Risk of Nev	v or Recurrent Cancer in Patients With
Inflammato	ry Rowal Disassa and Provinus Canoor Exposed to
	TY DUWEI DISEASE AND FIEVIOUS GAILET EXPOSED TO
Immunosur	pressive and Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Agents
Jordan Axelrad	<sup>*,†</sup> Oren Bernheim,* Jean-Frederic Colombel,* Stefano Malerba, <sup>§</sup>
Ashwin Ananthakrishnan, <sup>  </sup> Vijay Yajnik, <sup>  </sup> Gila Hoffman, <sup>  </sup> Manasi Agrawal, <sup>#</sup> Dana Lukin, <sup>**</sup> Amit Desai, <sup>‡‡</sup> Elisa Mceachern, <sup>§§</sup> Brian Bosworth, <sup>‡‡</sup> Ellen Scherl, <sup>‡‡</sup> Andre Reyes, <sup>   </sup>	
Erwin Wang, <sup>‡‡‡</sup>	Renee Williams, <sup>§§§</sup> LeaAnn Chen, <sup>§§§</sup> Seymour Katz, <sup>§§§</sup> and Steven Itzkowitz,*
on behalf of the	e New York Crohn's and Colitis Organization (NYCCO)
*Division of Gastroer	nterology, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York;
<sup>+</sup> Division of Digestive New York, New York	and Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, New York Presbyterian/Columbia University Medical Center, <sup>• §</sup> Health Evidence and Policy, Icabn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; <sup>®</sup> Division of
Gastroenterology, D	epartment of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts and Harvard Medical
School, Boston, Mas	ssachusetts; <sup>¶</sup> Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York; <sup>#</sup> Department of Medicine,
iviontetiore Medical ( Montefiore Medical (	Jenter, New York, New York; "Division of Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, Center, New York, New York; #Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine
New York Presbyteri	an/Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, New York; <sup>§§</sup> Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New
York; <sup>III</sup> Department of	of Medicine, North Shore-Long Island Jewish University Hospital, Manhasset, New York; <sup>111</sup> Division of
Gastroenterology, De York: ##Division of G	epartment of Medicine, North Shore-Long Island Jewish North Shore University Hospital, Mannasset, New astroenterology. Department of Medicine. North Shore-Long Island Jewish Lenox Hill Hospital. New York.
New York; ***Departi	ment of Medicine, North Shore- Long Island Jewish Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, New York;
<sup>###</sup> Department of Me	edicine, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, New York; and <sup>\$\$\$</sup> Division of Gastroenterology,
Department of Medic	cine, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, New York
BACKGROUND & AIMS:	Our understanding of malignancy associated with immunosuppression in patients with in-
	flammatory bowel disease (IBD) comes from studies of individuals with no history of cancer. We
	investigated whether patients with IBD and a history of cancer who were subsequently
	We performed a retrospective analysis of data from 222 patients with IBD treated at 7 academic
METHODS.	medical centers who developed cancer and subsequently received treatment with anti-tumor
	necrosis factor (TNF), anti-TNF with an antimetabolite (thiopurines, methotrexate), antime-
	tabolites, or no subsequent exposure to immunosuppressive agents (controls). We collected
	data on their primary outcomes of incident cancers (new or recurrent). Hazard ratios (HRs)
	groups were compared by using the log-rank test.
RESULTS:	During the follow-up period, 90 natients (27%) developed an incident cancer. Patient charac-
	teristics between groups differed, but matching was not possible because of the relatively small
	sample sizes. There was no difference in time to incident cancer ( $P = .14$ ) or type of incident
	cancer ( $P = .61$ ) among the 4 groups. After adjusting for recurrence risk for type of prior cancer,
	there was no difference in risk of incident cancer (HK for anti-TNF, 0.32; 95% confidence interval ICII 0.09-1.09: HR for anti-TNF with an antimetabolite 0.64: 95% CL 0.26-1.59: HP for an anti-
	metabolite, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.54–2.15) or time to subsequent cancer between study arms ( $P = .22$ ).
CONCLUSION:	On the basis of a retrospective study, in patients with IBD and a history of cancer, exposure to an
	anti-TNF agent or an antimetabolite after cancer was not associated with an increased risk of
	incident cancer, compared with patients who did not receive immunosuppression. Larger,
	matched, prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings.
<i>Kevwords:</i> Crohn's Di	sease: Ulcerative Colitis: Drug: Tumor.
<i>Keywords:</i> Crohn's Di	sease; Ulcerative Colitis; Drug; Tumor.

terval; HR, hazard ratio; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; TNF, tumor necrosis factor. 58

1542-3565/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2015.07.037

116

## 2 Axelrad et al

Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology Vol. ■, No. ■

117 mmunomodulators and biologic agents (collec-118 tively, immunosuppression) are effective in treating 119 patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and 120 recent evidence supports their introduction earlier in the disease course.<sup>1,2</sup> Patients and physicians often weigh 121 the risk of active IBD against the adverse effects of 122 123 immunosuppression, especially therapy-associated ma-124 lignancies. Most of our understanding about the rates 125 and types of malignancy associated with the use of 126 immunosuppression in patients with IBD comes from 127 studies of individuals who had no history of cancer.<sup>3</sup> Lit-128 tle is known about the risk of subsequent malignancy in 129 IBD patients with a prior diagnosis of cancer who are 130 exposed to immunosuppressive agents.

