

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jor

Original Article

Results of the treatment of the open femoral shaft fractures in children

Ryszard Tomaszewski^{*,1}, Artur Gap¹

Department of Pediatric Traumatology and Orthopedic, Silesian Medical University, Katowice, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 July 2013

Accepted 15 April 2014

Available online 21 May 2014

Keywords:

Open femoral fractures in children

Intramedullary nailing

Femoral shaft treatment in children

ABSTRACT

Background: Intramedullary nailing has become the treatment of choice for closed femoral shaft fractures in children and adolescents. Immediate intramedullary nailing of open fractures of femur in children remains controversial, with most surgeons preferring to treat grade II or III open fractures either by debridement and traction or external fixation. **The aims:** The aim of this study is to evaluate the results of intramedullary nailing of open femoral fractures in children.

Methods: 172 children were treated for femoral shaft fracture in our department. 19 fractures were opened in 18 patients.

Results: In children with polytrauma, multiple fractures, head injuries and other conditions which necessitate intensive nursing care, intramedullary nailing of opens femoral shaft fractures (type I, II, IIIA, IIIB) should be preferred.

Conclusion: Satisfactory results were obtained in all patients in terms of self evaluation of patients, radiological and clinical evaluation. The infection rate was much lower for patients who had been given a cephalosporin than for patient who had been given a penicillin or had been given no antibiotic.

Copyright © 2014, Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Publishing Services by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Intramedullary nailing has become the treatment of choice for closed femoral shaft fractures in children and adolescents.¹ Immediate intramedullary nailing of open fractures of femur in children remains controversial, with most surgeons preferring to treat grade II or III open fractures either by debridement and traction or external fixation.² Theoretical

reason for the avoidance of reamed intramedullary nailing of open fractures of femur include an increased rate of infection and a decreased rate of union secondary to the disruption of the endosteal blood supply.² But recently the series of patients with open femoral fractures treated using intramedullary nailing were published.^{1–3}

The aim of this study is to evaluate the results of intramedullary nailing of open femoral fractures in children.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +48 32 2071808; fax: +48 32 2071802.

E-mail address: tomaszewski_r@poczta.onet.pl (R. Tomaszewski).

¹ Present address: 40-752 Katowice, ul. Medyków 16, Poland.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2014.04.005>

0972-978X/Copyright © 2014, Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Publishing Services by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

2. Materials and methods

From the 1st Jan 2001 to the 1st Jan 2011, 172 children were treated for femoral shaft fracture in our department. 19 fractures were opened in 18 patients. The mean age of patients was 11,2 years.(5–14.5) Open physal fractures have been excluded from this series .

Using the Gustilo-Anderson classification there were the type I in 12 patients, II in 3, IIIA-2, IIIB-1, IIIC-1. There were 5 transversal type fractures, 9 oblique, 5 comminuted fracture. 9 fractures were located in 1/3 proximal part of femur, 6 in middle shaft and 4 in the 1/3 distal part. No neuromuscular diseases or bone fragility were presented in our series.

The average Injury Severity Score for 19 patients was 30 (10 to 42). Most patients (20) had additional injuries, 6 head injury, abdominal in 5, other fractures in 5.

The treatment protocol that was used for open fractures of the femur has been described in our trauma department. All patients were immediately evaluated for the presence of associated injuries and debridement and intramedullary nailing of the fracture were done as soon as possible. Intravenous antibiotics were administrated preoperatively Cefazolin 100 mg/kg/day divided into doses given every 8 h. Time to start using antibiotics was 85 min after trauma (30–150). Antibiotics were used for 9 days (4–28). Mean time of surgery after trauma was 5.5 h (2–26). In 2 cases the first procedure was the neurosurgical hematoma evacuation. Operative debridement wasn't done for type I of fractures, only for type II and III. Debridement was performed in supine position. The wound was enlarged (1 patients) with resection of necrotic tissues, each patients had a lavage with using a pulsating system.

