Dermatologist preferences for first-line therapy of moderate to severe psoriasis in healthy adult patients

Joy Wan, BA,^a Katrina Abuabara, MD, MA,^a Andrea B. Troxel, ScD,^{b,c} Daniel B. Shin, BA,^{a,c} Abby S. Van Voorhees, MD,^a Bruce F. Bebo, Jr, PhD,^d Gerald G. Krueger, MD,^e Kristina Callis Duffin, MD,^e and Joel M. Gelfand, MD, MSCE^{a,b,c}

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Portland, Oregon; and Salt Lake City, Utah

Background: Despite increasing therapies for moderate to severe psoriasis, dermatologists' treatment preferences are unknown.

Objective: We sought to assess dermatologists' preferences for first-line treatments and their selection determinants.

Methods: We surveyed 1000 US dermatologists (500 National Psoriasis Foundation and 500 American Academy of Dermatology members who treat psoriasis) about their preferences for first-line treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis in healthy adults of childbearing age using standardized patient vignettes.

Results: The response rate was 39% (N = 387). Preferred therapies for male and female patients were: ultraviolet (UV) B (40% and 56%, respectively), etanercept (15% and 19%), methotrexate (16% and 4%), and adalimumab (12% and 10%). Of respondents, 66% administered phototherapy in their practice. After adjusting for all physician characteristics, those preferring first-line UVB for male or female patients were significantly more likely to have phototherapy in their practice (odds ratio [OR] 3.4, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.8-6.6 and OR 2.8, 95% CI 1.5-5.3, respectively) and to have used UVB in more than 10 patients in the last 3 months (OR 8.0, 95% CI 3.9-16.4; OR 9.6, 95% CI 4.3-21.6). Dermatologists in the Midwest were more likely than those in the Northeast to prefer adalimumab first line for male and female patients.

Limitations: We surveyed only dermatologists with interest in treating psoriasis and elicited their treatment preferences for a single base case scenario. Treatment preferences may differ between survey respondents and nonrespondents.

From the Department of Dermatology, a Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, and Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine; National Psoriasis Foundation, Portland; and Department of Dermatology, University of Utah School of Medicine.

Supported by grants from the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases RC1-AR058204 (Dr Gelfand), the Doris Duke Clinical Research Fellowship (Dr Abuabara), and National Institutes of Health Training Grant T32-AR07465 (Ms Wan and Mr Shin).

Disclosure: Dr Van Voorhees served on the advisory board of and was an investigator and speaker for Amgen and Genentech, receiving honoraria and grants; was a consultant for Incyte, Leo, VGX, and Xtrac, receiving honoraria; served on the advisory board and was a speaker for Abbott, Centocor, and Connetics, receiving honoraria; served on the advisory board and was an investigator for Bristol Myers Squibb and Warner Chilcott, receiving honoraria and grants; was an investigator for Astellas, IDEC, and Roche, receiving grants; served as a consultant for Amgen; and received honoraria from Synta. Dr Bebo has had relationships with Abbott, Amgen, Astellas, Centocor, Galderma, Genentech, PhotoMedix, Stiefel/GSK, Warner Chilcott, and Wyeth, receiving other financial benefits. Dr. Krueger served on the steering committees for Centocor/Phoenix 2 and Golimumab/psoriatic arthritis, receiving no compensation; served on the steering committee for PSOLAR, receiving other financial benefit; served on the data monitoring board for Novartis and as chair of the data monitoring safety board for Pfizer, receiving other financial benefit; was a consultant and/or advisory board member

for Abbott, Almirall, Amgen, Anacor, Astellas, Boehringer Ingleheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Centocor, CombinatoRx, Genzyme, Isis, Lilly, L'Oreal, Lupin Limited, MedaCorp, Medicis, Novartis, Nova Nordisk, Pfizer, Schering Plough, Somagenics, the Derm.org, Synvista, Warner Chilcot, UCB, Vascular Biogenics Limited, and ZARS, receiving honoraria or other financial benefit; was a stockholder in ZARS, receiving stock options; was a speaker for Abbott, Amgen, Astellas, Centocor, and the National Psoriasis Foundation, receiving honoraria; and was an investigator for Abbott, Amgen, and Centocor, receiving grants. Dr Callis Duffin was an investigator, consultant, and speaker for Abbott, Amgen, and Centocor, receiving honoraria and salary; served on the advisory board of Amgen; and received residency/fellowship program funding from Abbott and Amgen. Dr Gelfand served as consultant and investigator with Abbott, Amgen, Centocor, Genentech, Novartis, and Pfizer, receiving grants and honoraria; was a consultant with Celgene, Covance, Galderma, Shire Pharmaceuticals, and Wyeth, receiving honoraria; and was an investigator with Shionogi, receiving grants. Drs Abuabara and Troxel, Mr Shin, and Ms Wan have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Accepted for publication March 2, 2011.

