

Prognosis in Cardiac Arrest

Joseph P. Martinez, MD

KEYWORDS

- Cardiac arrest • Prognosis • Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
- Neurologic outcome

Cardiac arrest (CA) remains a worldwide challenge. Nearly 450,000 Americans suffer from CA annually, with another 350,000 to 700,000 Europeans involved.^{1,2} Out-of-hospital CA is the third leading cause of death in the United States.³ Survival rates remain low, averaging 2% to 5% in most studies, occasionally as high as 10%. In-hospital arrests fare slightly better, with survival rates still a dismal 15%.⁴ Several prognostic factors have been identified. These factors may be broadly divided into prearrest factors, intra-arrest factors, and postarrest factors. Of note, the great majority of the research on this topic was conducted before the development of protocols for therapeutic hypothermia (TH) for comatose survivors of CA. It is unclear whether widespread adoption of TH will alter the prognostic capabilities of some of these factors.

PREARREST FACTORS

Several factors alter the prognosis of CA even before the initiation of advanced life support (ALS) measures. Some of these factors are specific to out-of-hospital CA (OHCA) whereas others relate to in-hospital CA (IHCA). Well-established factors in OHCA that influence the survival from CA include initial rhythm, location, age, witnessed arrest or not, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), mode of arrest (respiratory vs cardiac), and delay to arrival of rescue team (**Table 1**). Other factors include time of day, day of week, and gasping or other abnormal respiratory efforts. Some factors more specific to IHCA include ward experience (more than 5 resuscitations per year), hospital location, use of automated external defibrillator (AED), and again, time of day/day of week (**Table 2**).

OUT-OF-HOSPITAL CARDIAC ARREST

It is clear that victims of OHCA have better outcomes if they present to emergency medical services (EMS) providers in a shockable rhythm such as ventricular fibrillation

Disclosures: None.

Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, 110 South Paca Street, Sixth Floor, Suite 200, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA

E-mail address: jmartinez@som.umaryland.edu

Emerg Med Clin N Am 30 (2012) 91–103

doi:[10.1016/j.emc.2011.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emc.2011.09.010)

emed.theclinics.com

0733-8627/12/\$ – see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1	
Prognostic factors in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest	
Factor	Association
Initial rhythm	VF/VT survival 6 times as high
Location	Public arrest: survival 3–4 times more likely Workplace arrest: survival 6 times more likely
Age	Older age associated with worsened survival Young adults (18–35) with marginally better outcomes
CPR	Any form of bystander CPR greatly increases chance of survival
Time to defibrillation	AED use before EMS arrival 1.75-fold more likely to survive
Time of day	Peak incidence 8–10 AM; lowest survival midnight to 6 AM
Gasping	Survival 28% vs 8%; with bystander CPR survival 39% vs 9.4% if not gasping

Abbreviations: AED, automated external defibrillator; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; EMS, emergency medical services; VF/VT, ventricular fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia.

(VF) or pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT). Survival when a patient presents in a shockable rhythm is up to 6 times as high as when they have a nonshockable rhythm.⁵ These rhythms occur early in the course of an arrest and deteriorate to rhythms less amenable to intervention. Time to defibrillation is important. The use of an AED prior to EMS arrival is associated with a 1.75-fold increase in survival to hospital discharge.⁶ People that have witnessed arrests in public places have a better outcome. Survival is 3 to 4 times more likely if a patient arrests in a public place and 6 times more likely if they arrest in the workplace.⁷ In general, arrests in public or in the workplace tend to be younger, to be men, and to be in VF. Those that arrest at home are more likely to be elderly, female, unwitnessed, and not VF.⁷ If the event is witnessed and a bystander performs CPR, outcomes from CA are improved. Delays to arrival of the rescue team and advanced age lead to worse outcomes.⁵ It is interesting that although advanced age portends a poor prognosis, young adults (age 18–35) do not always fare better. In one study, their 1-month survival was only 6.3%. This group tended to suffer from “noncardiac” arrests (the majority were drug overdoses), were

Table 2	
Prognostic factors in in-hospital cardiac arrest	
Factor	Association
Rhythm	VF/VT still has a better outcome but occurs much less frequently than in OHCA (fewer than 20% of all IHCA)
Time to CPR and defibrillation	Survival 33% if CPR started in less than 1 min (vs 14% if >1 min) Survival 38% if VF/VT defibrillated in <3 min (vs 21% if >3 min)
Hospital location	ICUs have better survival rates Wards with >5 arrests per year have better survival rates Hemodialysis units have better survival rates
Time of day	Night shift arrests have half the survival of daytime arrests
AED use	Worse survival (10.4% vs 15.4%) when used for nonshockable arrests No survival benefit in shockable arrests

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; IHCA, in-hospital cardiac arrest; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3236969>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3236969>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)