

Tumor phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling in therapy resistance and metastatic dissemination of rectal cancer: Opportunities for signaling-adapted therapies

Anne Hansen Ree^{a,b,*}, Kjersti Flatmark^{c,b,d}, Marie Grøn Saelen^c, Sigurd Folkvord^c, Svein Dueland^e, Jürgen Geisler^{a,b}, Kathrine Røe Redalen^{a,f}

^a Department of Oncology, Akershus University Hospital, P.O. Box 1000, 1478 Lørenskog, Norway

^b Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1171 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway

^c Department of Tumor Biology, Oslo University Hospital, P.O. Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway

^d Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, P.O. Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway

^e Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, P.O. Box 4956 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway

^f Department of Clinical Molecular Biology, Akershus University Hospital, P.O. Box 1000, 1478 Lørenskog, Norway

Accepted 6 January 2015

Contents

1. Introduction	115
1.1. Rectal cancer	115
1.2. Tumor hypoxia	116
1.3. Tumor kinase activity	116
1.4. Kinase profiling technologies	116
1.5. Tyrosine Kinase PamChip® Array	117
1.6. LARC – a clinical tumor hypoxia model?	117
2. Methods	117
2.1. Study workup and treatment	117
2.2. Study evaluation and follow-up	118
2.3. Tumor kinase activity analysis	118
2.4. Secondary study endpoints	119
3. Findings	119
3.1. LARC-RRP – patients and outcome	119
3.2. Tumor kinase activity and study endpoints	119
4. Discussion	120
4.1. LARC-RRP – treatment outcome	120
4.2. Tumor kinase activity and histologic response to neoadjuvant therapy	121
4.3. Tumor kinase activity and early systemic tumor dissemination	121
4.4. Tumor kinase activity and development of metastatic disease	121
4.5. PI3K signaling in LARC	121
4.6. The kinase substrate array technology – methodological challenges	122

* Corresponding author at: Department of Oncology, Akershus University Hospital, P.O. Box 1000, 1478 Lørenskog, Norway. Tel.: +47 679 69411; fax: +47 679 68861.

E-mail addresses: a.h.ree@medisin.uio.no (A.H. Ree), kjersti.flatmark@rr-research.no (K. Flatmark), mariegs@gmail.com (M.G. Saelen), sigurd.folkvord@gmail.com (S. Folkvord), svedue@ous-hf.no (S. Dueland), juergen.geisler@medisin.uio.no (J. Geisler), kathrine.roe@medisin.uio.no (K.R. Redalen).

5. Conclusion	122
Conflict of interest statement	122
Reviewers	122
Acknowledgments	123
References	123
Biographies	124

Abstract

Locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) comprises heterogeneous tumors with predominant hypoxic components, a hallmark of the tumor microenvironment and determinant of resistance to cytotoxic therapies, local recurrence, and metastatic progression. A rational integration of molecularly targeted agents in established combined-modality treatment regimens may improve local and systemic disease control, but will require a clear definition of functional biomarkers for patient stratification. In a prospective study of LARC patients given neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiation, we applied a kinase substrate array technology to analyze the patients' tumor biopsies sampled at the time of diagnosis, and observed that receptor tyrosine kinase activities integrated by high phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling were correlated both with poor tumor response to the neoadjuvant treatment and adverse progression-free survival. Conceptually, the specific tumor signature of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling activity may point to actionable therapy targets in LARC patients with unfavorable disease features. Clinical trial registration number NCT00278694.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Rectal cancer; PI3K; Radiation; Angiogenesis; Metastasis; Biomarkers; Tumor hypoxia; Tyrosine kinase array

1. Introduction

1.1. Rectal cancer

With reference to the demography of colorectal cancer (CRC), owing to an aging population, this disease is common with a significant rise in incidence from the age of 60. In the European Union in 2012, more than 447,000 individuals were diagnosed with CRC; of these cases, about 30% were in the rectal anatomic site [1]. The management of rectal cancer is multidisciplinary, involving precision diagnostics within radiology and pathology and highly specialized expertise within oncology and surgery. Recognizing the therapeutic complexities, it is crucial to bridge the rapidly emerging knowledge from biology into the optimum care of patients.

As a result of systematic improvements that include multimodal therapy, primarily surgery and radiation, over the past few decades, long-term control of localized rectal cancer is commonly achieved. Locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) comprises primary tumors that infiltrate within the mesorectal compartment or beyond to an extent that precludes primary surgical removal with sufficient microscopic margins. Randomized studies have highlighted the central role of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (CRT) in macroscopic down-sizing and control of subclinical tumor manifestations within the pelvic cavity, to enable complete resection of the residual tumor within its entire extension for the ultimate improvement of outcome [2]. There is compelling evidence from large cohorts of patients given neoadjuvant CRT that histologic tumor down-staging translates into long-term survival benefits; however, on a closer look, this observation

basically refers to subgroups of patients obtaining complete tumor response [3], suggesting that eradication of tumor clonogens is essential for favorable therapeutic results.

Even with successful local treatment outcome, a substantial number of LARC patients (30–40% of cases) will experience metastatic progression [4,5] as result of distant organ establishment of early disseminating tumor cells (DTC) [6,7] with clonogenic potential. Currently, no consensus exists to whether systemic therapy may reduce the risk of metastatic development in rectal cancer [5,8]. The use of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, as being given in colon cancer, has been adopted at a number of centers internationally despite the lack of evidence-based data [9]. In most Nordic countries, postoperative treatment is offered only on specific, individual-based indications.

Metastatic disease may be either organ-confined or extensively disseminated to the liver, lungs, peritoneum, multiple lymph node stations and skeletal locations, or even the brain. Metastasis limited to a single organ is increasingly considered potentially curable with multimodal approaches. Examples include disease confined to the liver [10], lungs [11], or the peritoneal cavity [12]. New insights into the biology of early systemic tumor dissemination may provide a direction to the next milestone in rectal cancer management, which will be the control of metastatic progression.

In line with these notions, and in the everyday clinical practice, it is recognized that rectal cancer presents with a high degree of biological heterogeneity [13]. The prevailing hypothesis is that in the primary tumor, expansion of distinct subclones under selection pressure within specific microenvironmental niches gives rise to such heterogeneity

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3328617>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/3328617>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)