131 Antimetabolite immunosuppressive agents such as 132 thiopurines and methotrexate may promote the develop-133 ment and recurrence of cancer by a variety of established 134 mechanisms including alteration in DNA, activation of 135 oncogenes, reduction in physiologic immunosurveillance, 136 and facilitation of the action of oncogenic viruses.<sup>4–6</sup> Less is known about the potential malignancy-promoting po-137 tential of biologic therapies that block the action of tumor 138 139 necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ). The effect of TNF- $\alpha$  in 140 inflammation-associated carcinogenesis is unpredictable. 141 TNF- $\alpha$  has been shown to exhibit anti-tumor effects by 142 initiating cellular apoptosis of malignant cells, but 143 conversely, it is secreted by most tumors to facilitate 144 cellular survival and enhance neoplastic proliferation as a 145 pro-tumorigenic inflammatory cytokine.<sup>7–10</sup>

146 Previous studies have demonstrated an increased risk 147 of lymphoma in patients exposed to thiopurines and 148 primary melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer in patients exposed to thiopurines and anti-TNF- $\alpha$ 149 therapies.<sup>11-19</sup> Because of these risks, patients with a 150 151 history of cancer within 5 years have typically been 152 excluded from randomized controlled trials of anti-TNF- $\alpha$ 153 therapy in IBD because of the theoretical risk of therapy-154 associated malignancies. In addition, oncologists and 155 gastroenterologists generally suspend immunosuppres-156 sion for IBD while patients undergo chemotherapy and 157 often continue to withhold therapy while patients are in 158 remission from cancer. However, withholding or reducing 159 IBD treatment for cancer may worsen IBD and, in certain 160 situations, hinder appropriate cancer management.<sup>20</sup>

161 To date, there is a lack of substantial clinical data on 162 the management of IBD after a diagnosis of cancer. The 163 Cancers Et Surrisque Associé aux Maladies inflamma-164 toires intestinales En France (CESAME) group found that 165 in patients with IBD, exposure to immunosuppression 166 was independently associated with the development of 167 cancer, but it did not increase the risk of new or recur-168 rent cancer in those with a history of cancer.<sup>21</sup> Because 169 of the limited number of patients with IBD and a history 170 of cancer with subsequent exposure to biologics agents 171 in the CESAME study, this conclusion only applied to 172 thiopurine exposure, and no conclusions could be drawn 173 regarding anti-TNF- $\alpha$  therapies.<sup>21</sup>

174

The objective of this study was to investigate whether IBD patients with a history of cancer who were subsequently exposed to immunosuppression were at an increased risk of developing incident cancer, new or recurrent.

## **Methods**

After Institutional Review Board approval at each 183 participating site, electronic medical records from 8 ac-184 ademic medical centers affiliated with the newly estab-185 lished New York Crohn's and Colitis Organization and 186 Massachusetts General Hospital were identified on the 187 basis of a diagnosis of IBD and cancer. The data obtained 188 from each site's electronic medical record included pa-189 190 tients drawn from a variety of geographically separate clinical sites (major hospital, outpatient clinical centers, 191 endoscopy/same-day surgery centers, private practices 192 with admitting privileges at academic centers, etc). A 193 standardized data reporting template was established for 194 195 all sites to enter data. A diagnosis of IBD was based on 196 accepted criteria including clinical symptoms, endoscopy, radiology, pathology, and operative reports. All 197 chart reviews were performed by trained clinicians. Pa-198 199 tients were then categorized on the basis of subsequent 200 medication exposure after a diagnosis of cancer if they had at least 1 follow-up encounter documenting treat-201 ment with the following: anti-TNF- $\alpha$  (anti-TNF- $\alpha$  arm), 202 anti-TNF- $\alpha$  with a thiopurine or methotrexate (combi-203 204 nation arm), thiopurines or methotrexate (antimetabolite arm), or no subsequent immunosuppression exposure 205 (control arm). 206

Charts were reviewed for basic demographic infor-207 mation, age at IBD diagnosis, IBD subtype, date of cancer 208 209 diagnosis, type and stage of cancer, cancer risk type, cancer treatment, date of last clinical encounter, and IBD 210 medication exposure and duration before and after 211 cancer diagnosis. For patients with subsequent incident 212 cancer (defined as new or recurrent), charts were 213 214 reviewed for date of diagnosis, type, stage, risk type, and 215 treatment. Cancer type was categorized into gastroin-216 testinal (any malignancy of the luminal gastrointestinal tract), hematologic (any leukemia or lymphoma), 217 dermatologic (melanoma and non-melanoma skin can-218 cers), or other solid organ. Cancer risk type was cate-219 gorized on the basis of Penn's classification for 220 221 recurrence risk of preexisting malignancies as follows: 2.2.2 low-risk malignancies include incidental renal tumors, 223 lymphomas, testicular, uterine, cervical, and thyroid carcinomas; intermediate-risk cancers include carci-224 nomas of the uterine body. Wilms tumors, and carci-225 nomas of the colon, prostate, and breast; and high-risk 226 malignancies include carcinomas of the bladder, sar-227 comas, malignant melanomas, symptomatic renal carci-228 229 nomas, non-melanoma skin cancers, and myelomas.<sup>23</sup>

The primary outcome was development of incident cancer. Time to incident cancer was calculated from the 181

182

230

231

232

175

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3281527

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3281527

Daneshyari.com