

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mjafi

Short Communication

Blood culture isolates and antibiogram of Salmonella: Experience of a tertiary care hospital



MIAFI

-11 -11

Col Lavan Singh^{a,*}, Col M.P. Cariappa^b

^a Senior Adviser (Path & Microbiology), Military Hospital Meerut, UP, India ^b Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Armed Forces Medical College, Pune 411040, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 November 2014 Accepted 23 July 2015 Available online 4 September 2015

Keywords: Enteric fever Salmonella typhi Antimicrobial resistance

ABSTRACT

Background: Enteric fevers contribute majorly to the burden of morbidity from infectious diseases in the developing world. Due to growing antibiotic resistance seen in their management, *Salmonella* and its various species are required to be periodically tested for sensitivity and resistance patterns, to guide the clinical management at the local level. This will also enable planning of antibiotic recycling wherever feasible.

Methods: A retrospective study of the results of blood culture isolates covering a period of 27 months was done at a tertiary care hospital. Blood samples were directly inoculated in Bactalert culture bottles and sub culture was done on Mac Conkey and Salmonella–Shigella Agar. Non-lactose fermenting colonies were processed for identification, antibiotic sensitivity and MIC value. Slide agglutination test using specific antisera was also done to confirm the serotype. Antimicrobial susceptibility was done in accordance with CLSI standards.

Results: 8413 blood samples were processed and 1027 (12.20%) were assessed as 'culture positive'. Salmonella were isolated in 46 samples of which 38 (83%) were Salmonella typhi and a single isolate was Salmonella paratyphi B.

S.typhi showed maximum sensitivity to imipenem (100%) (MIC <0.25 μ g/ml) followed by ciprofloxacin (76.8%) (MIC >1 μ g/ml) and nalidixic acid (50%) (MIC ≥ 32 μ g/ml). S. paratyphi B showed 100% sensitivity to all the common antibiotics. Four samples (8%) were classified as multi drug resistant (MDR).

Conclusion: Our study has shown improved sensitivity to ceftriaxone and cotrimoxazole. A high degree of susceptibility to ampicillin among both S. typhi and S.paratyphi A is encouraging. However, low susceptibility to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin is a cause for concern. There is a need for further clinical studies to evaluate the response to chloramphenicol in MDR cases and to formulate uniform laboratory guidelines to test antibiotic sensitivity of S. typhi isolates.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 (0) 9823539975 (mobile).

E-mail address: lsraghuvanshi@yahoo.co.in (L. Singh).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2015.07.007

0377-1237/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

Introduction

Enteric fever has been an important cause of human morbidity and mortality in developing nations like India. This acute systemic infection is caused mainly by *Salmonella* enteric serotype typhi and paratyphi A, rarely by *Salmonellaparatyphi* B and C.¹ In the Delhi region, the incidence of enteric fever has been reported to be 9.8 cases per 1000 person years.²

The classical presentation of the disease includes malaise, fever, diffuse abdominal pain, occasional loose stool and constipation. In the past, chloramphenicol, ampicillin and cotrimoxazole had been the drugs of choice for management of enteric fevers. But slowly, strains resistant to all three firstline drugs have emerged. Further, continued use of ciprofloxacin for empirical treatment of typhoid has led to the emergence of ciprofloxacin resistant *Salmonella*. Emergence of multi drug resistant (MDR) *Salmonella* has become a cause of great concern to clinicians. All species of *Salmonella* are now developing multidrug resistance and complicating the management.³

Infection caused by Salmonella at tertiary care centres is not very common. It is difficult to isolate Salmonella in patients who are on potent antibiotic therapy. Since there are significant variations in the sensitivity patterns reported for Salmonellatyphi and S.Paratyphi A, it becomes clinically important to monitor the antibiotic sensitivity patterns to provide suitable guidance for treatment.

We analysed the antibiogram of isolates obtained from blood culture as recent studies from different regions have shown a shifting pattern of susceptibility to conventional drugs.

Material and methods

A retrospective study was done of the laboratory records and linked relevant data, maintained over a period from January 2012 to March 2014 in a tertiary care hospital. Blood samples were obtained from febrile patients of different clinical wards using aseptic means. Samples were directly inoculated in Bactalert culture bottles. Later on, sub culture was done on Mac Conkey and Salmonella-Shigella Agar and incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h and in negative cases, subcultures were repeated for one week. Subsequently, non-lactose fermenting colonies were processed for identification, antibiotic sensitivity and MIC value with the help of Vitek2 (Biomerieux System). Slide agglutination test using specific antisera was also done to confirm the serotype. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was also done in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Antibiotics discs included in this study, by Kirby-Bauer's disc diffusion method, were ampicillin (10 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), nalidixic acid (30 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), cotrimoxazole (25 μ g) and imipenem (30 µg) (Hi-Media Laboratories). However Vitek2 gave results of nearly all relevant antibiotics. We did not check the drug resistance to chloramphenicol as the drug was not being procured for clinical use during the study period. Phase typing was not carried out and no correlation with Widal test was done.

Results

A total of 8413 blood samples were processed and 1027 (12.20%) were assessed as 'culture positive'. Staphylococcus aureus was the commonest isolate followed by coagulase negative Staphylococcus, E.coli and other bacteria (Table 1). Salmonella were isolated in samples from 46 patients, provisionally diagnosed as pyrexia of unknown origin (PUO). Out of these 46 patients, 26 were children (<16 years); and the balance were adults. Of the Salmonella isolates, 38 were S. typhi (83%), seven were S. paratyphi A (15%) and a single isolate was S. paratyphi B. No S. paratyphi C was isolated during the study period.

Salmonella typhi showed maximum sensitivity to imipenem (100%) (MIC < 0.25 μ g/ml) followed by ciprofloxacin (76.8%) (MIC > 1.0 μ g/ml) and nalidixic acid (50%) (MIC > 32 μ g/ml). Salmonella paratyphi B showed 100% sensitivity to all the commonly used antibiotics. Four samples (8%) were noted to be having multidrug resistant (i.e. resistant to ampicillin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and cotrimoxazole). All the strains were resistant to nalidixic acid (MIC > 32 μ g/ml) and to ciprofloxacin (MIC > 4 μ g/ml). Antibiogram of common antibiotics for all the three strains are depicted in Table 2. Salmonella typhi A showed poorer sensitivity to ampicillin (75%) and nalidixic acid (10%) as compared to S. typhi. As we had only one isolate of S. paratyphi B, hence not much conclusion can be drawn from these results (Fig.).

Discussion

Enteric fever, though a major public health problem in India today, usually doesn't present itself as a febrile illness at tertiary care hospitals and is mostly managed at peripheral health care facilities. Most of the cases in our present study were transferred in for management from smaller hospitals located in and around the catchment areas. Not many cases of typhoid are reported in our clientele population as they are residing in garrisons with relatively better hygiene and sanitation conditions, safe water supply systems, and better immunization coverage as recommended in the Armed Forces immunization programme.

Our study has only 46 cases of typhoid fever (0.5%) out of 8413 blood samples processed during the study period, as compared to similar studies where the overall positivity varied between 5% and 9%.^{2,4,5}

Table 1 – Bacterial isolates (n = 1027).	
Bacterial isolates	Positive samples
Staphylococcus aureus	340 (33.10%)
CONS	229 (22.29%)
E. coli	124 (12.07%)
Acinetobacter	109 (10.61%)
Klebsiella	79 (7.69%)
Pseudomonas	66 (6.42%)
Salmonella	46 (4.47%)
Proteus	26 (2.53%)
Candida	8 (0.77%)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3160858

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3160858

Daneshyari.com