Nutrition 30 (2014) 165–168

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nutrition

journal homepage: www.nutritionjrnl.com

Applied nutritional investigation

Quality control of parenteral nutrition in hospitalized patients

Matthias Kraft M.D. [a], Simone Gärtner M.Sc. [a], Peter Simon M.D. [a], Kathleen Kraft Ph.D. [b], Nicole Schüler [a], Janine Krüger M.Sc. [a], Lena J. Vogt M.Sc. [a], Claus-Dieter Heidecke M.D. [c], Markus M. Lerch M.D., F.R.C.P. [a,*]

[a] Department of Internal Medicine A, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University, Greifswald, Germany
[b] Institute for Community Medicine, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University, Greifswald, Germany
[c] Department of General, Visceral, Thoracic and Vascular Surgery, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University, Greifswald, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 April 2013
Accepted 8 July 2013

Keywords:
Parenteral nutrition
Quality control
Malnutrition
Medical staff
Trace elements
Multivitamin supplementation

ABSTRACT

Objective: For hospitalized patients requiring parenteral nutrition (PN), adequate nutritional support has a profound effect on hospital length of stay, morbidity, mortality, and complication rates. Inappropriate or inadequate nutritional therapy may worsen clinical outcome. The aim of this study was to investigate the compliance with nutritional guidelines for PN in a university hospital setting.

Methods: Over a 6-mo period, this monocentric study prospectively recruited 107 (41 women, 66 men) hospitalized medical and surgical patients requiring PN. Data on nutritional support were collected before nutritional counseling. Nutritional requirements were estimated on the basis of the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) Guidelines for Adult Parenteral Nutrition (2009).

Results: The mean patient age was 65 ± 1.4 y and the mean body mass index was 23.2 ± 0.5 kg/m^2. Only 75% of the caloric requirement was met. Multivitamin supplementation was adequate in only 37%, and for vitamin K in only 6% of cases. Trace element supplementation was adequate in only 35%. PN in complete agreement with the ESPEN guidelines was achieved in none of the patients.

Conclusions: In routine hospital practice, PN is generally not provided in compliance with established guidelines. To improve the quality of nutritional therapy, a nutritional support team should be established. Furthermore, there should be periodical training sessions in nutrition for medical and nursing staff, as well as in standard operating procedures.

Introduction

Parenteral nutrition (PN) is a complex treatment modality providing intravenous nutrition to patients who cannot be fed orally and/or who are unable to meet their caloric requirements via the enteral route. In these patients, malnutrition is a frequent phenomenon with an overall prevalence of 25%, and up to 50% in specific cohorts such as oncology and geriatric patients [1,2]. PN is invasive, costly, and associated with potentially serious and harmful complications. A recent review of nutritional support teams highlighted the risks associated with PN, including infectious complications, fluid overload, hyperglycemia, refeeding syndrome, hyperlipidemia, azotemia, hepatic dysfunction, and respiratory failure [1]. On one hand, caloric overload may worsen underlying diseases and give rise to nutrition-related complications such as acute pancreatitis, liver failure, or refeeding syndrome. On the other hand, hospitalized patients lose weight and undernutrition worsens during hospital admission in the absence of adequate nutritional therapy [3]. PN has been shown to reduce morbidity in severely malnourished surgical patients and significantly decrease mortality in critically ill patients, regardless of its related infectious complications [4,5]. Moreover, there is cumulating evidence that treating malnutrition in these patients is also economically beneficial [6]. In this context, it needs to be stressed that the majority of physicians and surgeons

MK and SG contributed equally to this study. All authors of the study substantially contributed to conception, design, acquisition, and analysis of data and interpretation of the study. None of the authors has any commercial conflict of interest in this study and none has received direct salary support from industry.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 3834 867231; fax: +49 3834 867234.
E-mail address: lerch@uni-greifswald.de (M. M. Lerch).

0899-9007/$ - see front matter © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2013.07.010

have minimal training in clinical nutrition and PN [2], but often are responsible for its management and prescription. Guidelines for the use of PN have been developed by several nutrition societies, such as the German Society of Nutritional Medicine (DGEM) [7], as well as the European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) and the American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) [8,9]. A reduced dietary intake, together with an increased energy requirement, is the main cause of hospital undernutrition [10–12]. Treating malnutrition should, first of all, be based on the evaluation of the individual causes of malnutrition and should precede nutritional intervention strategies.

The aim of this study was to investigate the appropriateness of PN usage and compliance with nutritional guidelines for PN in a tertiary medical center without prior involvement of, and physician counseling by a nutritional support team (NST).

Materials and methods

Patients and procedures

We conducted a monocentric study in a university hospital setting after ethics committee approval. Over a period of 6 mo, 107 in-hospital patients aged between 24 and 88 y were prospectively recruited to this study from a tertiary medical center in northeastern Germany (11 units, four different internal and surgery departments). The patients were included consecutively after informed consent was obtained to assess their clinical data to determine whether they received total or supplementary PN. PN was prescribed by the medical staff of the different units without prior involvement of, or counseling by an NST, reflecting clinical routine practice in this setting.

