



Evolution of clinical trials in head and neck cancer

Eddy S. Yang ^a, Barbara M. Murphy ^b, Christine H. Chung ^b, James L. Netterville ^c, Brian B. Burkey ^c, Jill Gilbert ^b, Wendell G. Yarbrough ^{c,d}, Robert Sinard ^c, Anthony J. Cmelak ^{a,*}

Accepted 17 September 2008

Contents

1.	Introduction		30
2.	Induction chemotherapy		30
	2.1.	Induction therapy as part of organ preservation	30
	2.2.	Induction therapy for unresectable disease	31
	2.3.	Other regimens for induction chemotherapy	32
3.	Concurrent chemoradiation		32
	3.1.	Concurrent chemoradiation for locally advanced nasopharyngeal cancer	32
	3.2.	Concurrent chemoradiation for inoperable disease	33
	3.3.	Concurrent chemoradiation for function preservation	33
	3.4.	Meta-analyses	34
4.	Induc	ction chemotherapy and concurrent chemoradiation (sequential chemotherapy)	34
5.	Adju	vant chemoradiation	35
6.	Chen	noprevention	36
7.	Epide	ermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)	36
8.	Redu	action of treatment related toxicities	37
	8.1.	Intensity modulated radiation therapy	37
	8.2.	Image guided radiation therapy	38
9.	Supportive care and quality of life		38
	9.1.	Nutrition	38
	9.2.	Xerostomia	38
	9.3.	Mucositis	38
	9.4.	Swallowing function	39
10.	Futu	re directions	39
11.	Concluding remarks		39
	Reviewers		39
	References		39
	Biog	Biography	

Abstract

The treatment paradigm for locally advanced head and neck cancers has evolved over the past two decades as the role of chemotherapy has been substantiated by clinical trials. Presently, concurrent chemoradiation is considered a standard treatment option for patients with resectable

E-mail address: anthony.cmelak@vanderbilt.edu (A.J. Cmelak).

^a Department of Radiation Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, United States

^b Department of Medical Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, United States

^c Department of Otolaryngology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, United States

^d Department of Cancer Biology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, United States

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Radiation Oncology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, The Vanderbilt Clinic, 1301 22nd Avenue South, B-902 TVC, Nashville, TN 37232-5671, United States. Tel.: +1 615 479 0148; fax: +1 615 591 5899.

head and neck tumors desiring an organ preservation approach, as well as for patients with locally advanced nasopharyngeal cancers and patients in the postoperative setting who are at high risk for recurrence. The addition of a taxane to induction chemotherapy appears to improve efficacy over cisplatin and 5-FU. Targeted biologic therapies such as the monoclonal antibody Cetuximab has demonstrated efficacy with radiation that appear comparable to chemoradiation combinations and has a favorable toxicity profile. This review will discuss key clinical trials supporting the current standard of care. Emerging new technologies such as intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) will also be reviewed. Functional assessments and quality of life issues will be addressed. © 2008 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Head and neck cancer; Clinical trials; Larynx cancer; Oropharynx cancer; Nasopharynx cancer; Induction chemotherapy; Chemoradiation; Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT); Quality of life

1. Introduction

Each year, approximately 45,000 men and women in the United States are diagnosed with a head and neck malignancy [1]. Of these patients, about 60% present with locally advanced disease. Historically, definitive treatment involved radical surgical resection and adjuvant radiation, resulting in approximately 30–70% cure rates [2]. In addition, for the subset of inoperable patients, radiation alone yielded long-term disease free survival of 0–20% [3].

In an attempt to improve cure rates as well as to enhance functional outcome, chemotherapy was investigated as a component of multimodality therapy. The most commonly investigated strategies included the use of induction chemotherapy and the use of concurrent chemoradiation. This review will highlight key clinical trials that have defined the current standard of care for patients with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck.

2. Induction chemotherapy

There are several mechanisms by which induction chemotherapy may be hypothesized to enhance treatment outcomes in patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer. First, chemotherapy may decrease tumor volume thus decreasing the fraction of hypoxic cells. Hypoxia is a major factor contributing to radiation resistance. In addition, chemotherapy and radiation may act as non-cross resistant modalities, each contributing to tumor cell death. Furthermore, chemotherapy may enhance disease outcome by eliminating clinically occult micrometastatic disease.

The administration of chemotherapy prior to radiation has several hypothetical advantages over its use either concurrently or adjuvantly. Induction chemotherapy may reduce the expression of distant metastasis, particularly in patients at high risk such as those presenting with advanced nodal disease. Second, the toxicities with induction chemotherapy are primarily related to myelosuppression and may allow for less radiation-related mucosal toxicities when not given concurrently. Finally, utilization of concurrent chemotherapy is associated with a significant increase in acute and late effects.

The initial enthusiasm for induction chemotherapy did not come until the 1980s when Kish reported the high response rates to chemotherapy in previously untreated patients with locally advanced head and neck cancer [4]. In this trial, investigators treated inoperable patients with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and achieved an overall response rate of 89%. Five of the 26 patients showed a complete remission to this initial therapy. Twelve of the 26 patients ultimately underwent resection of their disease. These results demonstrated the tolerability and potential benefits of induction chemotherapy.

Unfortunately, subsequent trials did not result in a significant difference in overall survival with induction 5-FU/cisplatin chemotherapy. These trials, however, did demonstrate the prognostic value of initial response to chemotherapy in predicting subsequent response to radiotherapy [5–7]. Subsequent clinical trials to further investigate induction chemotherapy (Table 1) can be divided into induction therapy as part of organ preservation and induction therapy for patients with unresectable disease.

2.1. Induction therapy as part of organ preservation

In patients with resectable disease, induction chemotherapy followed by a radiation-based organ preservation approach was investigated. This was most commonly conducted in patients with laryngeal, hypopharyngeal, and base of tongue tumors where surgical resection could lead to significant morbidity. Chemotherapy was utilized as a predictor of radiotherapy responsiveness. Two sentinel randomized phase III trials compared induction chemotherapy followed by radiation to primary surgery and adjuvant radiation. These studies were conducted by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Laryngeal Cancer Study Group [8] and the EORTC [9].

In the VA trial, 332 patients with stages III–IV laryngeal cancer were randomized to either standard of care (total laryngectomy with adjuvant radiation) or induction chemotherapy consisting of 3 cycles of 5-FU and cisplatin with subsequent definitive radiation to 66–76 gray (Gy). Tumor response to induction chemotherapy was assessed after the second cycle, with patients with response undergoing the third cycle. Those without response or who had recurrence following chemotherapy and radiation were subject to salvage laryngectomy [8].

Complete response after two cycles of chemotherapy was observed in 31% of patients, and partial response seen in 54%. Local recurrence was significantly (p = 0.0005) increased in the induction chemotherapy/radiation arm, but fewer dis-

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/3329512

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/3329512

Daneshyari.com