All nailing were performed with patient in the supine position using orthopedic table with traction. Longitudinal skin incisions (0.5–1 cm) at distal part of femur were used, the entry hole into bone is made using an awl and nails were placed in retrograde fashion through the distal part of femur. The nails were prebent sufficiently to leave a significant recoil force. For implant seizing, the narrowest diameter of the femoral diaphysis is measured and nails that are 40% of the narrowest diameter are used. The quality of reduction is controlled radiographically. The skin is closed and before waking patients the knee movement and internal and external rotation of the hip were done to prevent knee stiffness and femoral malrotation. The length of operated limb was the same to controlateral side, no shortening was done. No skin grafting was performed. All wounds were closed during the procedure. The mean duration of femoral procedure was 55 min (20–220). 4 patients needed the blood transfusion (250 ml-I, 250 ml-II, 750 ml-IIIA, 1000 ml-IIIC).

The child after surgery is nursed supine without splinting. The lower limb rested elevated on a pillow. Post-operative management with regard to weight bearing and range of motion of the extremity was individualized according to the fracture configuration and stability, the size of the implant and associated injures. Partial weight bearing was allowed around the 15th day post-op. for the transverse fractures. But for oblique and comminuted fractures patients

were generally advised to restrict weight-bearing on the fractured femur until early callus was noted on follow-up XR. Union was defined as a no tender fracture site in a patient who was able to bear full weight and who was seen to have bridging callus on XR. Nails were removed 155 days after procedure (39–380).

3. Results

The mean follow up was 56 month (24–144). No compartment syndrome was noticed. XR was done in all patients according to our hospital protocol, 1and 3 month after the procedure. In 17 patients bone consolidation was obtained 3 month post-op. 1 patients with deep infection presented bone consolidation 4.5 month post-op.

In 1 patients, 14 years old with comminuted femoral fracture after surgery, leg discrepancy was 1.5 cm due to incorrect stabilization. Patient need the second procedure 14 days after the first, and external fixation was used without ablation of nails.

Knee joint stiffness was present in 2 patients because irritation of the nail at the entry site with mobility of knee joint 10–90° and 15–75°. After ablation of nails joint stiffness disappeared after 3 and 3.5 weeks. Angular deformity of rotation more than 10° was not noticed. Refracture did not occur in any of patients. Patient 10,5 years with open femoral fracture type II according to Gustillo classification and multiple trauma; head and thorax injury, treated on Intensive Care Ward, presented infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* 19 days after procedure. Antibiotherapy with Vancomycin was done. Debridement had to be performed within four weeks and conversion from intramedullary to external fixation was used. Infection was stopped 6 weeks after trauma.

2 patients (type II, IIIA) needed second procedure for resection of necrotic tissue. No skin grafting was used.

4. Discussion

Femoral fractures represent about 2% of all fractures in childhood¹ and about 7% of all open fractures.³ Infection rates in children with open fractures have been reported to be lower than those in adults with such fractures.^{1–3} A small child can sustain a fracture by a simple fall on level ground while playing, but for older child a stronger force is required⁴ and it can be result from motor-vehicle accident.⁵

In children who are five years of age or younger, early closed reduction and application of a spica cast is an ideal treatment for most diaphyseal femoral fractures. In skeletally mature adolescents, use of an antegrade solid intramedullary nail has become the standard of treatment. The treatment for children between six and sixteen years of age is an elastic intramedullary nailing.^{5,6} Nowadays rigid trochanteric entry nailing, submuscular plating and flexible IM nailing are options in patients aged ≥ 11 years; however, no studies have directly compared all three methods.^{1–4} Because the ideal device for the treatment of most femoral fractures in children would be a simple, load-sharing internal splint that allows mobilization and maintenance of alignment and extremity length until bridging callus forms. The device would exploit a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3251877>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3251877>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)