Data collection

Anthropometric data and clinical parameters, underlying disease that required hospitalization and PN, access for PN (peripheral venous or central venous) and supplementation of trace elements and vitamins, as well as calories prescribed and received, were collected when patients were enrolled. We also recorded whether PN was followed up with laboratory testing and clinical assessment to ensure the safety of nutritional therapy.

Calculation of the caloric requirement and adequate coverage

The caloric requirements of each patient were estimated on the basis of the ESPEN guidelines taking into account disease activity and oral nutritional intake [13]. PN was considered to be adequate if the total administration covered 90% to 110% of the energy requirements calculated for each patient.

For calculating the recommended energy requirements, body weight was determined as adapted body weight [14] in obese patients (body mass index [BMI] $\geq$ 30 kg/m^2) and actual body weight for all other patients. During the initial visit, the amount of prescribed parenteral calories and total calories required was determined. In case of additional oral nutrition, the amount of calories ingested by mouth (including oral supplements), were registered by a 24-h recall. The nutrient analysis software OPTIDIET Version 4.2.1 (GOE, Linden, Germany) was used to calculate the energy supply of oral nutritional intake, assessed by the 24-h recall. The total amount of energy intake was calculated as sum of calories provided parenterally, as well as ingested orally.

In addition to the aforementioned parameters, we determined whether vitamins and trace elements were supplemented. The multivitamin supplement listed in our hospital does not include vitamin K. Therefore, all patients required additional vitamin K supplementation, the prescription and administration of which was recorded.

Patients on gastric tube feeding were excluded from the study.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using PASW 18 (Predictive Analytics Software, Chicago, IL, USA) and Sigmaplot 11.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). All Data presented as the mean ± SEM for continuous variables and as absolute or relative frequencies for categorical variables. Graphics were generated by Sigmaplot. Overall test's significance was set to a two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05. Values with $P < 0.05$ were labeled with one asterisk; $P < 0.01$ with two asterisks, and $P < 0.001$ with three asterisks in tables or graphs.

Ethical statement

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human participants were approved by the hospital human research ethics committee. All included patients gave their informed consent.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the 41 women and 66 men enrolled in the study are listed in Table 1. Baseline characteristics did not significantly differ between men and women. The mean age was 65 ± 1.4 y and mean BMI was 23.2 ± 0.5 kg/m^2 with different underlying diseases. Most of the patients included in the study (64.5%) suffered from malignant disease, predominantly of the gastrointestinal tract. In 13% acute (four patients) or chronic (nine patients) pancreatitis was the underlying cause of hospitalization. Eight patients suffered from liver cirrhosis; five showed acute or chronic renal failure. Other diseases (9.3%) were chronic inflammatory bowel diseases, short bowel syndrome, or gastroenteritis.

In 69% of cases, PN was administered via peripheral veins. In only 31% of cases, a central venous administration via port (24.3%) or central venous catheter (6.5%) was used for delivering PN.

In most cases, administration of PN covered the nutritional requirements only inadequately (Fig. 1). The caloric substitution was adequate in only 8.4% of all patients (11% liver disease, 15% pancreatic disease, 6% tumor disease, and 20% other disease). The mean caloric intake via PN was 942 ± 46.3 kcal/d. Of the patients, 77.6% received less than 90% of caloric needs (range, 34.8–88.3%) (n = 83; 78% with liver disease, 77% with pancreatic disease, 78% with malignancies, 100% with kidney disease, and 60% with other diseases). Hypercaloric nutrition with more than 110% caloric intake (range, 114.4–289.1%) was identified in 10.3% of patients (n = 15; 11% with liver disease, 8% with pancreatic disease, 16% with tumor disease, and 20% with other diseases). In this group of patients, the overnutrition led to a mean excess of 686 ± 119 kcal/d.

There is a difference in the coverage of the caloric needs between the peripheral and the central venous administration. Patients with a central venous catheter are more likely to achieve caloric needs than patients with a peripheral venous catheter (central venous, 96.3 ± 8.7% versus peripheral venous, 65.4 ± 3.2% coverage; $P = 0.01$). Furthermore, the proportion of overfeeding is higher in the central venous fed group (central venous catheter,

Table 1
Characteristics of the study population

	All (N = 107)	Women (n = 41)	Men (n = 66)
Age (y)	65.0 ± 1.37	67.58 ± 1.91	63.43 ± 1.86
Height (m)	1.70 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01	1.74 ± 0.01†
Weight (kg)	67.16 ± 1.37	64,71 ± 2.27	68.67 ± 1.71
BMI (kg/m^2)*	23.18 ± 0.45	24.05 ± 0.79	22.65 ± 0.53
Albumin (g/L)	24.12 ± 0.68	24.63 ± 1.06	23.78 ± 0.89
Oral caloric intake (kcal/d)	554.42 ± 39.78	484.02 ± 57.41	598.11 ± 53.29
Parenteral caloric intake (kcal/d)	941.50 ± 46.27	906.22 ± 79.22	963.41 ± 56.93
Caloric requirement (kcal/d)	2026 ± 42	1940.73 ± 74.16	2079.64 ± 49.19
Coverage of caloric requirement (%)	74.91 ± 3.73	75.90 ± 7.82	74.30 ± 3.65

All data presented as the mean ± SEM.
* BMI, body mass index in kg/m^2.
† $P < 0.001$ comparison between men and women analyzed by the Mann-Whitney-U-Test.