Magnifying Narrowband Imaging Is More Accurate Than Conventional White-Light Imaging in Diagnosis of Gastric Mucosal Cancer

YASUMASA EZOE,* MANABU MUTO,[‡] NORIYA UEDO,[§] HISASHI DOYAMA,^{||} KENSHI YAO,[¶] ICHIRO ODA,[#] KAZUHIRO KANEKO,^{**} YOSHIRO KAWAHARA,^{‡‡} CHIZU YOKOI,^{§§} YASUSHI SUGIURA,^{|||} HIDEKI ISHIKAWA,^{¶¶} YOJI TAKEUCHI,[§] YOSHIBUMI KANEKO,^{||} and YUTAKA SAITO[#]

*Department of Multidisciplinary Cancer Treatment, and [‡]Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto; [§]Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Osaka Medical Cancer for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases, Osaka; ^{II}Department of Gastroenterology, Ishikawa Prefectural Central Hospital, Ishikawa; ¹Department of Endoscopy, Fukuoka University Chikushi Hospital, Fukuoka; [#]Endoscopy Division, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo; **Division of Digestive Endoscopy and Gastrointestinal Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba; ^{‡‡}Division of Endoscopy, Okayama University, Okayama; ^{§§}Department of Gastroenterology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo; ^{III}Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Kitano Hospital, Osaka; and ¹¹Department of Molecular, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

BACKGROUND & AIMS: It is difficult to accurately diagnose patients with depressed gastric mucosal cancer based on conventional white-light imaging (C-WLI) endoscopy. We compared the real-time diagnostic yield of C-WLI for small, depressed gastric mucosal cancers with that of magnifying narrow-band imaging (M-NBI). **METHODS:** We performed a multicenter, prospective, randomized, controlled trial of patients with undiagnosed depressed lesions ≤ 10 mm in diameter identified by esophagogastroduodenoscopy. Patients were randomly assigned to groups that were analyzed by C-WLI (n = 176) or M-NBI (n = 177) immediately after detection; the C-WLI group received M-NBI after C-WLI. We compared the diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity between C-WLI and M-NBI and assessed the diagnostic vield of M-NBI conducted in conjunction with C-WLI. Results: Overall, 40 gastric cancers (20 in each group) were identified. The median diagnostic values for M-NBI and C-WLI were as follows: accuracy, 90.4% and 64.8%; sensitivity, 60.0% and 40.0%; and specificity, 94.3% and 67.9%, respectively. The accuracy and specificity of M-NBI were greater than those of C-WLI (P < .001); the difference in sensitivity was not significant (P = .34). The combination of M-NBI with C-WLI significantly enhanced performance compared with C-WLI alone; accuracy increased from (median) 64.8% to 96.6% (P < .001), sensitivity increased from 40.0% to 95.0% (P < .001), and specificity increased from 67.9% to 96.8% (P < .001). CONCLUSIONS: M-NBI, in conjunction with C-WLI, identifies small, depressed gastric mucosal cancers with 96.6% accuracy, 95.0% sensitivity, and 96.8% specificity. These values are better than for C-WLI or M-NBI alone.

Keywords: Gastric Cancer; Early Detection; Benign; Malignant; Neoplasm; Biopsy.

G astric cancer is the fourth most common malignancy and the second leading cause of death from cancer worldwide.¹ Early detection and curative treatment are the best strategies for improving patient survival. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy is the most sensitive method of early detection of gastric cancers. However, an accurate early diagnosis of gastric mucosal cancer is difficult with conventional white-light imaging (C-WLI) endoscopy; nevertheless, it remains the standard endoscopic examination modality worldwide.

Detection of mucosal cancers ≤ 20 mm in diameter is ideal, because they are curable using minimally invasive treatments such as endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection.^{2,3} Among the gastric mucosal cancers, the depressed type is the predominant morphology.^{4–6} However, small depressed cancers (≤ 10 mm in diameter) are more difficult to distinguish from benign abnormalities (such as inflammation) compared with elevated cancers. Although chromoendoscopy using indigo carmine has contributed to an improvement in the diagnosis of gastric mucosal cancers,⁷ there is no evidence of the superiority of chromoendoscopy over C-WLI. Therefore, C-WLI endoscopy remains the standard imaging modality for diagnosing gastric mucosal cancers.

Histologic evaluation of biopsy specimens from suspicious lesions is conventionally used to confirm a diagnosis. A highly accurate diagnosis without the need for a biopsy is the ultimate goal of endoscopists, because this would decrease the number of unnecessary biopsies, especially when confirming a negative biopsy of any suspicious cancerous lesion. This could reduce the risk of postbiopsy bleeding, costs associated with the procedure, and the workload on pathologists.

Magnifying narrow-band imaging (M-NBI), a recently developed advanced endoscopic imaging technology, was reported to be useful for the accurate diagnosis of gastric abnormalities such as cancers,^{8–13} adenomas,¹⁴ and intestinal metaplasia.¹⁵ However, no randomized trials have been conducted to compare M-NBI with C-WLI. The present study was designed to assess and compare the real-time diagnostic yield of C-WLI for depressed gastric mucosal

© 2011 by the AGA Institute 0016-5085/\$36.00 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.08.007

Abbreviations used in this paper: CI, confidence interval; C-WLI, conventional white-light imaging; M-NBI, magnifying narrow-band imaging; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

cancers with that of M-NBI when performed by skilled endoscopists.

