Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery 42 (2014) 1577-1582

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery

journal homepage: www.jcmfs.com

Piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system in the extraction surgery of supernumerary teeth

Yongbo Gao^a, Zhenyan Lin^b, Luigi Fabrizio Rodella^c, Barbara Buffoli^c, Xifeng Wu^d, Yanmin Zhou^{e,*}

^a Department of Stomatology, Longgang District Central Hospital, Affiliated of Zunyi Medical College, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China ^b Zunyi Medical College, Zunyi, Guizhou, China

^c Section of Anatomy and Physiopathology, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

^d Department of Stomatology, Longgang District People's Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

e School of Stomatology (Prof. Yanmin Zhou), Jilin University, 1500 Qinghua Road, Changchun 130021, Jilin, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Paper received 21 November 2013 Accepted 14 April 2014

Keywords: Piezoelectric surgery Supernumerary teeth Maxillary anterior region

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The anterior maxillary region is a common site for supernumerary teeth. The aim of this study was to compare the use of piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery for the extraction of supernumerary teeth and the use of traditional method using bone chisels.

Methods: 60 patients with supernumerary anterior maxillary teeth were considered in this study. They were randomly divided into two groups: 1) the control group, in which the supernumerary teeth were extracted using the traditional bone chisels method; 2) the experimental group, in which the supernumerary teeth were extracted using a piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system. The operative time, amount of bleeding and post-operative pain were quantified and compared; in addition, the post-operative swelling was evaluated.

Results: We observed a significant decrease (P < 0.01) in the amount of bleeding and post-operative pain in the experimental group respect to the control group; but the operative time was significantly increased (P < 0.01) with the use of piezoelectric system. In addition, post-operative swelling resolved more quickly in the experimental group.

Conclusion: Although the operative time for the extraction of the maxillary anterior supernumerary teeth was longer using the piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system, the amount of bleeding and the post-operative complications were less, so this system could be considered an appropriate surgical method for the extraction of supernumerary teeth.

Crown Copyright © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Association for Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hyperdontia or supernumerary teeth are defined as teeth formed in excess of the normal dental formula of 20 deciduous and 32 permanent teeth.

The aetiology of supernumerary teeth is not completely understood. Several theories have been suggested, such as the phylogenetic theory, the dichotomy theory, hyperactive dental lamina or a combination of both genetic and environmental factors have been considered (Shah et al., 2008; Parolia et al., 2011). They are associated with many syndromes, such as Cleidocranial dysplasia, Gardner's syndrome, the Ehler–Danlos syndrome, the Apert syndrome, Down syndrome and developmental disorders, such as clef and lip palate and Chondroectodermal dysostosis (Akgun et al., 2013; Kumar and Gopal, 2013; Tuna et al., 2013).

Supernumerary teeth may occur either in the maxilla, mandible or in both the jaws with a predilection for the premaxilla (Amarlal and Muthu, 2013) and they are more frequently found in permanent dentition with a male predilection (Kumar and Gopal, 2013). They can be single or multiple, unilateral or bilateral, malformed morphologically or normal in size and shape, and erupted or impacted. Consequently they may be classified based on chronology (pre-deciduous, and post-permanent or complementary), form (conical type, tuberculate type, supplemental type, odontome), position in the dental arch (mesiodens, paramolar, distomolar, parapremolar) and orientation (vertical, inverted and transverse)

1010-5182/Crown Copyright © 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of European Association for Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery. All rights reserved.





CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 431 88958006; fax: +86 431 88975348. *E-mail address:* zhouym62@126.com (Y. Zhou).

(Parolia et al., 2011; Akgun et al., 2013). Conically shaped supernumerary teeth situated between the maxillary central incisors are the most common type in the permanent dentition; the second common supernumerary tooth is the maxillary fourth molar, whereas the most common supernumerary teeth in primary dentition is the maxillary lateral incisors (Nallanchakrava, 2011).