Reprint requests: Joel M. Gelfand, MD, MSCE, Department of Dermatology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine 1471 Penn Tower, One Convention Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19104. E-mail: Joel.Gelfand@uphs.upenn.edu.

Published online August 22, 2011.

0190-9622/\$36.00

© 2011 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2011.03.012

Conclusion: UVB is most commonly preferred as a first-line treatment for moderate to severe psoriasis in healthy adults, and preferences vary based on region, phototherapy availability, and prior treatment use. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2012;66:376-86.)

Key words: biologic; comparative effectiveness; methotrexate; phototherapy; psoriasis; treatment preference; tumor necrosis factor inhibitor.

Psoriasis is a chronic, inflammatory disease of the skin and joints affecting 2% to 4% of the general population. An estimated 1.2 million patients with psoriasis in the United States have moderate to severe disease, and up to 3 million adult Americans have psoriasis but remain without a diagnosis by a physician. Psoriasis, especially if more severe, may be a risk factor for systemic disorders including diabetes, myocardial infarction, stroke, and premature death. ³⁻⁶

The treatment options for moderate to severe

psoriasis have expanded dramatically in the last decade.⁷⁻¹¹ Despite the growing repertoire of treatments, insufficient data exist to determine which therapies are first, second, and third line. Numerous psoriasis treatment guidelines now exist and they variably differentiate (or do not differentiate at all) between first- and second-line treatment options based on risk-benefit considerations, and expert opinion. 12-18 Moreover, little is known about dermatologists' preferences for treating this disease. Such information is critical to further investigating the determinants of treat-

ment selection and informing future comparative effectiveness studies, which have been identified as a priority by the US Institute of Medicine. 19

The purpose of this study was therefore to describe US dermatologists' preferences for first-line treatment in healthy adults with moderate to severe psoriasis using patient vignettes, a well-accepted method for measuring variation and quality in clinical practice. 20-22

METHODS

Study population and setting

We conducted a survey of 1000 practicing dermatologists across the United States; 500 were members of the National Psoriasis Foundation (NPF) randomly selected from the NPF list of 922 dermatologists

and the other 500 were American Academy of Dermatology (AAD) members randomly selected from the AAD list of 1417 dermatologists who had identified themselves as treating psoriasis.

Study design

We conducted a survey of US dermatologists as described above. The survey instrument (see online Appendix at http://www.eblue.org*) was developed by dermatologists expert in the care of psoriasis with

input from steering committee members of the Dermatology Clinical Effectiveness Research Network. First-line treatment preferences were assessed using two vignettes describing a typical healthy adult man or woman of childbearing age with moderate to severe psoriasis adapted from previously published vignettes. 16 For each hypothetical patient, dermatologists were asked to select their second, and third choices for treatment from a list of 10 biologic, oral systemic, or phototherapies currently Food and Drug Administration approved for the treatment of psoriasis

 Although we describe variations in preferences for treatment, additional studies are necessary to determine the cause of these variations and comparative effectiveness trials are necessary to discern how treatments

Despite increasing numbers of therapies

for moderate to severe psoriasis, little is

known about dermatologists' treatment

childbearing age. Preferences vary based

· Ultraviolet B is the most preferred first-

line treatment in healthy adults of

on geographic region, phototherapy

availability, and prior treatment use.

CAPSULE SUMMARY

preferences.

should be used.

(see Appendix). The order in which treatment choices were listed was randomized in 6 different ways to reduce bias.

We conducted the survey using a modified Dillman Tailored Design method^{23,24} of sending postcard reminders and duplicate surveys to nonrespondents and randomized survey packets to include one of 3 financial incentives.²⁵ The survey study was conducted from May to August 2010; all responses received within 15 weeks after the initial questionnaire mailing were included in the results.

Informed consent was obtained using the cover letter enclosed with the questionnaire. The study was

*DCERN survey instrument. Copyright © 2010 DCERN™. For commercial usage, please contact Joel M. Gelfand, MD, MSCE.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3207070

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3207070

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>