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Participants

This randomized, controlled, open-label, multicenter trial was conducted at 9 centers in Japan. This study was conducted according to the Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) initiative¹⁶ and the Declaration of Helsinki.

The frequency of synchronous or metachronous multiple gastric cancers was reported as 3 to 5 per 100 patient-years,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ which is higher than the incidence of gastric cancer in the general population. In other words, patients with gastric cancer might constitute a cancer-enriched population, which may be a more suitable model for screening of potential gastric cancers than the general population. Therefore, we recruited patients aged 20 years or older with untreated gastric cancers and patients with a history of gastric cancer. Patients who had been treated with endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic submucosal dissection were included in the latter group, because their stomachs were preserved with minimum injury. We excluded patients who had been treated with surgical resection, because the stomach was either removed or was reduced in size. Other exclusion criteria were serious complications that could interfere with the examination protocol and the use of medication that might interfere with the collection of a biopsy specimen. Written informed consent was obtained, and the institutional review board of each participating hospital approved the study. The clinical trial number of this study was UMIN-CTR000001072.

To detect a target lesion, screening was performed using C-WLI endoscopy. Previously undetected lesions were considered ideal potential targets for evaluating the diagnostic yield without bias. Therefore, the target lesions for this study were "newly detected and undiagnosed" small, depressed gastric lesions ≤ 10 mm in diameter. We did not target lesions that had been analyzed histologically. Small, depressed lesions with apparent erosion or ulceration were also not evaluated, because it is difficult to visualize surface changes in these lesions. If the patient had multiple such lesions, only the first lesion detected was selected for examination. The diameter of each lesion was estimated by comparing it with the size of the biopsy forceps.

Randomization and Masking

When a target small, depressed lesion was detected by C-WLI screening, patients were immediately assigned randomly to undergo detailed examination using C-WLI or M-NBI at a 1:1 ratio. After the randomization, all endoscopists knew which imaging method would be used for the detailed examination when making a diagnosis of the target lesion. Randomization was performed promptly on-site using tables of random numbers stratified by hospital, and the results thereof were kept in sealed, numbered envelopes. The random allocation sequence was prepared at the data management center. Both the assignment result and the corresponding envelope number were recorded by the data management center. At each participating hospital, sealed envelopes were stored by a third party who was not involved in the study, and the envelopes were opened by an assistant physician in serial order only when randomization was performed. The assigned patient identification number, envelope number, and assignment result were

recorded on-site and faxed to the data management center on the day of the examination.

Procedure and End Points

The study design and the protocol examination are outlined in Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Materials and Methods. The diagnosis for the target lesion was made by one endoscopist according to predetermined diagnostic criteria for C-WLI and M-NBI without any consultation with other physicians, and an assistant physician immediately recorded the results using a case report form. For each modality, the interval between the start of the observation and the time at which an endoscopic diagnosis was made was measured using a stopwatch. For the C-WLI group, M-NBI examination was performed after completion of a diagnosis based on C-WLI. This procedure was used to evaluate the effect of using M-NBI in conjunction with C-WLI. After all records were compiled, at least one biopsy specimen was obtained from the target lesion.

The primary aim of the study was to compare the diagnostic accuracy between C-WLI and M-NBI. The secondary aim was to compare diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and examination time between C-WLI and M-NBI and to evaluate the effects of an additional M-NBI study after the initial C-WLI in terms of diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and examination time. Histopathology diagnosis of obtained biopsy specimens was used as a gold standard for the diagnosis.

Endoscopy System

The NBI system is an innovative optical image-enhanced technology that involves a narrow-bandwidth NBI filter in the video endoscopy system. The central wavelengths of the NBI filters are 415 nm and 540 nm, and each has a bandwidth of 30 nm. Because 415-nm and 540-nm light are well absorbed by hemoglobin, the microvascular architecture of the mucosal surface can be visualized readily. Details of this system have been reported elsewhere.^{20–22}

We used high-resolution magnifying endoscopy with a capability of 80-fold optical magnification (GIF-Q240Z, GIF-H260Z, and GIF-FQ260Z; Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) and a high-resolution liquid-crystal monitor (OEV191H; Olympus Medical Systems). We alternated between the 2 imaging modalities (C-WLI and M-NBI) by pushing a button on the endoscope (Evis Lucera Spectrum System; Olympus Medical Systems). We used a fixed structure enhancement setting and color tone for the video processor.

Participating Endoscopists

All examinations were performed by 31 endoscopic specialists accredited by the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society in 9 institutes. Before the onset of the study, all participating endoscopists were trained using images of small, depressed lesions to minimize diagnostic variation between them.

Diagnostic Criteria for C-WLI and M-NBI

Figure 1 shows a representative endoscopic image of a small, depressed gastric cancer and a small, depressed benign lesion. The diagnostic method based on endoscopic findings is outlined in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

The endoscopic diagnostic criteria for small, depressed gastric cancers using C-WLI were defined based on previous reports of C-WLI findings: an irregular margin and a spiny depressed area.²³ The observation of 2 findings (irregular margin and spiny

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3293608

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3293608

Daneshyari.com