



Original Article

Investigation of Kryptonite™ bone cement in hybrid screw configurations of locking plate humeral midshaft fixation: A study of surrogate bone model



Trung T. Le ^{a,b,*}, Ha V. Vo ^{a,b,d}, Lawrence X. Webb ^{a,b,c,d}

^a Biomedical Engineering, School of Engineering, Mercer University, Macon, GA, USA

^b School of Medicine, Mercer University, Macon, GA, USA

^c Georgia Orthopedic Trauma Institute, Navicent Health Hospital, Macon, GA, USA

^d Orthopedic Surgery, School of Medicine, Mercer University, Macon, GA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 February 2016

Accepted 6 March 2016

Available online 1 April 2016

Keywords:

Internal bone fixation

PLT

LCP

Unicortical vs. bicortical screw

Locking vs. non-locking screw

Kryptonite™ bone cement

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Locking compression plates (LCP) allow trauma and orthopedic surgeons to have a variety of options for utilizing locking and non-locking screw features. In this study, the hybrid constructs of mixed unicortical and bicortical screws were investigated for humerus midshaft fractures. The locking and non-locking features were also incorporated into the hybrid LCP constructs. Kryptonite™ bone cement is biocompatible with low exothermic properties and strong metal adhesion. This novel bone cement was incorporated into the non-locking screw feature to compare its mechanical effect with that of a traditional locking screw feature.

Methods: A total of 24 synthetic bones (Sawbones® Inc., USA) were equally divided into three groups ($n = 8$). The control group obtained traditional LCP fixation (JSM Medimax Inc., India) with bicortical screws, while the hybrid locking constructs employed a mix of bicortical and unicortical screws. The two bicortical screws in hybrid constructs were placed at the end holes of the LCP constructs and the unicortical screws were placed into the remaining holes. The hybrid locking (HL) group contained locking unicortical screws, whereas the hybrid non-locking group (HNK) utilized non-locking screw features incorporating the Kryptonite™ bone cement in the plate/screw bone construct. Specimens were tested by dynamic and static analysis. The eight total constructs were equally divided into two subgroups ($n = 4$) to conduct axial compression and torsion test individually. The low cyclic test was conducted for dynamic analysis, which included 10,000 cycles at 1 Hz frequency with a cyclic loading of 0–500 N of axial force for the axial compression test and 0–20° of angular displacement for the torsion test. The static analysis was run by a failure test with a nondestructive strain rate of 0.1 mm/s for the axial compression test and 0.5 s⁻¹ for the torsional test. The construct stiffness of axial compression and torsion were derived from the linear portion of the load-displacement curves. The yield strength of axial compression and torsion was determined by using offset methods. The results of stiffness and yield strength were compared by using both one-way ANOVA and Scheffe and Games-Howell post hoc tests to analyze statically significant differences among the three groups.

Results: Specimens completed 10,000 cycles in the dynamic analysis of axial and torsional cyclic tests without major deformation. To compare with the control group in static analysis, the HL and HNK groups achieved positive effect in axial stiffness, 12.3% and 10.5% greater than the control group respectively. HL obtained axial yield strength about 12% less than the control group. The HNK group was equivalent with the control group in axial yield strength. The torsional stiffness and yield strength were found similar in three groups, which indicated torsional equivalence among them. The stiffness and yield strength from axial compression and torsion were found statically non-significant among three groups.

Conclusions: Hybrid LCP constructs were initially showed to maintain equivalent axial and torsional stability with the traditional method. Kryptonite™ hosted mechanical and biological advantages for internal plate/screws (PLT) fixation.

© 2016 Prof. PK Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Published by Elsevier, a division of Reed Elsevier India, Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Mercer University, Department of Biomedical Engineering, 1501 Mercer University Drive, Macon, GA 31207, USA.
E-mail address: trungle.bme@gmail.com (T.T. Le).

