radiographic evidence of disease is evaluated and designated as present (D+) or absent (D-).

Disease status is of importance to Dodson's classification system and its clinical relevance. Investigators in numerous studies have discussed the epidemiology and management of so-called asymptomatic third molars. The term "asymptomatic" is an insufficient description of the clinical status of the third molar.⁴ Just as in many other disease courses, such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease, the absence of symptoms in a third molar does not always reflect true absence of disease. This is illustrated in group C.

At the initial visit, the clinician can ascertain the presence or absence of symptoms by obtaining a thorough medical history from the patient. Many patients report that they are not experiencing any symptoms. Other pa-

ABSTRACT

Background. Defining the indications for third-molar extraction continues to be a topic of controversy.

Methods. The dentist's management of third molars commonly hinges on identifying the presence of symptoms or disease that clearly is attributable to the third molar. Use of a guide that serves as a systematic and unambiguous way to classify third molars has been advocated.

Results. Patients' symptoms are designated as present and attributable to the third molar (Sx+) or as absent (Sx-). In addition, clinical or radiographic evidence of disease is evaluated and designated as present (D+) or absent (D-).

Conclusions. Evidence-based clinical data developed from prospective investigations have shown that an asymptomatic third molar does not necessarily reflect the absence of disease.

Practical Implications. Current data are not sufficient to refute or support prophylactic extraction versus active surveillance for the routine management of third molars that are asymptomatic and free of disease (group D). Although decisions regarding third-molar management usually are straightforward, the evidence supporting extraction versus retention of asymptomatic disease-free (group D) third molars is lacking. Active surveillance, a prescribed program of follow-up and reassessment at regular intervals are recommended for retained third molars rather than waiting for the onset of symptoms.

Key Words. Tooth extraction; third molars; literature review; practice guidelines; oral surgical procedures; oral and maxillofacial surgery; evidence-based dentistry.

JADA 2014;145(6):570-573.

doi:10.14219/jada.2014.18

Dr. Steed is an associate professor and chief, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, College of Dental Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, 173 Ashley Ave., BSB Room 449 MSC 507, Charleston, S.C. 29425, e-mail steedma@muscc.edu. Address correspondence to Dr. Steed.

tients complain of limited mouth opening (trismus) or periodic swelling and pain in the third molar region, or they relate experiencing episodic foul taste. The clinician then can perform physical and radiographic examinations to determine the presence or absence of disease (Box³), and he or she can determine whether the examination findings correlate with the patient's symptoms. If the third molar is not visible, the clinician should perform periodontal probing to determine if the tooth communicates with the oral cavity. By probing posterior to the second molar, the clinician may come into contact with and identify an impacted third molar. This finding suggests the presence of chronic contamination with oral flora and a risk of the patient's developing inflammatory disease.

THIRD MOLARS IN GROUP A: SYMPTOMS AND DISEASE PRESENT

Group A third molars are common and recognized readily. Patients with third molars in group A have symptoms such as severe pain, edema or trismus. Physical and radiographic examination findings may reveal acute pericoronitis, dental caries or localized or spreading fascial space infection or a combination of the preceding.

Pericoronitis. Pericoronitis is a mild to moderate inflammatory response of soft tissues surrounding a partially erupted tooth, and 25 to 30 percent of impacted third molars are extracted because of acute or recurrent pericoronitis.⁵

Dental caries. Dental caries may be present because of the patient's difficulty in reaching the region to clean it adequately. According to Nordenram and colleagues,⁶ caries accounts for 15 percent of third-molar extractions.

Infection. Pericoronitis or caries that has resulted in pulpal necrosis can result in a localized or spreading fascial space infection.

Treatment of third molars in group A focuses on addressing the presence of disease. Treatment options are restoring the tooth, periodontal therapy and hygiene care, or extraction. Clinicians should tailor treatment to each patient, taking into consideration his or her ability to maintain adequate hygiene, access for tooth restoration, eruption status, functionality, risk of injury to adjacent structures and the patient's preference.³

THIRD MOLARS IN GROUP B: SYMPTOMS PRESENT BUT DISEASE ABSENT

Third molars in group B are seen less often than are third molars in other groups, and placement into this group is more difficult. Clinical examples include vague posterior quadrant pain from impending eruption in the setting of adequate space for the third molar to erupt into a useful, functional position. Other third molars classified into group B are located in quadrants in which there is referred myofascial or deafferented (atypical) pain.

Practitioners need to discuss with patients the bene-

TABLE

Classification of third molars, according to symptom and disease status.*

SYMPTOMS ATTRIBUTABLE TO THIRD MOLARS	CLINICAL OR RADIOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE OF DISEASE	
	Yes (D+)	No (D-)
Yes (Sx+)	Group A†	Group B‡
No (Sx-)	Group C§	Group D¶

* Adapted from Dodson,³ with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2012 Elsevier.
† Group A: Symptoms present (Sx+), disease present (D+).
‡ Group B: Symptoms present (Sx+), disease absent (D-).
§ Group C: Symptoms absent (Sx-), disease present (D+).
¶ Group D: Symptoms absent (Sx-), disease absent (D-).

BOX

Characteristics of asymptomatic, disease-free third molars.*

PATIENT HISTORY
No symptoms or vague, nonspecific complaints
CLINICAL EXAMINATION
Impacted third molar cannot be seen, cannot be probed, with PD† less than 4 mm‡
Erupting third molar with adequate space to accommodate functional tooth
Erupted third molar has reached occlusal plane; is functional, hygienic, with PD less than 4 mm; with no caries, restorable caries or restored caries; all five surfaces can be examined clinically; and attached tissue is present along distal surface of tooth
RADIOGRAPHIC EXAMINATION
No evidence of radiographic disease present

* Adapted from Dodson,³ with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2012 Elsevier.
† PD: Probing depth.
‡ mm: Millimeter.

fits of and alternatives to third-molar removal, especially if the practitioner is unable to directly identify the source of the symptoms.

THIRD MOLARS IN GROUP C: SYMPTOMS ABSENT BUT DISEASE PRESENT

Patients with third molars in group C do not have symptoms associated with the third molar, yet disease is present.

Periodontitis. Periodontal pathology can be associated with asymptomatic third molars. At baseline, 82 of 329 asymptomatic participants (25 percent) enrolled in one prospective study had at least one probing depth (PD) of at least 5 millimeters in the third-molar region, distal to the second molars, or around the third molars, with attachment loss of at least 1 mm in each patient.⁷ PDs deeper than 5 mm were associated with an attach-

ABBREVIATION KEY. D-: Disease absent. D+: Disease present. PD: Probing depth. Sx-: Symptoms absent. Sx+: Symptoms present.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3137216>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3137216>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)