Most of the supernumerary teeth are asymptomatic. However, they often affect the replacement of deciduous teeth. leading to delay or failure of eruption of permanent teeth, malocclusion, displacement, crowding, root anomaly, root resorption, loss of vitality of adjacent teeth, subacute pericoronitis, gingival inflammation, periodontal abscesses, dental caries, failure of orthodontic treatment and pathological problems, such as dentigerous cyst formation, ameloblastomas, odontomas and fistulae (Akgun et al., 2013; Parolia et al., 2011). When these complications are present, surgical removal followed by orthodontic treatment is indicated. The traditional way to extract supernumerary teeth is with an osteotome or bone drill for bone fenestration (Qiu, 2008). The shortcomings of the traditional way are the trauma for bone and soft tissues and damage to the adjacent teeth and other anatomical structures. The piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system ensures high accuracy and safety in surgical procedures, and it has been widely used in the shaping of bone and bone-cutting surgery. Recently, it has been applied in the field of oral surgery, in particular, for oral and maxillofacial surgery (Rullo et al., 2013; Pappalardo and Guarneri, in press). In this paper, a piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system was compared to the use of bone chisels for the extraction of anterior maxillary supernumerary teeth in a randomized clinical study.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Patient selection and study design

This study followed a protocol in compliance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki on medical research protocols and ethic. The study was conducted in the Ninth People's Hospital of Shenzhen by the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department between August 2009 to August 2012. 35 males and 25 females (mean age 29 \pm 6.58 years, range 12–50 years) were selected and 116 maxillary anterior supernumerary teeth were considered. Criteria (inclusion and exclusion) for patient selection were: (a) the presence of impacted maxillary anterior supernumerary teeth, including teeth that may affect replacement of deciduous teeth; (b) forceps extractions not requiring osteotomy were excluded; (c) no systemic diseases; (d) age range from 12 to 50 years old; (e) non-smoker; (f) not pregnant; (g) no allergy to penicillin or other drugs used in the standardized post-operative therapy. Informed consent was obtained for all patients. The patients were randomly divided into two groups: the control group (N = 30, 15 males and 15 females), in which 58 supernumerary teeth were extracted using traditional bone chisels methods and the experimental group (N = 30, 20 males and 10 females), in which 58 supernumerary teeth were extracted using a piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system. None of the patients had contraindications to tooth extraction. A balancing test was carried out on the patient's age and gender and showed that there was no statistical difference between the two groups (P < 0.05).

2.2. Surgical instruments

In the experimental group, we used a piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system, Surgybone (Silfradent, Italy): power source 230 V-50/60 Hz, nominal power consumption 170 VA, maximum vibration 200 micron, ultrasound frequency 25-35 Hz and Hydraulic circuit capacity 0-50 ml/min; the working head number SB0600 was used for bone cutting and for extracting the supernumerary teeth: SBP0911 was used for fenestration because of its high cutting efficiency; SBP0710 and SBP0720 were used for cutting bone in deep surgical areas because of its curved working head (Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B). A multifunctional aspirator, which is patented by the Authors in China (No. 201020232094.2) was used to aspirate saliva, blood and cooling water for improving the clarity of the surgical field (Jiang et al., 2011a). In the control group, we used bone chisels made by Shanghai Kanggiao Dental Instruments Factory, with 3 kinds of working heads, including 048-1243, 048-1443 and 048-1543 (Fig. 1C).

2.3. Surgical procedures

The supernumerary tooth was examined with Cone-beam CT (Planmeca Promax 3D, Finland). The tooth size, its direction (threedimensional position), as well as its distance from the adjacent teeth and other close critical anatomical structures, were recorded (Fig. 2). The lip and palatal bone thickness around the supernumerary tooth was measured and the surgical approach was decided on. All patients were treated under general anaesthesia because the supernumerary teeth were so deep. The choice of the surgical procedure depended on the position of the supernumerary tooth. If the diseased tooth was on the buccal, a curved or trapezoidal paramarginal incision of the labial side was chosen, whereas if the diseased tooth was in on the palatal side, a curved para-marginal incision of palatal side was chosen. After the incision, the mucoperiosteal flap was turned over and saliva, blood and cooling water were aspirated by a modified aspirator, to keep the visibility of the surgical field.

In the experimental group, the piezoelectric system was used for bone fenestration and to remove the free bone block completely (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B). The supernumerary tooth was exposed, the bone mass around the supernumerary tooth was removed and the tooth was elevated with a dental elevator and removed (Fig. 3C and D). The margin of bone window was



Fig. 1. A) Piezoelectric ultrasonic bone surgery system Surgybone and B) Working head for Surgybone; C) bone chisels, with 3 kinds of working heads.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3142709

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3142709

Daneshyari.com