

# America's Emergency Care Environment, A State-by-State Report Card

*2014 Edition*

## Report Card Task Force Members

**Jon Mark Hirshon, MD, MPH, PhD, FACEP**  
Report Card Task Force Chair  
**Roy L. Alson, MD, PhD, FACEP**  
**David Blunk**  
**Douglas P. Brosnan, MD, JD, FACEP**  
**Stephen K. Epstein, MD, MPP, FACEP**  
**Angela F. Gardner, MD, FACEP**  
**Donald L. Lum, MD, FACEP**  
**Joshua B. Moskovitz, MD, MPH, FACEP**  
**Lynne D. Richardson, MD, FACEP**  
**Jennifer L. Stankus, MD, JD**  
**Paul D. Kivela, MD, FACEP, ACEP Board of Directors**  
Liaison to the Task Force

## ACEP Staff

**Dean Wilkerson, JD, MBA, CAE, ACEP Executive Director**  
**Craig Price, CAE, Staff Liaison to the Task Force**  
**Marilyn Bromley, RN**  
**Nancy Calaway**  
**Marjorie Geist, PhD, RN, CAE**  
**Laura Gore**  
**Cynthia Singh, MS**  
**Gordon Wheeler**

0196-0644/\$-see front matter

Copyright © 2014 by the American College of Emergency Physicians.

doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2013.11.024

---

ACEP acknowledges with gratitude the organizations that provided or have published data used in this report, including the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, Alliance of States with Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs, the Alliance for Biking and Walking, the American Academy of Family Physicians, the American Board of Emergency Medicine, the American Burn Association, the American Hospital Association, the American Medical Association, the American Osteopathic Association, the American Osteopathic Board of Emergency Medicine, the American Tort Reform Association, the American Trauma Society, the Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence, Common Good, the Emergency Management Accreditation

The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) gratefully acknowledges the many organizations and government agencies listed in the appendix that had collected and published data that were instrumental in the creation of this report. ACEP particularly appreciates the valuable contribution of the Safe States Alliance for permitting the use of the results of injury prevention funding questions from its 2011 "State of the States" survey of injury prevention directors and the American Hospital Association (AHA) and Health Forum, LLC for use of aggregate 2011 AHA Annual Survey data related to health care facilities. Additionally, ACEP extends its sincere appreciation to state health officials, EMS directors, and disaster preparedness officials throughout the country who responded to ACEP's surveys, as well as to the volunteer leaders and staff of ACEP's chapters who provided meaningful input at numerous stages during the preparation of this report. Finally, ACEP wishes to thank Renee Schwalberg, Stacy Gleason, Jennifer Pooler, Janice Lynch-Schuster and the other staff at Altarum Institute for their invaluable assistance in the development and preparation of this report.

Program, the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society, the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety, the Kaiser Family Foundation, the Medical Liability Monitor, the National Academy for State Health Policy, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, the National Conference of State Legislatures, the National Emergency Number Association, the Society of Cardiovascular Patient Care, the Safe States Alliance, the Trust for America's Health, and the Uniform Law Commission.

The data and recommendations contained in this report are freely available for statistical analysis, media use, advocacy efforts and related uses. ACEP materials may not be used for commercial purposes or to state or imply the endorsement by ACEP or by any ACEP member or employee of a commercial product, service or activity, or used in any other manner that might mislead. ACEP should be acknowledged as the source of its material. It is unlawful to falsely claim copyright or other rights in ACEP material. ACEP would appreciate notification and copies of any publications that reference the information contained in this document.

Although the data found in this report have been produced and processed from sources believed to be reliable, no warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, adequacy, completeness, legality, reliability or usefulness of any information.

This disclaimer applies to both isolated and aggregate uses of the information. ACEP provides this information on an "as is" basis. All warranties of any kind, express or implied, are disclaimed.

Printed in the USA

## Executive Summary

NATIONAL GRADE BY CATEGORY	
<b>ACCESS TO EMERGENCY CARE</b>	<b>D-</b>
<b>QUALITY &amp; PATIENT SAFETY ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>C</b>
<b>MEDICAL LIABILITY ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>C-</b>
<b>PUBLIC HEALTH &amp; INJURY PREVENTION</b>	<b>C</b>
<b>DISASTER PREPAREDNESS</b>	<b>C-</b>
<b>OVERALL</b>	<b>D+</b>

For millions of Americans who experience sudden, serious illness or injury every year—and in the increasing scores of communities that must respond to disasters and mass casualty events—immediate access to quality emergency care is essential to saving life and limb. But the availability of that care is threatened by a wide range of factors, including shrinking capacity and an ever-increasing demand for services. Even as more and more Americans come to rely on emergency departments for their acute care needs, particularly aging and sick Boomers and people newly enrolled in Medicaid, such care will increasingly become harder to access.

**This national Report Card rates the overall environment in which the emergency care system operates with a near-failing grade of D+.** This is a poorer grade than the one earned in 2009, a C-. Overall state rankings have changed since the 2009 Report Card, with the District of Columbia now ranking first and Wyoming ranking last in the nation.

These findings are the result of a comprehensive and focused study of the emergency care environment nationwide and state-by-state. The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) convened a blue-ribbon task force of experts to produce this third edition of a national report card. It builds on previous work to provide a comprehensive look at the nation's emergency medical system in five categories. Despite hoped-for changes and improvements, the environment has not improved; it has, in fact, gotten worse.

The five categories are based on 136 objective measures that reflect the most current data available from reliable public sources, including the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,

the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, as well as other sources, such as the American Medical Association. The 136 measures were selected because they represent factors vital to life-saving emergency care and meet the key criteria of relevance, reliability, validity, reproducibility, and consistency across all states.

### **Access to Emergency Care**

**D-**

This important category represents 30% of the total grade and includes four subcategories: access to providers, access to treatment centers, financial barriers, and hospital capacity. It also includes access to specialists, such as neurosurgeons, orthopedists, and plastic surgeons.

Access to emergency care is fundamental and complex—and essential. Several factors affect people's access, such as the availability of emergency departments, the capacity of those departments, and the workforce available to staff those departments. In addition, the environment is affected by an unfunded government mandate, the Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA), that requires emergency departments to screen and stabilize anyone who presents with an emergency medical condition, which means that all patients are seen, regardless of ability to pay.

This failing grade reflects trouble for a nation that has too few emergency departments to meet the needs of a growing, aging population, and of the increasing number of people now insured as a result of the Affordable Care Act. For more than 20 years, emergency visit rates have increased at twice the rate of the growth of the U.S. population, totaling 130 million in 2010. And that growth in demand is poised to continue.

### **Quality & Patient Safety Environment**

**C**

This category represents 20% of the total grade and includes subcategories reflecting state systems and institutions that can support the emergency care environment. Measuring this environment is essential, as is examining how better-quality systems and technologies can help improve care and prevent injuries. Federal agencies, state governments, and private institutions have made advancements in developing and implementing indicators of health care quality. ACEP continues to monitor direct state investments in improving quality and safety, such as funding for emergency medical services (EMS) medical directors and development and implementation of destination and triage policies that allow EMS to bypass local hospitals to take patients to appropriate hospital specialty centers. Institutional improvements include advances, such as the use of computerized practitioner order entry and attention to addressing racial and ethnic disparities in care.

### **Medical Liability Environment**

**C-**

This category represents 20% of the total grade and includes subcategories that describe the legal atmosphere, insurance availability, and tort reform across the states.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3229426>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3229426>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)