1. Introduction

Internal fixation with plate/screws (PLT) is a commonly elected treatment for displaced humeral shaft fractures. The PLT technique enables functional range of motion both the shoulder and elbow joints, while the fracture heal and thereby help to avoid joint stiffness and muscle atrophy.¹ Locking compression plate (LCP) design maintains limited contact with the bone surface to preserve the periosteal membrane and helps to preserve periosteal blood flow, which promotes bone healing.^{2,3,10} The unique LCP design also creates a versatile opportunity to combine both locking and non-locking screws in the plate construct. The non-locking screw configuration permits a direct compression of the plate against the bone surface thereby closing fracture gap and achieving fracture compression. The locking screw is inserted perpendicular to the plate to help transfer axial load along the length of the plate.⁴ The locking screw head is locked in the bone plate, which significantly improves fixation in osteoporotic bone to prevent screw toggling and micro-motion between screw and bone plate, but it is limited in comminuted fracture, since the locking screw is only allowed 5° or less angle deviation with screw insertion.^{2,5} The non-locking screw is an alternative to the locking screw, because it can be inserted with a variety of angles, and when supplemented with appropriate bone cement, it may retain some of the beneficial features of the locking construct. Poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) bone cement is approved for orthopedic surgery and has been used to enhance the fixation of plate construct in osteoporotic bone. Currently, an innovative bone cement, Kryptonite™ bone cement (Doctors Research Group Inc., Southbury, CT), is approved by FDA as a biocompatibility product for repairing cranial defect and sternal closure in cardiothoracic surgery.⁶ To compare with the PMMA bone cement, Kryptonite™ bone cement obtains feasible biological and mechanical properties, which are advantage in orthopedic fracture fixation. This bone cement has a low exothermic curing process (approximately 43 °C vs. about 90 °C for PMMA) and thereby avoids local tissue and bone necrosis.⁷ With a similar porous microstructure to PMMA bone cement, Kryptonite™ bone cement can stimulate bone growth and has a potential of integrating with intact bone tissue. Kryptonite™ bone cement exhibits equal axial yield-strength to PMMA, which is about 1200 N, and it has identical stiffness with an intact bone, which is approximately 800 MPa.⁸ In axial compression, Kryptonite™ bone cement demonstrates high toughness and failure strain to resist axial deformation. Kryptonite™ bone cement employs a strong metal adhesion, which is five times greater than PMMA. With its strong metal adhesive characteristic Kryptonite™ bone cement becomes attractive option in orthopedic application. Kryptonite™ bone cement could serve as an interface between bone plate and the bone, thus it is neglecting the need for additional screws.^{6,8,9} Studies of Kryptonite™ bone cement in fracture fixation are few, and the effects of the bone cement as a bonding interface between plate and screw has not been established to improve PLT technique in case of bone osteoporosis with comminuted fracture. A hybrid PLT construct is possibly achieved by mixing unicortical and bicortical screws in the fixation construct, which is considered a less invasive procedure for the patient.⁹ Roberts et al. in 2007 proved that hybrid screw fixation mechanically behaves as strong as traditional fixation, which employs all bicortical screws. The diversity of screw combinations in LCP constructs, therefore, creates an uncertainty for the mechanical behavior of the constructed implants *in vivo*.

This study investigates the effect of Kryptonite™ bone cement in internal long bone fixation, specifically the PLT technique in humerus diaphyseal fracture. Hybrid LCP constructs containing mixed unicortical and bicortical screws were used to biomechanically compare to the traditional method. Moreover, a combination

of locking and non-locking screws was also incorporated in the hybrid constructs to compare the combination of non-locking screw adding Kryptonite™ bone cement (bicortical and unicortical) vs. locking screw (bicortical vs. unicortical). The ultimate goal of this study is to explore variety of screw options in LCP constructs to produce a less invasive PLT procedure, which is still able to maintain equivalent strength and stiffness for bone proliferation and healing.

2. Materials and methods

A total of 24 synthetic humerus specimens (Plastic cortical shell, Sawbones® Company, USA) were obtained. To conduct a study the specimens were divided into three groups ($n = 8$). All groups were fixed with 7-hole 3.5 mm LCP plates (JSM Medimax INC., India). The LCP plate was placed on the anterolateral surface of the humeral midshaft, where it has a conforming surface contact with the bone. Orthopedic screws include 3.5 mm self-tapping cortical non-locking and locking screws. Bicortical and unicortical screws have 34 mm and 14 mm in length respectively. Six holes of the LCP plate were utilized for screw fixation. Three screws were placed in either sides of the plate subsequently created a transverse osteotomy (10 mm in length) to optimize axial stability, which maintains screw density at 0.75.¹⁰ All screws were inserted into the bone plate construct with constant 4.5 N m torque by using a torque limited screw driver. Group 1, control group, had fixated with the traditional method, which has 6 bicortical non-locking screws. Group 2 and group 3 mixed unicortical and bicortical screws; two bicortical screws were placed at the end holes to gain maximum of axial support,^{2,11} and the four unicortical screws were inserted in each fragment of the bone construct excepting the middle holes. Group 2 is a hybrid locking (HL), which obtains unicortical locking screw, whereas group 3 is a hybrid non-locking (HNL), which procures non-locking screw with adding of Kryptonite™ bone cement (Fig. 1). In hybrid groups, screws were placed according to principle of plate/screw fixation. Screws were simultaneously inserted from inferior to superior, and non-locking screws were applied prior to locking screws in order to achieve fracture reduction. Kryptonite™ bone cement became taffy phase, when it was kept curing for 4–5 min; after that it was injected into the LCP hole before the non-locking screw was applied.

The total of 8 fixated specimens in each group were subsequently separated into two subgroups ($n = 4$) to conduct biomechanical analyses. Axial and torsional perspectives were individually proposed for the mechanical analysis, because motion of the arm is heavily involved by axial and torsional forces. Following surgery, the constructed bone-implant montage must maintain axial and torsional stability efficient to allow functional painless motion of the limb. Axial compression and external rotation were used for axial and torsional analyses respectively. Tested specimens were fixated in a customized universal fixture, which was fabricated for testing of orthopedic bone implant. The mechanical tests including static and dynamic analyses were performed by a servo-hydraulic material testing system (Model Bionix 370.02, MTS systems, USA). Dynamic analysis with low cyclic tests were individually run at 1 Hz frequency with repeated loads 0–500 N in axial compression and 0–20° external rotation for 10,000 cycles. If the specimens successfully passed the cyclic tests without deformation, they were then studied for static analysis. Nondestructive failure tests were consequently carried out both axial compression and torsion, an axial displacement of 0.01 mm/s with maximum displacement of 10 mm and 0.5° s⁻¹ and maximum angular displacement of 40° respectively was utilized.¹² Load vs. displacement graph of each constructed was analyzed, and the slope of the linear region of the curve was defined as the stiffness of

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3251747>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3251747>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)